

A long overdue taxonomic rearrangement of the Uromastycinae (Squamata: Sauria: Agamidae).

RAYMOND T. HOSER

488 Park Road, Park Orchards, Victoria, 3114, Australia. *Phone*: +61 3 9812 3322 *E-mail*: snakeman (at) snakeman.com.au Received 2 November 2013, Accepted 15 May 2014, Published 30 August 2014.

ABSTRACT

For most of the past 2 centuries the entire Leiolepidinae Fitzinger, 1843. has been treated as consisting of just two genera.

These are: Leiolepis Cuvier, 1829 and Uromastyx Merrem, 1820.

Based on the molecular results of Pyron *et al.* (2013) and others, Uromastycinae Theobold, 1868 is herein recognized as a subfamily being separate from Leiolepidinae, now treated as only including the genus *Leiolepis.* Ultimately both groups may warrant full family-level recognition.

Recently, Wilms *et al.* (2009) commenced the long-awaited dissection of *Uromastyx* with the resurrection of *Saara* Gray, 1845 to accommodate the three most divergent species (as a clade) within the genus *Uromastyx* as popularly recognized.

Noting that many authors have recognized distinctive species groups and/or clades as a result of detailed studies, this paper formalizes these results by revising the taxonomy of the extant members of the subfamily Uromastycinae.

As a result, the genus *Saara* is divided into three genera, with *Centrotrachelus* Strauch, 1863 resurrected. *Centrotrachelus* is divided into two genera, the other formally named *Borgsaurus gen. nov.*.

Uromastyx is divided into four genera, utilizing the existing names *Uromastyx* Merrem, 1820 and *Aporoscelis* Boulenger, 1885 for two species groups, with two new genera formally named for the first time, these being *Euanedwardssaurus gen. nov.* and *Dicksmithsaurus gen. nov.*

Euanedwardssaurus gen. nov. is divided three ways, the other subgenera being *Newmansaurus subgen. nov.* and *Dallysaurus subgen. nov.*. *Dicksmithsaurus gen. nov.* is also divided three ways, the other subgenera being *Stokessaurus subgen. nov.* and *Mooresaurus subgen. nov.*.

Uromastyx is split two ways, with the monotypic subgenus *Supremeuromastyx subgen. nov.* erected to accommodate the most divergent taxon.

Noting that *Saara*, *Centrotrachelus* and *Borgsaurus gen. nov* diverged from the other genera and species in the Uromastycinae between 25 and 29 MYA, they are herein placed in the tribe Borgsauriini *tribe nov. Uromastyx*, *Aporoscelis*, *Euanedwardssaurus gen. nov.* and *Dicksmithsaurus gen. nov.* are placed in a the tribe Uromastyxiini *tribe nov.*

Noting that the various subgenera defined herein are believed to have diverged from one another at between 6 and 15 MYA based on a calibration of the molecular results with relevant geological and climatic events using various mathematic formulae, it is likely that some or all may eventually be recognized as full genera.

Keywords: Taxonomy; Lizards; Middle-east; Asia; Africa; Uromastycinae; Leiolepidinae; *Leiolepis*; *Uromastyx*; *Saara*; *Centrotrachelus;* new tribes; Borgsauriini; Uromastyxiini; new genera; Borgsaurus; Euanedwardssaurus; Dicksmithsaurus; new subgenera; Supremeuromastyx; Newmansaurus; Dallysaurus; Stokessaurus; Mooresaurus.

INTRODUCTION

For most of the past two hundred years the entire Leiolepidinae Fitzinger, 1843. has been treated by most publishing herpetologists as consisting of just two genera.

These are: *Leiolepis* Cuvier, 1829 and *Uromastyx* Merrem, 1820. Based on the molecular results of Pyron *et al.* (2013) and others,

Uromastycinae Theobold, 1868 is herein recognized as a subfamily being separate from Leiolepidinae, now treated as only including the genus *Leiolepis*. Ultimately both groups may warrant full familylevel recognition.

Some authors have already taken that step. Recently, Wilms *et al.* (2009) commenced the long-awaited

Available online at www.herp.net Copyright- Kotabi Publishing - All rights reserved

dissection of *Uromastyx* with the resurrection of *Saara* Gray, 1845 to accommodate the three most divergent species (as a clade) within the genus *Uromastyx* as popularly recognized.

Noting that many authors have recognized distinctive species groups and/or clades as a result of detailed studies, this paper formalizes these results by revising the taxonomy of the extant members of the subfamily Uromastycinae.

The genus *Saara* (currently 3 recognized species) is divided into three genera, with *Centrotrachelus* Strauch, 1863 resurrected. *Centrotrachelus* is divided into two genera, the other formally named *Borgsaurus gen. nov.*

Uromastyx (currently about 20 recognized species) is divided into four genera, utilizing the existing names *Uromastyx* Merrem, 1820 and *Aporoscelis* Boulenger, 1885 for two species groups.

Two new genera are formally named for the first time, these being *Euanedwardssaurus gen. nov.* and *Dicksmithsaurus gen. nov.*. *Euanedwardssaurus gen. nov.* is divided three ways, the other subgenera being *Newmansaurus subgen. nov.* and *Dallysaurus subgen. nov.* Dicksmithsaurus gen. nov. is also divided three ways, the other subgenera being *Newmansaurus subgen. nov.* and *Dallysaurus subgen. nov*

Uromastyx is split two ways, with the monotypic subgenus *Supremeuromastyx subgen. nov.* erected to accommodate the most divergent taxon.

Noting that *Saara, Centrotrachelus* and *Borgsaurus gen. nov* diverged from the other genera and species in the Uromastycinae between 25 and 29 MYA, they are herein placed in the tribe Borgsauriini *tribe nov.*

Uromastyx, Aporoscelis, Euanedwardssaurus gen. nov. and Dicksmithsaurus gen. nov. are placed in a the tribe Uromastyxiini tribe nov.

These relatively large and iconic lizards have been subjected to numerous detailed studies over the past two centuries, including recently molecular studies that have resolved relationships between species within the relevant genera.

The material and methods used as the basis for this science-based reclassification has been inspection of live specimens at various facilities since 1993 as well as a thorough review of the scientific and other (relevant) literature.

Significant studies relevant to the taxonomy of the Uromastycinae include the following: Amer and Kumazawa (2005), Anderson (1894, 1896, 1901), Anderson (1999), Anajeva (2004), Arnold (1980, 1986, 1987), Baha El Din (1996), Bell (1825), Blanford (1874, 1881), Böhme (1978, 1982, 1988), Boulenger (1885), Cunningham (2000, 2001a, 2001b), Darevsky and Kupriyanova (1993), Denzer et al. (1997), Fitzinger (1826, 1843), Forsskal (1775), Frahm (2006), Fris and Thulin (1984), Geniez et al. (2004), Gray (1845), Haas and Werner (1969), Hall (1999), Handl (2002), Hardwicke and Gray (1827), Harris et al. (2007), Heyden (1827), Honda et al. (2000), Joger (1986, 1987), Joger and Gray (1997), Joger and Lambert (1996), Kevork and Al-Uthman (1972), Khalaf (1959), Khan (1980), Knapp (2004), Kocher et al. (1989), Lanza (1988), Largen and Spawls (2006), Leviton et al. (1992), Lichtenstein (1823), Löhr (2004), Macey et al. (1997, 2000), Maddison et al. (1984), Mateo et al. (1998), Merrem (1820), Mertens (1956, 1962), Minton (1966), Moody (1980, 1987), Müller (1921, 1951), Murray (1884), Ninni (1933), Nylander (2002), O'Shaughnessy (1880), Page (2001), Palumbi et al. (1991), Parker (1930, 1932, 1942), Peters (1971), Rastegar-Pouyani (2005), Pyron et al. (2013), Reeder (2003), Saleh (1997), Schätti (1989), Schätti and Desvoignes (1999), Schätti and Gasperetti (1994), Schleich et al. (1996), Schmitz (2003), Schmitz et al. (2001, 2005), Seufer et al. (1998), Sindalco and Jeremcenko (2008), Straunch (1863), Steindachner (1899), Swofford (2002), Tourenq (2005), Watrous and Wheeler (1981), Vernet et al. (1998), Wermuth (1967), Wilms (1995, 1998, 2001, 2005, 2007a, 2007b), Wilms and Böhme (2000a, 2000b, 2000c, 2001, 2007), Wilms and Hulbert (1995, 2000), Wilms and Müller (1998), Wilms and Schmitz (2007), Wilms et al. (2002a, 2002b, 2003, 2009), Yang et al. (1994), Zari (1999) and sources cited therein.

Because of the detail of descriptions within the just cited material,

and the fact that the major recent works by Wilms and others are widely available on the internet, most of this information is not unnecessarily repeated here. This paper instead concentrates on providing proper Zoological Code compliant descriptions of the relevant species groups.

While this paper does not specifically deal with the Leiolepidinae, I should make mention of some key studies into this group including a number that attempted to deal with or resolve taxonomic matters, these including Ananjeva *et al.* (2001), Aranyavalai *et al.* (2004), Bobrov and Semenov (2008), Böhme (2013, 2012), Boistel *et al.* (2011), Boulenger (1885, 1890), Chan-ard *et al.* (1999), Cox *et al.* (1998), Darevsky and Kupriyanov (1993), de Rooij (1915), Gray (1835), Grismer (2008, 2011), Grismer and Grismer (2010), Grismer *et al.* (2002, 2007), Hall (2009), Hardwicke and Gray (1827), Hartmann *et al.* (2012), Hien *et al.* (2001), Lim and Ng (1999), Macey *et al.* (2000), Manthey and Grossmann (1997), Manthey and Schuster (1999), Mertens (1951), Meshaka (2011), Pauwels and Chimsunchart (2007), Pauwels *et al.* (2000, 2003), Sang *et al.* (2009), Smith (1935), Sworder (1933), Taylor (1963), Ziegler and Nguyen (2010) and sources cited therein.

NOTES ON TAXA NAMED HEREIN

The format of the descriptions is as follows: It commences with a new diagnosis for the subfamily Uromastycinae, followed by one for each genus, including those already formally described and for which their names were already available for use by others within the rules of the Zoological Code (Ride *et al.* 1999). Each new genus-level diagnosis will in effect separate each of the relevant genera from one another. After these there will be formal diagnosis and definitions according to the rules of the Zoological Code for each of the newly named tribes.

In the event a later author seeks to merge one or more taxon groups (taxa) described within this paper, the order of priority should be by page priority in terms of this paper; that is the first name listed is the first to be used. Gender, spellings and the like of names or other perceived errors should not be altered in any way unless mandated by the Zoological Code, even if apparently wrong in the original descriptions herein, including by derivation or gender.

SUBFAMILY UROMASTYCINAE THEOBOLD, 1868. (Terminal taxon: Lacerta aegyptia Forskal, 1775)

Diagnosis: The lizard subfamily *Uromastycinae* Theobold, 1868 is most easily defined as follows:

Acrodont dentition, with the premaxillary bone forming in adult specimens a sharp, tooth-like structure

replacing the incisive teeth. Tail scalation is arranged in distinct whorls.

For the tribe Uromastyxiini *tribe nov*. these whorls are not separated by intercalary scales dorsally.

For the tribe Borgsauriini *tribe nov*. these whorls are separated by 1-6 rows of intercalary scales dorsally.

In further detail the subfamily Uromastycinae is defined as follows: Tympanum large, vertically elliptic and distinct. Incisors large, uniting in the adult into one or two cutting-teeth, separated from the molars by a toothless interspace. Body depressed, without crest. No gular pouch; a transverse gular fold. Tail short, depressed, covered with whorls of large spinose scales. Praeanal and femoral pores present.

The head is small, feebly depressed, with a short snout and obtuse canthus rostralis; nostril large, directed backwards, nearer the end of the snout than the eye; upper head-scales smooth, much larger than those on the body, smallest on supraorbital region; occipital not enlarged; labials small and numerous. Neck strongly plicate. Limbs short and thick; hind limb with spinose conical tubercles; digits short and armed with strong claws. Scales on upper surface of body very small, on belly larger, fiat, smooth, juxtaposed or subimbricate.

Distribution: Species until now placed in the genus *Uromastyx* (now placed in the tribe Uromastyxiini *tribe nov.*) inhabit a range stretching through most of North Africa and the Middle East, ranging as far east as Iran. The three species found further east in dry habitats in southwest Asia, ranging from Iran to north-western

India have more recently been placed in the genus *Saara* (Borgsauriini *tribe nov*.).

Content: Uromastyx Merrem, 1820 (type genus); Aporoscelis Boulenger, 1885; Borgsaurus gen. nov.; Centrotrachelus Strauch, 1863; Dicksmithsaurus gen. nov.; Euanedwardssaurus gen. nov.; Saara Gray, 1845.

GENUS UROMASTYX MERREM, 1820.

Type species: Lacerta aegyptia Forskal, 1775.

Diagnosis: The lizard genus *Uromastyx* Merrem, 1820 has until recently been diagnosed as follows:

Acrodont dentition, with the premaxillary bone forming in adult specimens a sharp, tooth- like structure

replacing the incisive teeth. Tail scalation arranged in distinct whorls, which are not separated by intercalary scales dorsally. The fact that the whorls on the tail are not separated by intercalary scales dorsally divides *Uromastyx* and all other species in the tribe Uromastyxinin *tribe nov*. from the genera within the tribe Borgsauriini *tribe nov*.

With *Uromastyx* as recognized by other authors to date, herein divided into four genera, corresponding with well-established species group lineages, the genus is best diagnosed by defining what separates species within each of the four genera formerly treated as being within *Uromastyx*.

Each of the four genera are hereby defined as follows: The genus *Uromastyx* is defined and separated from all other Uromastyxiini *tribe nov.* as follows, being one or other of: Has preanofemoral pores; tail long, approx. 48-103% of SVL, and when viewed from above it appears to be elongated (as opposed to disc-shaped); the last 2-5 tail whorls formed of continuous scales rows; 238-391 scales at midbody, 112-193 ventrals between gular and inguinal fold, (subgenus *Uromastyx*); or:

Without preanofemoral pores; tail long, approx. 71-94% of SVL; 22-27 whorls on the tail; body scales small, approx. 297-301 scales around the midbody; 121-122 scales between gular and inguinal fold (subgenus *Supremeuromastyx subgen. nov.*).

The genus *Aporoscelis* Boulenger, 1885 is separated from all other Uromastyxiini *tribe nov.* by lacking preanofemoral pores and having a relatively short tail, being about 35-53% of the SVL and having 9-14 whorls on the tail.

Wilms *et al.* (2009) noted "With the exception of *Uromastyx thomasi*, *U. princeps* is distinguished from all other taxa in the genus (as defined by them) by its significantly shorter tail. From *U. thomasi* it is distinguished by the absence of preanofemoral pores." The genus *Edwardssaurus gen. nov.* is best defined by separation of each of the described subgenera. Therefore each diagnosis given herein separates not just the subgenus from others within the genus, but also from all other Uromastyxiini *tribe nov.* species. *Edwardssaurus gen. nov.* are defined by one of the following three suites of characters:

1/ Has preanofemoral pores; the tail is long being 48-75% of SVL, from above the tail has an elongated appearance; the last 2-5 tail whorls are formed of continuous scales rows; 138-227 scales at midbody; 68-112 ventrals between gular and inguinal fold; tail with 16-21 whorls (subgenus *Edwardssaurus subgen. nov.*), or: 2/ Has preanofemoral pores; the tail is long being 48-103% of SVL, viewed from above it appears to be elongated; the last 12-21 tail whorls formed of continuous scale rows; anterior margin of ear opening without enlarged scales (subgenus *Newmansaurus subgen. nov.*), or:

3/ Lacks preanofemoral pores; the tail is long being 71-94 % of SVL; 22-27 tail whorls; body scales larger, approx. 143-227 scales at midbody; 66-100 scales between gular and inguinal fold (subgenus *Dallysaurus subgen. nov.*).

The genus *Dicksmithsaurus gen. nov.* best defined by separation of each of the described subgenera. Therefore each diagnosis given herein separates not just the subgenus from others within the genus, but also from all other Uromastyxiini *tribe nov.* species. *Dicksmithsaurus gen. nov.* are defined by one of the following three suites of characters:

1/ Has preanofemoral pores; the tail is long being 48-103% of SVL, and when viewed from above it appears to be elongated; the last

12-21 tail whorls formed of continuous scale rows; anterior margin of ear opening has enlarged scales (subgenus *Dicksmithsaurus subgen. nov.*), or:

2/ Has preanofemoral pores; the tail is short being 25-35% of the SVL and when viewed from above above it appears to be disk-shaped (subgenus *Stokessaurus subgen. nov.*), or:

3/ Has preanofemoral pores; the tail is long being 70-98% of the SVL, and when viewed from above it appears to be an elongated shape; the last 2-5 tail whorls formed of continuous scales rows; 138-227 scales at midbody, 68-112 ventrals between gular and inguinal fold; tail with 20-24 whorls (subgenus *Mooressaurus subgen. nov.*).

Comment: It is likely that further studies will result in *Supremeuromastyx subgen. nov.* being elevated to full genus status, with the current designation being at the most conservative available.

The morphological differences between the nominate species and other *Uromastyx* are significant.

Distribution: Northern Egypt, through the Middle-east to Iran for the subgenus *Uromastyx* and known from two locations only in the Western Sahara Desert for *Supremeuromastyx subgen. nov.*. Content: *Uromastyx aegyptia* (Forskal, 1775) (type species); *U. leptieni* Wilms and Böhme, 2000; *U. microlepis* Arnold, 1980; *U. occidentalis* Mateo, Geniuz, Lopez-Jurado and Bons, 1999.

SUBGENUS UROMASTYX MERREM, 1820.

Type species: Lacerta aegyptia Forskal, 1775.

Diagnosis: The subgenus *Uromastyx* Merrem, 1820, is separated from the other subgenus *Supremeuromastyx subgen. nov.* by the following suite of characters: Specimens have preanofemoral pores; a long tail that is approx. 48-103% of SVL, and when viewed from above it appears to be elongated (as opposed to disc-shaped); the last 2-5 tail whorls are formed of continuous scales rows; 238-391 scales at midbody, 112-193 ventrals between gular and inguinal fold.

This is contrasted with *Supremeuromastyx subgen. nov.* which is defined as having no preanofemoral pores; a long tail, being approx. 71-94% of SVL; 22-27 whorls on the tail; body scales small, approx. 297-301 scales around the midbody; 121-122 scales between gular and inguinal fold (subgenus *Supremeuromastyx subgen. nov.*).

The two subgeneric diagnoses also separate each subgenus from all other species and genera within the tribe Uromastyxiini *tribe nov*.

Distribution: The three species within the subgenus *Uromastyx* Merrem, 1820 have the following distributions:

Uromastyx aegyptia (Forskal, 1775) the type species is found in northern Egypt east of the river Nile, the Sinai Peninsula, Palestina and extreme northwestern Saudi Arabia (Wadi Sawawin / Jabal as Sinfa). The border between the ranges of the taxa *U. aegyptia* and *U. microlepis* Arnold, 1980 is obviously east of Wadi Araba in Palestina and Jordan and east of Wadi Sawawin in the Jabal as Sinfa region of Saudi Arabia.

Uromastyx microlepis Arnold, 1980 is found in the deserts and semideserts of Arabia (Saudi Arabia, Yemen,

Oman, United Arab Emirates, Qatar, Kuwait), in Jordan, Syria, Iraq and coastal Iran.

Uromastyx leptieni Wilms and Böhme, 2000 is known from east of the Hajar al-Gharbi mountains in northern Oman (from the vicinity of Muscat up to the Musandam peninsular), and from north-eastern United Arab Emirates. The westernmost locality is near Abu Dhabi Airport.

SUBGENUS SUPREMEUROMASTYX SUBGEN. NOV.

Type species: *Uromastyx occidentalis* Mateo, Geniuz, Lopez-Jurado and Bons, 1999.

Diagnosis: The subgenus *Supremeuromastyx subgen. nov.* is separated from *Uromastyx* Merrem, 1820, by the following suite of characters:

No preanofemoral pores; a long tail, being approx. 71-94% of SVL; 22-27 whorls on the tail; body scales small, approx. 297-301 scales around the midbody; 121-122 scales between gular and inguinal fold (subgenus *Supremeuromastyx subgen. nov.*).

This is contrasted with the diagnosis for the subgenus *Uromastyx* which are defined as follows:

Preanofemoral pores present; a long tail that is approx. 48-103% of SVL, and when viewed from above it appears to be elongated (as opposed to disc-shaped); the last 2-5 tail whorls are formed of continuous scales rows; 238-391 scales at midbody, 112-193 ventrals between gular and inguinal fold (subgenus *Uromastyx*). The two subgeneric diagnoses also separate each subgenus from all other species and genera within the tribe Uromastyxiini *tribe nov*.

Distribution: Known only from the type locality and from Udei Sfa (45 km west of Maatal Laj, 22°22'N 15°32'W; in the Western Sahara, North Africa, Genez *et al.* 2004).

Ertmology: The name *Supremeuromastyx* in two parts refers to (a) the magnificence of the species monotypic for the subgenus and (b) the obvious close affinity with the nominate subgenus *Uromastyx*.

Content: Uromastyx (Supremeuromastyx) occidentalis Mateo, Geniuz, Lopez-Jurado and Bons, 1999 (monotypic).

GENUS APOROSCELIS BOULENGER, 1885.

Type species: *Uromastix princeps* O'Shaughnessy, 1880. **Diagnosis:** The genus *Aporoscelis* Boulenger, 1885 is separated from all other Uromastyxiini *tribe nov*. by lacking preanofemoral pores and having a relatively short tail, being about 35-53% of the SVL and having 9-14 whorls on the tail.

Wilms *et al.* (2009) noted "With the exception of *Uromastyx thomasi*, *U. princeps* is distinguished from all other taxa in the genus (as defined by them) by its significantly shorter tail. From *U. thomasi* it is distinguished by the absence of preanofemoral pores." **Distribution:** Found in the Somalian provinces of Sanaag, Bari, Nogal and Mudug.

Content: Aporoscelis princeps (O'Shaughnessy, 1880) (monotypic).

GENUS EDWARDSSAURUS GEN. NOV.

Type species: Uromastyx dispar Heyden, 1827.

Diagnosis: The genus *Edwardssaurus gen. nov.* is best defined by separation of each of the described subgenera.

Therefore each diagnosis given herein separates not just the

subgenus from others within the genus, but also from all other Uromastyxiini *tribe nov*. species.

Edwardssaurus gen. nov. are defined by one of the following three suites of characters:

1/ Has preanofemoral pores; the tail is long being 48-75% of SVL,

from above the tail has an elongated appearance; the last 2-5 tail whorls are formed of continuous scales rows; 138-227 scales at

midbody; 68-112 ventrals between gular and inguinal fold; tail with 16-21 whorls (subgenus *Edwardssaurus subgen. nov.*), or:

2/ Has preanofemoral pores; the tail is long being 48-103% of

SVL, viewed from above it appears to be elongated; the last 12-21 tail whorls formed of continuous scale rows; anterior margin of ear opening without enlarged scales (subgenus *Newmansaurus subgen. nov.*), or:

3/ Lacks preanofemoral pores; the tail is long being 71-94% of

SVL; 22-27 tail whorls; body scales larger, approx. 143-227 scales

at midbody; 66-100 scales between gular and inguinal fold

(subgenus Dallysaurus subgen. nov.).

The lizard subfamily *Uromastycinae* Theobold, 1868 is most easily defined as follows:

Acrodont dentition, with the premaxillary bone forming in adult specimens a sharp, tooth-like structure

replacing the incisive teeth. Tail scalation is arranged in distinct whorls.

For the tribe Uromastyxiini *tribe nov*. these whorls are not separated by intercalary scales dorsally.

For the tribe Borgsauriini *tribe nov.* these whorls are separated by 1-6 rows of intercalary scales dorsally.

In further detail the subfamily Uromastycinae is defined as follows:

Tympanum large, vertically elliptic and distinct. Incisors large,

uniting in the adult into one or two cutting-teeth, separated from the molars by a toothless interspace. Body depressed, without crest.

No gular pouch; a transverse gular fold. Tail short, depressed, covered with whorls of large spinose scales. Praeanal and femoral pores present.

The head is small, feebly depressed, with a short snout and obtuse canthus rostralis; nostril large, directed backwards, nearer the end of the snout than the eye; upper head-scales smooth, much larger than those on the body, smallest on supraorbital region; occipital not enlarged; labials small and numerous. Neck strongly plicate. Limbs short and thick; hind limb with spinose conical tubercles; digits short and armed with strong claws. Scales on upper surface of body very small, on belly larger, fiat, smooth, juxtaposed or subimbricate.

Distribution: Northern Africa, including those countries that abut and include the Sahara Desert as well as the immediately nearby Middle East and lower Arabian Peninsula.

Etymology: Named in honour of Euan Edwards, currently of the Gold Coast, Queensland, Australia, for his immense contributions to herpetology world-wide, including considerable behind the scenes logistical support for herpetologists and scientists in several countries (including myself), including extensive fieldwork in Australia, the United States of America, Madagascar and Africa and gaining access to various institutions, collections, diagnostic facilities and the like, spanning some decades. Also of note is that it was in August 1993, when in Florida, USA that I was with Euan Edwards when he first showed me live specimens of *"Uromastyx"* from the genus now named in his honour that were on view at the 1993 Orlando Reptile Expo.

Content: Edwardssuarus dispar (Heyden, 1827) (type species); *E. acanthinura* (Bell, 1825); *E. benti* (Anderson, 1894); *E. flavifasciata* (Mertens, 1962); *E. hodhensis* (Trape *et al.*, 2012); *E. maliensis* (Joger and Lambert, 1996); *E. nigriventris* (Rothschild and Hartert, 1912); *E. ocellata* (Lichenstein, 1823); *E. shobraki* (Wilms and Schmitz, 2007); *E. yemenensis* (Wilms and Schmitz, 2007).

SUBGENUS EDWARDSSAURUS SUBGEN. NOV.

Type species: Uromastyx dispar Heyden, 1827.

Diagnosis: The subgenus *Edwardssaurus subgen. nov.* is defined by the following suite of characters:

Has preanofemoral pores; the tail is long being 48-75% of SVL, from above the tail has an elongated appearance; the last 2-5 tail whorls are formed of continuous scales rows; 138-227 scales at midbody; 68-112 ventrals between gular and inguinal fold; tail with 16-21 whorls (subgenus *Edwardssaurus subgen. nov.*).

The other two subgenera within *Edwardssaurus gen. nov.* are defined as follows: one or other of:

1/ Has preanofemoral pores; the tail is long being 48-103% of SVL, viewed from above it appears to be elongated; the last 12-21 tail whorls formed of continuous scale rows; anterior margin of ear opening without enlarged scales (subgenus *Newmansaurus subgen. nov.*), or:

2/ Lacks preanofemoral pores; the tail is long being 71-94% of SVL; 22-27 tail whorls; body scales larger, approx. 143-227 scales at midbody; 66-100 scales between gular and inguinal fold (subgenus *Dallysaurus subgen. nov.*).

Distribution: North Africa.

Etymology: See for genus Edwardssaurus gen. nov..

Content: Edwardssuarus (Edwardssaurus) dispar (Heyden, 1827) (type species); E. (Edwardssaurus) acanthinura (Bell, 1825); E.

(Edwardssaurus) flavifasciata (Mertens, 1962); E. (Edwardssaurus) hodhensis (Trape et al., 2012); E.

(Edwardssaurus) maliensis (Tape et al., 2012), E. (Edwardssaurus) maliensis (Joger and Lambert, 1996); E. (Edwardssaurus) nigriventris (Rothschild and Hartert, 1912).

SUBGENUS DALLYSAURUS SUBGEN. NOV.

Type species: Aporoscelis benti Anderson, 1894. Diagnosis: The subgenus Dallysaurus subgen. nov. is defined by the following suite of characters:

Lacks preanofemoral pores; the tail is long being 71-94% of SVL; 22-27 tail whorls; body scales larger, approx. 143-227 scales at midbody; 66-100 scales between gular and inguinal fold (subgenus *Dallysaurus subgen. nov.*).

The other two subgenera within Edwardssaurus gen. nov. are

Available online at www.herp.net Copyright- Kotabi Publishing - All rights reserved

64

54-

defined as follows: one or other of:

1/ Has preanofemoral pores; the tail is long being 48-75% of SVL, from above the tail has an elongated appearance; the last 2-5 tail whorls are formed of continuous scales rows; 138-227 scales at midbody; 68-112 ventrals between gular and inguinal fold; tail with 16-21 whorls (subgenus *Edwardssaurus subgen. nov.*).

2/ Has preanofemoral pores; the tail is long being 48-103% of SVL, viewed from above it appears to be elongated; the last 12-21 tail whorls formed of continuous scale rows; anterior margin of ear opening without enlarged scales (subgenus *Newmansaurus subgen. nov.*).

Distribution: The southern Arabian Peninsula.

Etymology: Named in honour of Gavin Dally, in 2014 the longserving collection manager at the Natural Sciences Museum and Art Gallery of the Northern Territory, Darwin, NT, for his many services to zoology.

Content: Edwardssuarus (Dallysaurus) benti (Anderson, 1894) (type species); E. (Dallysaurus) shobraki (Wilms and Schmitz, 2007); E. (Dallysaurus) yemenensis (Wilms and Schmitz, 2007).

SUBGENUS NEWMANSAURUS SUBGEN. NOV.

Type species: Uromastyx ocellata Lichtenstein, 1823.

Diagnosis: The subgenus *Newmansaurus subgen. nov.* is defined by the following suite of characters:

Has preanofemoral pores; the tail is long being 48-103% of SVL, viewed from above it appears to be elongated; the last 12-21 tail whorls formed of continuous scale rows; anterior margin of ear opening without enlarged scales (subgenus *Newmansaurus subgen. nov.*).

The other two subgenera within *Edwardssaurus gen. nov.* are defined as follows: one or other of:

1/ Has preanofemoral pores; the tail is long being 48-75% of SVL, from above the tail has an elongated appearance; the last 2-5 tail whorls are formed of continuous scales rows; 138-227 scales at midbody; 68-112 ventrals between gular and inguinal fold; tail with 16-21 whorls (subgenus *Edwardssaurus subgen. nov.*).

2/ Lacks preanofemoral pores; the tail is long being 71-94% of SVL; 22-27 tail whorls; body scales larger, approx. 143-227 scales at midbody; 66-100 scales between gular and inguinal fold (subgenus *Dallysaurus subgen. nov.*).

Distribution: North-east Africa, including North-west Somalia, Djibouti, Eritrea, Northern Sudan, South East Egypt, Ethiopia (near the Somalian border).

Etymology: Named in honour of Chris Newman of the United Kingdom, Chairman of the Federation of British Herpetologists in recognition of many years work, lobbying for the rights of private reptile keepers in the UK.

Content: Edwardssaurus (Newmansaurus) ocellata (Lichtenstein, 1823) (monotypic).

GENUS DICKSMITHSAURUS GEN. NOV.

Type species: Uromastix macfadyeni Parker, 1932.

Diagnosis: The genus *Dicksmithsaurus gen. nov.* is best defined by separation of each of the described subgenera, (within *Dicksmithsaurus gen. nov.*). Therefore each diagnosis given herein separates not just the subgenus from others within the genus, but

also from all other Uromastyxiini *tribe nov*. species. *Dicksmithsaurus gen. nov.* are defined by one of the following three suites of characters:

1/ Has preanofemoral pores; the tail is long being 48-103% of SVL, and when viewed from above it appears to be elongated; the last 12-21 tail whorls formed of continuous scale rows; anterior margin of ear opening has enlarged scales (subgenus *Dicksmithsaurus subgen. nov.*), or:

2/ Has preanofemoral pores; the tail is short being 25-35% of the SVL and when viewed from above it appears to be disk-shaped (subgenus *Stokessaurus subgen. nov.*), or:

3/ Has preanofemoral pores; the tail is long being 70-98% of the SVL, and when viewed from above it appears to be an elongated shape; the last 2-5 tail whorls formed of continuous scales rows; 138-227 scales at midbody, 68-112 ventrals between gular and inguinal fold; tail with 20-24 whorls (subgenus *Mooressaurus subgen. nov.*).

The lizard genus *Uromastyx* Merrem, 1820 (now divided to include other genera including *Dicksmithsaurus gen. nov.*) has until recently been diagnosed as follows:

Acrodont dentition, with the premaxillary bone forming in adult specimens a sharp, tooth- like structure

replacing the incisive teeth. Tail scalation arranged in distinct whorls, which are not separated by intercalary scales dorsally. The fact that the whorls on the tail are not separated by intercalary scales dorsally divides *Uromastyx* and all other species in the tribe Uromastyxinin *tribe nov*. (including *Dicksmithsaurus gen. nov*.) from the genera within the tribe Borgsauriini *tribe nov*.

Distribution: *Dicksmithsaurus subgen. nov.* are only known from north-west Somalia, eastern Egypt, Israel, Saudi Arabia and north-west Yemen.

Stokessaurus subgen. nov. are only positively known from coastal Oman.

Mooresaurus subgen. nov. are only positively known from South Algeria, Mali, Niger and South-west Libya.

Etymology: Named in honour of wealthy Australian businessman Dick Smith, the former owner of the electronics stores "Dick Smith Electronics". In Hoser (1991) I wrote of the ecological and social disasters likely to arise should Australian overpopulation get worse than it was at the time.

Since then the Australian government has worsened the environmental destruction by paying people to breed, with various ridiculous "baby bonus" schemes, which make old fashioned prostitution (sex for cash) seem poor by comparison.

As a result, Australia is beset by overcrowding in the cities and ongoing extinctions of fauna. This is a pattern being replicated worldwide.

For advocating a cessation of the population growth policies of Australian governments, I have faced malicious criminal charges and repeatedly been held up for public hatred.

Dick Smith, protected from harassment by government-backed henchmen posing as law-enforcement agents due to his immense personal wealth has taken up the environmental challenges caused by overpopulation. To his credit he has made a number of television shows spreading the message of population restraint. It is therefore fitting that a wild animal taxon group threatened by this very overpopulation (outside Australia in this case) be named in his honour.

Content: Dicksmithsaurus macfadyeni (Parker, 1932) (type species); D. alfredschmidti (Wilms and Böhme, 2001); D. geyri (Müller, 1922); D. ornata (Heyden, 1827); D. philbyi (Parker, 1938); D. thomasi (Parker, 1930).

SUBGENUS DICKSMITHSAURUS SUBGEN. NOV.

Type species: Uromastix macfadyeni Parker, 1932.

Diagnosis: The subgenus *Dicksmithsaurus subgen. nov.* is best defined by separation of each of the described subgenera, (within *Dicksmithsaurus gen. nov.*) including for *Dicksmithsaurus subgen. nov.* each diagnosis given herein therefore separating not just the subgenus from others within the genus, but also from all other Uromastyxiini *tribe nov.* species.

Dicksmithsaurus subgen. nov. are defined by the following suite of characters:

Has preanofemoral pores; the tail is long being 48-103% of SVL, and when viewed from above it appears to be elongated; the last 12-21 tail whorls formed of continuous scale rows; anterior margin of ear opening has enlarged scales (subgenus *Dicksmithsaurus subgen. nov.*).

The other two subgenera within *Dicksmithsaurus gen. nov.* are diagnosed and defined as follows:

1/ Has preanofemoral pores; the tail is short being 25-35% of the SVL and when viewed from above it appears to be disk-shaped (subgenus *Stokessaurus subgen. nov.*), or:

2/ Has preanofemoral pores; the tail is long being 70-98% of the SVL, and when viewed from above it appears to be an elongated shape; the last 2-5 tail whorls formed of continuous scales rows; 138-227 scales at midbody, 68-112 ventrals between gular and inguinal fold; tail with 20-24 whorls (subgenus *Mooressaurus subgen. nov.*).

The lizard genus *Uromastyx* Merrem, 1820 (now divided to include other genera including *Dicksmithsaurus gen. nov.*) has until recently been diagnosed as follows:

Acrodont dentition, with the premaxillary bone forming in adult specimens a sharp, tooth- like structure

replacing the incisive teeth. Tail scalation arranged in distinct whorls, which are not separated by intercalary scales dorsally. The fact that the whorls on the tail are not separated by intercalary scales dorsally divides *Uromastyx* and all other species in the tribe Uromastyxinin *tribe nov*. (including *Dicksmithsaurus gen. nov.*) from the genera within the tribe Borgsauriini *tribe nov*.

Distribution: *Dicksmithsaurus subgen. nov.* are only known from north-west Somalia, eastern Egypt, Israel, Saudi Arabia and north-west Yemen.

Etymology: See for the genus Dicksmithsaurus gen. nov..

Content: *Dicksmithsaurus* (*Dicksmithsaurus*) *macfadyeni* (Parker, 1932) (type species); *D.* (*Dicksmithsaurus*) *ornata* (Heyden, 1827); *D.* (*Dicksmithsaurus*) *philbyi* (Parker, 1938).

SUBGENUS STOKESSAURUS SUBGEN. NOV.

Type species: Uromastix thomasi Parker, 1932.

Diagnosis: The genus *Dicksmithsaurus subgen. nov.* is best defined by separation of each of the described subgenera, (within *Dicksmithsaurus gen. nov.*) including for *Stokessaurus subgen. nov.* each diagnosis given herein therefore separating not just the relevant subgenus from others within the genus, but also from all other Uromastyxiini *tribe nov.* species.

Stokessaurus subgen. nov. are defined by the following suite of characters:

1/ Has preanofemoral pores; the tail is short being 25-35% of the SVL and when viewed from above it appears to be disk-shaped (subgenus *Stokessaurus subgen. nov.*).

The other two subgenera within *Dicksmithsaurus gen. nov.* are diagnosed and defined as follows:

1/ Has preanofemoral pores; the tail is long being 48-103% of SVL, and when viewed from above it appears to be elongated; the last 12-21 tail whorls formed of continuous scale rows; anterior margin of ear opening has enlarged scales (subgenus *Dicksmithsaurus subgen. nov.*).

2/ Has preanofemoral pores; the tail is long being 70-98% of the SVL, and when viewed from above it appears to be an elongated shape; the last 2-5 tail whorls formed of continuous scales rows; 138-227 scales at midbody, 68-112 ventrals between gular and inguinal fold; tail with 20-24 whorls (subgenus *Mooressaurus*)

subgen. nov.). The lizard genus Uromastyx Merrem, 1820 (now divided to include

other genera including *Dicksmithsaurus gen. nov.*) has until

recently been diagnosed as follows:

Acrodont dentition, with the premaxillary bone forming in adult specimens a sharp, tooth-like structure

replacing the incisive teeth. Tail scalation arranged in distinct whorls, which are not separated by intercalary scales dorsally. The fact that the whorls on the tail are not separated by intercalary scales dorsally divides *Uromastyx* and all other species in the tribe Uromastyxinini *tribe nov*. (including *Stokessaurus subgen. nov*.) from the genera within the tribe Borgsauriini *tribe nov*.

Distribution: Only positively known from coastal Oman. **Ertmology:** Named in honour of Paul Stokes, of Melbourne, Victoria, Australia. Through his role as owner of the retail pet store

"Amazing Amazon" in Springvale Road, Glen Waverley, Paul and his team have made a positive contribution to animal welfare by going the extra mile in terms of helping clients properly care for their pet reptiles and fish. Private ownership of animals is an important first step in fostering a desire for people to want to conserve wild animals as outlined in Hoser (1991).

Content: *Dicksmithsaurus* (*Stokessaurus*) *thomasi* (Parker, 1930) (monotypic).

SUBGENUS MOORESAURUS SUBGEN. NOV.

Type species: Uromastix geyri Müller, 1922.

Diagnosis: The subgenus Dicksmithsaurus subgen. nov. is best

defined by separation of each of the described subgenera, (within

Dicksmithsaurus gen. nov.) including Mooresaurus subgen. nov.

Therefore each diagnosis given herein separates not just the subgenus from others within the genus, but also from all other Uromastyxiini *tribe nov*. species.

Mooresaurus subgen. nov. are defined by the following suite of characters:

Has preanofemoral pores; the tail is long being 70-98% of the SVL, and when viewed from above it appears to be an elongated shape; the last 2-5 tail whorls formed of continuous scales rows; 138-227 scales at midbody, 68-112 ventrals between gular and inguinal fold; tail with 20-24 whorls (subgenus *Mooressaurus subgen. nov.*).

The other two subgenera within *Dicksmithsaurus gen. nov.* are diagnosed and defined as follows:

1/ Has preanofemoral pores; the tail is short being 25-35% of the SVL and when viewed from above it appears to be disk-shaped (subgenus *Stokessaurus subgen. nov.*).

2/ Has preanofemoral pores; the tail is long being 48-103% of SVL, and when viewed from above it appears to be elongated; the last 12-21 tail whorls formed of continuous scale rows; anterior margin of ear opening has enlarged scales (subgenus *Dicksmithsaurus subgen. nov.*).

The lizard genus *Uromastyx* Merrem, 1820 (now divided to include other genera including *Dicksmithsaurus gen. nov.*) has until recently been diagnosed as follows:

Acrodont dentition, with the premaxillary bone forming in adult specimens a sharp, tooth- like structure

replacing the incisive teeth. Tail scalation arranged in distinct whorls, which are not separated by intercalary scales dorsally. The fact that the whorls on the tail are not separated by intercalary scales dorsally divides *Uromastyx* and all other species in the tribe Uromastyxiini *tribe nov*. (including *Mooresaurus subgen. nov.*) from the genera within the tribe Borgsauriini *tribe nov*.

Distribution: Only positively known from South Algeria, Mali, Niger and South-west Libya.

Ertmology: Named in honour of Ben Moore, of Melbourne, Victoria, Australia. Through his role as manager of the retail pet store "Amazing Amazon" in Springvale Road, Glen Waverley, Ben Moore and his team have made a positive contribution to animal welfare by going the extra mile in terms of helping clients properly care for their pet reptiles and fish. Private ownership of animals is an important first step in fostering a desire for people to want to conserve wild animals as outlined in Hoser (1991).

Content: *Dicksmithsaurus (Mooressaurus) geyri* (Müller, 1922) (type species); *D. (Mooressaurus) alfredschmidti* (Wilms and Böhme, 2001).

GENUS SAARA GRAY, 1845.

Type species: Uromastyx hardwickii Gray, 1827.

Diagnosis: All lizards in the tribe Borgsauriini *tribe nov.* are defined as follows:

Acrodont dentition, with the premaxillary bone forming in adult specimens a sharp, tooth-like structure replacing the incisive teeth. Tail scalation arranged in distinct whorls, which are separated by 1-6 rows of intercalary scales dorsally.

It is the separation of the whorls on the tail by 1-6 rows of intercalary scales dorsally that separates this tribe from Uromastyxiini *tribe nov.* and also until now was the sole diagnostic character used to define and separate the genus *Saara* Gray, 1845 from other similar species.

With the genus *Saara* being divided three ways in this paper, it is best to define each genus as newly defined herein as follows: *Saara* Gray, 1845 is now distinguished from the genera *Centrotrachelus* Strauch, 1863 and *Borgsaurus gen. nov.* by having 2-6 rows of keeled intercalary scales separating each tail whorl dorsally. *Saara* is further separated from the genera *Centrotrachelus* Strauch, 1863 and *Borgsaurus gen. nov.* by having a tail with 29-36 primary whorls (as opposed to less than 28 for the other two genera) and dorsal scalation interspersed with irregular, only slightly enlarged, tubercular scales as opposed to dorsal scalation with transverse rows of conspicuously enlarged tubercular scales in *Centrotrachelus* Strauch, 1863 and *Borgsaurus gen. nov.*

Centrotrachelus Strauch, 1863 is distinguished from the genera *Saara* Gray, 1845 and *Borgsaurus gen. nov.* by having 1-2 rows of unkeeled intercalary scales separating each tail whorl dorsally and having 8-13 preanofemoral pores. *Centrotrachelus* is further distinguished by having sllightly enlarged scales at front edge of ear opening and 7-10 scales in a transverse row on the dorsal surface of the tail base.

Borgsaurus gen. nov. is distinguished from the genera *Saara* Gray, 1845 and *Centrotrachelus* Strauch, 1863 by having 1-2 rows of unkeeled intercalary scales separating each tail whorl dorsally and having 14-20 preanofemoral pores. *Borgsaurus gen. nov.* is further separated by having no enlarged scales at the front edge of the ear opening and 12 scales in a transverse row on the dorsal surface of the tail base.

Distribution: Afghanistan, Pakistan and India.

Content: Saara hardwickii Gray, 1827 (monotypic). GENUS CENTROTRACHELUS STRAUCH, 1863.

Type species: Centrotrachelus asmussi Strauch, 1863.

Diagnosis: All lizards in the tribe Borgsauriini *tribe nov*. are defined as follows:

Acrodont dentition, with the premaxillary bone forming in adult specimens a sharp, tooth-like structure replacing the incisive teeth. Tail scalation arranged in distinct whorls, which are separated by 1-6 rows of intercalary scales dorsally.

It is the separation of the whorls on the tail by 1-6 rows of intercalary scales dorsally that separates this tribe from Uromastyxiini *tribe nov.* and also until now was the sole diagnostic character used to define and separate the genus *Saara* Gray, 1845 from other similar species.

With the genus *Saara* being divided three ways in this paper, it is best to define each genus as newly defined herein as follows: *Centrotrachelus* Strauch, 1863 is distinguished from the genera *Saara* Gray, 1845 and *Borgsaurus gen. nov.* by having 1-2 rows of unkeeled intercalary scales separating each tail whorl dorsally and having 8-13 preanofemoral pores. *Centrotrachelus* is further distinguished by having sllightly enlarged scales at front edge of ear opening and 7-10 scales in a transverse row on the dorsal surface of the tail base.

Saara Gray, 1845 is now distinguished from the genera Centrotrachelus Strauch, 1863 and Borgsaurus gen. nov. by having 2-6 rows of keeled intercalary scales separating each tail whorl dorsally. Saara is further separated from the genera Centrotrachelus Strauch, 1863 and Borgsaurus gen. nov. by having a tail with 29-36 primary whorls (as opposed to less than 28 for the other two genera) and dorsal scalation interspersed with irregular, only slightly enlarged, tubercular scales as opposed to dorsal scalation with transverse rows of conspicuously enlarged tubercular scales in Centrotrachelus Strauch, 1863 and Borgsaurus gen. nov.

Borgsaurus gen. nov. is distinguished from the genera Saara Gray, 1845 and Centrotrachelus Strauch, 1863 by having 1-2 rows of unkeeled intercalary scales separating each tail whorl dorsally and having 14-20 preanofemoral pores. Borgsaurus gen. nov. is further separated by having no enlarged scales at the front edge of the ear opening and 12 scales in a transverse row on the dorsal surface of the tail base.

Distribution: Afghanistan, Pakistan and Southern Iran. Content: *Centrotrachelus asmussi* Strauch, 1863 (monotypic). GENUS BORGSAURUS GEN. NOV.

Type species: *Centrotrachelus loricatus* Blanford, 1874. **Diagnosis:** All lizards in the tribe Borgsauriini *tribe nov.* are defined as follows:

Acrodont dentition, with the premaxillary bone forming in adult specimens a sharp, tooth-like structure replacing the incisive teeth. Tail scalation arranged in distinct whorls, which are separated by 1-6 rows of intercalary scales dorsally.

It is the separation of the whorls on the tail by 1-6 rows of intercalary scales dorsally that separates this tribe from Uromastyxiini *tribe nov.* and also until now was the sole diagnostic character used to define and separate the genus *Saara* Gray, 1845 from other similar species.

With the genus *Saara* being divided three ways in this paper, it is best to define each genus as newly defined herein as follows: *Borgsaurus gen. nov.* is distinguished from the genera *Saara* Gray, 1845 and *Centrotrachelus* Strauch, 1863 by having 1-2 rows of unkeeled intercalary scales separating each tail whorl dorsally and having 14-20 preanofemoral pores. *Borgsaurus gen. nov.* is further separated by having no enlarged scales at the front edge of the ear opening and 12 scales in a transverse row on the dorsal surface of the tail base.

Centrotrachelus Strauch, 1863 is distinguished from the genera *Saara* Gray, 1845 and *Borgsaurus gen. nov.* by having 1-2 rows of unkeeled intercalary scales separating each tail whorl dorsally and having 8-13 preanofemoral pores. *Centrotrachelus* is further distinguished by having sllightly enlarged scales at front edge of ear opening and 7-10 scales in a transverse row on the dorsal surface of the tail base.

Saara Gray, 1845 is now distinguished from the genera *Centrotrachelus* Strauch, 1863 and *Borgsaurus gen. nov.* by having 2-6 rows of keeled intercalary scales separating each tail whorl dorsally. *Saara* is further separated from the genera *Centrotrachelus* Strauch, 1863 and *Borgsaurus gen. nov.* by having a tail with 29-36 primary whorls (as opposed to less than 28 for the other two genera) and dorsal scalation interspersed with irregular, only slightly enlarged, tubercular scales as opposed to dorsal scalation with transverse rows of conspicuously enlarged tubercular scales in *Centrotrachelus* Strauch, 1863 and *Borgsaurus gen. nov.*.

Distribution: Iraq and south-west Iran.

Etymology: Named in honour of Matt Borg of Mount Cottrell, on the outskirts of Melbourne, Victoria, Australia in recognition for his many services to Australian herpetology and wildlife conservation. This has most notably been through the breeding of enormous quantities of rodents used to feed collections of wildlife held for research and educational purposes. This includes food eaten by the many species held and used by Snakebusters, Australia's best reptile shows.

Content: Borgsaurus loricatus Blanford, 1874 (monotypic). TRIBE UROMASTYXIINI TRIBE NOV.

(Terminal taxon: Lacerta aegyptia Forskal, 1775)

Diagnosis: The lizard subfamily *Uromastycinae* Theobold, 1868 is most easily defined as follows:

Acrodont dentition, with the premaxillary bone forming in adult specimens a sharp, tooth-like structure

replacing the incisive teeth. Tail scalation is arranged in distinct whorls.

For the tribe Uromastyxiini tribe nov. these whorls are not separated by intercalary scales dorsally.

For the tribe Borgsauriini *tribe nov*. (the only other tribe in the subfamily Uromastycinae) these whorls are separated by 1-6 rows of intercalary scales dorsally.

In further detail the tribe Uromastyxiini *tribe nov*. is also defined as follows:

Tympanum large, vertically elliptic and distinct. Incisors large, uniting in the adult into one or two cutting-teeth, separated from the molars by a toothless interspace. Body depressed, without crest. No gular pouch; a transverse gular fold. Tail short, depressed, covered with whorls of large spinose scales. Praeanal and femoral pores present.

The head is small, feebly depressed, with a short snout and obtuse canthus rostralis; nostril large, directed backwards, nearer the end of the snout than the eye; upper head-scales smooth, much larger than those on the body, smallest on supraorbital region; occipital not enlarged; labials small and numerous. Neck strongly plicate. Limbs short and thick; hind limb with spinose conical tubercles; digits short and armed with strong claws. Scales on upper surface of body very small, on belly larger, fiat, smooth, juxtaposed or subimbricate.

Distribution: Species until now placed in the genus *Uromastyx* (now placed in the tribe Uromastyxiini *tribe nov.*) inhabit a range stretching through most of North Africa and the Middle East, ranging as far east as Iran. The three species found further east in

Available online at www.herp.net Copyright- Kotabi Publishing - All rights reserved

dry habitats in southwest Asia, ranging from Iran to north-western India have more recently been placed in the genus *Saara* (Borgsauriini *tribe nov.*).

Content: *Uromastyx* Merrem, 1820 (type genus); *Aporoscelis* Boulenger, 1885; *Dicksmithsaurus gen. nov.*; *Euanedwardssaurus gen. nov.*.

TRIBE BORGSAURIINI TRIBE NOV.

(Terminal taxon: Centrotrachelus loricatus Blanford, 1874)

Diagnosis: All lizards in the tribe Borgsauriini *tribe nov*. are defined as follows:

Acrodont dentition, with the premaxillary bone forming in adult specimens a sharp, tooth-like structure replacing the incisive teeth. Tail scalation arranged in distinct whorls, which are separated by 1-6 rows of intercalary scales dorsally.

It is the separation of the whorls on the tail by 1-6 rows of intercalary scales dorsally that separates this tribe from Uromastyxiini *tribe nov.* and also until now was the sole diagnostic character used to define and separate the genus *Saara* Gray, 1845 from other similar species.

With the genus *Saara* being divided three ways in this paper (the trio being the entire content of this new tribe), it is best to define each genus as newly defined herein as follows:

Borgsaurus gen. nov. is distinguished from the genera Saara Gray, 1845 and Centrotrachelus Strauch, 1863 by having 1-2 rows of unkeeled intercalary scales separating each tail whorl dorsally and having 14-20 preanofemoral pores. Borgsaurus gen. nov. is further separated by having no enlarged scales at the front edge of the ear opening and 12 scales in a transverse row on the dorsal surface of the tail base.

Centrotrachelus Strauch, 1863 is distinguished from the genera *Saara* Gray, 1845 and *Borgsaurus gen. nov.* by having 1-2 rows of unkeeled intercalary scales separating each tail whorl dorsally and having 8-13 preanofemoral pores. *Centrotrachelus* is further distinguished by having sllightly enlarged scales at front edge of ear opening and 7-10 scales in a transverse row on the dorsal surface of the tail base.

Saara Gray, 1845 is now distinguished from the genera Centrotrachelus Strauch, 1863 and Borgsaurus gen. nov. by having 2-6 rows of keeled intercalary scales separating each tail

- whorl dorsally. Saara is further separated from the genera
- Centrotrachelus Strauch, 1863 and Borgsaurus gen. nov. by
- having a tail with 29-36 primary whorls (as opposed to less than 28
- for the other two genera) and dorsal scalation interspersed with
- irregular, only slightly enlarged, tubercular scales as opposed to

dorsal scalation with transverse rows of conspicuously enlarged

tubercular scales in Centrotrachelus Strauch, 1863 and

Borgsaurus gen. nov..

Distribution: Borgsaurus gen. nov. is found in Iraq and south-west Iran.

Centrotrachelus Strauch, 1863 is found in Afghanistan, Pakistan and Southern Iran.

Saara Gray, 1845 is found in Afghanistan, Pakistan and India.

Etymology: See for the genus *Borgsaurus gen. nov*. (above).

Content: Borgsaurus gen. nov. (type genus); Centrotrachelus Strauch, 1863; Saara Gray, 1845.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

This author reports no conflict of interest in terms of any material within this paper.

REFERENCES CITED

Amer, S. A. M. and Kumazawa, Y. 2005. Mitochondrial DNA

- sequences of the Afro-Arabian spiny-tailed lizards (genus *Uromastyx*; family Agamidae): phylogenetic analyses and evolution of gene arrangements. *Biological Journal of the Linnean Society* 85(2):247-260.
- Anajeva, N. B. 2004. Phylogeny and Biogeography of Agamid
- Lizards (Agamidae, Lacertilia, Reptilia): Review of Modern

Concepts and Results of Molecular and Morphological Studies. Uspekhi Sovremenno Biologii 124(1):44-56.

- Ananjeva, N. B., Dujsebayeva, T. N. and Joger, J. 2001.
- Morphological study of the squamate integument: more evidence
- for the metataxon status of Leiolepidinae. Journal of Herpetology

35(3):507-510.

Anderson, J. 1894. On two new Species of Agamoid Lizards from the Hadramut, South-eastern Arabia. *The Annals and Magazine of Natural History* (6)14:376-378.

Anderson, J. 1896. A Contribution to the Herpetology of Arabia with a Preliminary List of the Reptiles and Batrachians of Egypt. R. H. Porter London:122 pp.

Anderson, J. 1901. A List of the Reptiles and Batrachians obtained by Mr. A. Blayney Percival in Southern Arabia. *Proceedings of the Zoological Society of London* 1901:137-152.

Anderson, S. C. 1999. *The Lizards of Iran*. VII + 442 pp. Saint Louis, Missouri; Society for the Study of Amphibians and Reptiles (SSAR).

Aranyavalai, V., Chulalaksananukul, W., and Thirakhupt, K. 2004. Karyological comparative study of the genus *Leiolepis* (Reptilia: Uromastycidae) from Thailand. *Chromosome Res.* 12 (Suppl. 1):7-8.

Arnold, E. N. 1980. The reptiles and amphibians of Dhofar, southern Arabia. pp. 273-332. in Reade, S. N. S., Sale, J. B., Gallagher, M. D. and Daly, R. H. (eds) *The Scientific Results of the Oman Flora and Fauna Survey 1977* (Dhofar). *Journal of Oman Studies* Special Report No. 2. Office of the Adviser for Conservation of the Environment, Diwan of the Royal Court, Muscat.

Arnold, E. N. 1986. A key and annotated check list to the lizards and amphisbaenians of Arabia. *Fauna of Saudi Arabia* 8:385-435. Arnold, E. N. 1987. Zoogeography of the reptiles and amphibians of Arabia. pp. 245-256. in: Krupp, F., Schneider, W. and

Kinzelbach, R. (eds) *Proceedings of the Symposium on the Fauna and Zoogeography of the Middle East, Mainz, 1985*, Beihefte zum Tübinger, Atlas des Vorderen Orients, A 28.

Baha El Din, S. 1996. Terrestrial reptiles of Abu Dhabi. pp. 125-147. in: Osborne,

P. E. (ed.), *Desert Ecology of Abu Dhabi - A Review and Recent Studies*. Pisces Publications in association with the National Avian Research Center, Newbury, UK.

Bell, T. 1825: Description of a new species of Lizard. *Zoological Journal London* 1:457-460.

Blanford, W. T. 1874. Description of two uromasticine lizards from Mesopotamia and southern Persia. *Proceedings of the Zoological Society of London* (1874):656-661.

Blanford, W. T. 1881. On a collection of Persian reptiles recently added to the British Museum. *Proceedings of the Zoological Society of London* 1881:671-682.

Bobrov V. V. and Semenov D. V. 2008. *Lizards of Vietnam* [in Russian]. Moscow:236 pp.

Böhme, W. 1978. Zur Herpetofaunistik des Senegal. *Bonner zoologische Beiträge* 29(49):360-416.

Böhme, W. 1982. Über Schmetterlingsagamen, *Leiolepis belliana belliana*, der malayischen Halbinsel und ihre parthenogenetischen Linien, (Sauria, Uromastycidae). *Zoologische Jahrbücher,*

Abteilung für Systematik, Ökologie und Geographie der Tiere 109:157-169.

Böhme, W. 1988. Zur Genitalmorphologie der Sauria - funktionelle und stammesgeschichtliche Aspekte. *Bonner Zoologische Monographien* 27:176 pp.

Böhme, W. 2003. Zur Kenntnis aggressiver Auseinandersetzungen frei lebender Schmetterlingsagamen (Gattung *Leiolepis* CUVIER), mit einer bei Wirbeltieren bisher unbekannten Lokomotionsform. *Draco* 4(14):34-39.

Böhme, W. 2012. About the Collection of and the Research on Agamid Lizards at the Zoologisches Forschungsmuseum Alexander Koenig (ZFMK) in Bonn, Germany. *Russ. J. Herpetol.* 19(2):101-107.

Boistel, R., Herrel, A., Lebrun, R., Daghfous, G., Tafforeau, P., and Losos, J. B. 2011. Shake Rattle and Roll: The Bony Labyrinth and Aerial Descent in Squamates. *Integrative and Comparative Biology*, doi:10.1093/icb/icr034

Boulenger, G. A. 1885. Catalogue of the lizards in the British Museum (Natural History). Volume I, Geckonidae, Eublepharidae, Uroplatidae, Pygopodidae, Agamidae. Second Edition. London, xii,

Available online at www.herp.net Copyright- Kotabi Publishing - All rights reserved

32 plates+436 pp.

Boulenger, G. A. 1890. *The Fauna of British India, Including Ceylon and Burma. Reptilia and Batrachia.* Taylor and Francis, London, xviii+541 pp.

Chan-ard, T., Grossmann, W., Gumprecht, A. and Schulz, K. D. 1999. *Amphibians and reptiles of peninsular Malaysia and Thailand - an illustrated checklist*. Bushmaster Publications, Würselen, Gemany:240 pp.

Cox, M. J., Van Dijk, P. P., Nabhitabhata, J. and Thirakhupt, K. 1998. *A Photographic Guide to Snakes and Other Reptiles of Peninsular Malaysia, Singapore and Thailand*. Ralph Curtis Publishing:144 pp.

Cunningham, P. L. 2000. Daily activity pattern and diet of a population of the spiny-tailed lizard, *Uromastyx aegyptius microlepis*, during summer in the United Arab Emirates. *Zoology in the Middle East* 21:37-46.

Cunningham, P. L. 2001a. Notes on the diet, survival rate, and burrow specifics of *Uromastyx aegyptius microlepis* from the United Arab Emirates. *Asiatic Herpetological Research* 9:30-33. Cunningham, P. L. 2001b. Spiny-tail lizard *Uromastyx aegyptius microlepis* diet - a study in the United Arab Emirates. *Tribulus* 11(2):28-29.

Darevsky, I. S. and Kupriyanova, L. A. 1993. Two new all-female lizard species of the genus *Leiolepis* Cuvier, 1829 from Thailand and Vietnam (Squamata: Sauria: Uromastycinae). *Herpetozoa* 6 (1-2):3-20.

Denzer, W., Günther, R. and Manthey, U. 1997. Kommentierter Typenkatalog der Agamen (Reptilia: Squamata: Agamidae) des Museums für Naturkunde der Humboldt Universität Berlin (ehemals Zoologisches Museum Berlin). *Mitteilungen des Zoologischen Museums* Berlin 73(1997):309-332.

de Rooij, N. 1915. *The Reptiles of the Indo-Australian Archipelago. I. Lacertilia, Chelonia, Emydosauria.* Leiden (E. J. Brill), xiv+384 pp.

Fitzinger, L. J. 1826. Neue Classification der Reptilien nach ihren natürlichen Verwandtschaften. Nebst einer Verwandtschafts-Tafel und einem Verzeichnisse der Reptiliensammlung des k.k. Zoologischen Museums zu Wien. J.G. Heubner, Wien: (SSAR Reprint 1997):viii+66 pp.

Fitzinger, L. J. 1843: *Systema Reptilium*. Vienna:106 pp. Forsskal, P. 1775: *Descriptiones animalium, avium, amphibiorum, piscium, insectorum, vermium; quae in itinere Orientali observavit Petrus Forskal. Post mortem auctoris edidit C. Niebuhr, adjuncta est materia medica Kahirina atque tabula Maris Rubris geographica.* Heineck et Faber, Havniae (Copenhagen), S. 20+xxxiv+164.

Frahm, S. 2006. *Die Geschmückte Dornschwanzagame* - Uromastyx ocellata. Natur und Tier Verlag, 64 S.

Fris, I and Thulin, M. 1984. The spelling of Pehr Forsskal's family name. *Taxon* 33(4):668-672.

Geniez, P., Mateo, J. A., Geniez, M. and Pether, J. 2004. *The Amphibians and Reptiles of the Western Sahara*. Edition Chimaira Frankfurt:229 pp.

Gray, J. E. 1835. Illustrations of Indian Zoology, chiefly selected from the collection of Major - General Hardwicke. [Coluber bengalensis]. Vol. 2. London (1833-1834):263 pp., 95 plates. Gray, J. E. 1845. Catalogue of the Specimens of lizards in the collection of the British museum. British Museum (Natural History), London, xxvii+289 pp.

Grismer, L. L. 2008. A new species of insular skink (Genus *Sphenomorphus* Fitzinger 1843) from the Langkawi Archipelago, Kedah, West Malaysia with the first report of the herpetofauna of Pulau Singa Besar and an updated checklist of the herpetofauna of Pulau Langkawi. *Zootaxa* 1691:53-66.

Grismer, L. L. 2011. *Lizards of Peninsular Malaysia, Singapore and their adjacent archipelagos.* Edition Chimaira, Frankfurt:728 pp. Grismer, J. L. and Grismer, L. L. 2010. Who's your mommy? Identifying maternal ancestors of asexual species of *Leiolepis* Cuvier, 1829 and the description of a new endemic species of asexual *Leiolepis* Cuvier, 1829 from Southern Vietnam. *Zootaxa* 2433:47-61.

Grismer, L. L., McGuire, J. A., Sosa, R. and Kaiser, H. 2002. Revised checklist and comments on the terrestrial herpetofauna of Pulau Tioman, Peninsular Malaysia. *Herpetological Review* 33(1):26-29.

Grismer, L. L., Chav, T., Neang, T., Wood, P. L. Jr., Grismer, J. L., Youmans, T. M., Ponce, A., Daltry, J. C. and Kaiser, H. 2007. The herpetofauna of the Phnom Aural Wildlife Sanctuary and checklist of the herpetofauna of the Cardamom Mountains, Cambodia. *Hamadryad* 31(2):216-241.

Haas, G. and Wernery. L. 1969. Lizards and Snakes from southwestern Asia, collected by Henry Field. *Bulletin of the Museum of Comparative Zoology* 138(6):327-406.

Hall, T. A. 1999. BioEdit: a user-friendly biological sequence alignment editor and analysis program for Windows 95/98/NT. *Nucleic Acids Symposium Series* 41:95-98.

Hall, W. P. 2009. Chromosome Variation, Genomics, Speciation and Evolution in *Sceloporus* Lizards. *Cytogenet. Genome Res.* 127:43-165.

Handl, A. 2002. *Multivariate Analysemethoden*. Springer-Verlag:463 pp.

Hardwicke, J. and Gray, J. E. 1827. A Synopsis of the Species of Saurian Reptiles, collected in India by Major-General Hardwicke. *Zoological Journal* London 3:213-229.

Harris, D. J., Vacconcelos, R. and Brito, J. C. 2007. Genetic variation within African spiny-tailed lizards (Agamidae: *Uromastyx*) estimated using mitochondrial DNA sequences. *Amphibia-Reptilia* 28(1):1-6.

Hartmann, T., Sothanin, S., Handschuh, M. and Böhme, W. 2012. The Taxonomic Status of the Red-Banded Butterfly Lizard, *Leiolepis rubritaeniata* Mertens, 1961, with Distributional and

Natural History Notes. . *Russ. J. Herpetol.* 19(2):108-114. Heyden, C. H. G. Von. 1827. Reptilien. in Rüppel, E. (ed.) *Atlas zu*

der Reise im nördlichen Afrika. Frankfurt a. M. Hien, P., Grossmann, W. and Schäfer, C. 2001. Beitrag zur Kenntnis der landbewohnenden Reptilienfauna von Pulau Tioman, West-Malaysia. *Sauria* 23(4):11-28.

Honda, M., Ota, H., Kobayashi, K., Nabhitabhata, J., Yong. H., Sengoku, S. and Hikida, T. 2000. Phylogenetic relationships of the family Agamidae (Reptilia: Iguania) inferred from mitochondrial DNA sequences. *Zoological Science* 17:527-537.

Hoser, R. T. 1991. *Endangered Animals of Australia.* Pierson Publishing, Mosman, NSW, Australia:240 pp.

Joger, U. 1986: Phylogenetic analysis of *Uromastyx* lizards, based on albumin immunological distances. pp. 187-191. in Rocek, Z. (ed.) *Studies in Herpetologie.* SEH Prague.

Joger, U. 1987. An interpretation of reptile zoogeography in Arabia, with special reference to Arabian herpetofauna. pp. 257-271. in Krupp, F., Schneider, W. and Kinzelbach, R. (eds): *Proceedings of the Symposium on the Fauna and Zoogeography of the Middle East, Mainz, 1985.* Beihefte zum Tübinger Atlas des Vorderen Orients, A 28.

Joger, U. and Gray, R. 1997. Sexualdimorphismus und Fortpflanzungsbiologie von *Uromastyx maliensis* Joger and Lambert, 1996. *Elaphe* 2:13-19.

Joger, U. and Lambert, M. R. K. 1996. Analysis of the herpetofauna of the Republic of Mali, 1. Annotated inventory, with description of a new *Uromastyx* (Sauria: Agamidae). *Journal of African Zoology* 110(1):21-51.

Kevork, K. and Al-Uthman, H. S. 1972. Ecological observations on the Egyptian spiny-tailed lizard *Uromastyx aegyptius*. *Bulletin of the Iraq Natural History Museum* (5)2:26-44.

Khalaf, K. T. 1959. *Reptiles of Iraq with some notes on the Amphibians*. Published by the Ministry of Education of Iraq, Baghdad:96 pp.

Khan, M. S. 1980. Affinities and Zoogeography of Herptiles of Pakistan. *Biologia* 26(1/2):113-171.

Knapp, A. 2004. An Assessment of the International Trade in Spiny-tailed Lizards Uromastyx with a Focus on the Role of the European Union. Technical Report to the European Commission:29 pp.

111.

Kocher, T. D., Thomas, W. K., Meyer, A., Edwards, S. V., Pääbo, S., Villablanca, F. X. and Wilson, A. C. 1989. Dynamics of mitchondrial DNA evolution in animals: Amplification and sequencing with conserved primers. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Science* 86:6196-6200.

Largen, M. J. and Spawls, S. 2006. Lizards of Ethiopia (Reptilia Sauria): an annotated checklist, bibliography, gazetteer and identification key. *Tropical Zoology* 19:21-109.

Lanza, B. 1988. Amphibians and reptiles of the Somali Democratic Republic: check list and biogeography. *Biogeographia* 14:407-465. Leviton, A. E., Anderson, S. C., Adler, K. and Minton, S. A. 1992. *Handbook to Middle East Amphibians and Reptiles*. Contributions to Herpetology 8, Society for the Study of Amphibians and Reptiles (SSAR): VII+252 pp.

Lichtenstein, H. 1823. Verzeichnis der Doubletten des Zoologischen Museum der Königlichen Universität zu Berlin nebst Beschreibung vieler bisher unbekannter Arten von Säugetieren, Vögeln, Amphibien und Fischen. Trautwein, Berlin, X+118 pp. Lim, K. K. P. and Ng, H. H. 1999. The terrestrial herpetofauna of Pulau Tioman, Peninsular Malaysia. *Raffles Bull. Zool.*, Suppl. 6:131-155.

Löhr, B. 2004. *Geyrs Dornschwanzagame* - Uromastyx geyri. Natur und Tier-Verlag:62 pp.

Macey, J. R., Larson, A., Ananjeva, N. B. and Papenfuss, T. J. 1997. Evolutionary shifts in three major structural features of the mitochondrial genome among iguanian lizards. *Journal of Molecular Evolution* 44:660-674.

Macey, J. R., Schulte II, J. A., Larson, A., Ananjeva, N. B., Wang, Y., Pethiyagoda, R., Rastegar-Pouyani, N. and Papenfuss, T. J. 2000. Evaluating Trans-Tethys migration: An example using acrodont lizard phylogenetics. *Systematic Biology* 49(2):233-256. Maddison, W. P., Donoghue, M. J. and Maddison, D. R. 1984. Outgroup analysis and parsimony. *Systematic Zool*. 33(1):83-103. Manthey, U. and Grossmann, W. 1997. *Amphibien and Reptilien Südostasiens*. Natur und Tier Verlag (Münster):512 pp. Manthey II. and Schuster N. 1999. *Anamen* 2. *Aufl.* Natur und

Manthey, U. and Schuster, N. 1999. *Agamen, 2. Aufl.* Natur und Tier Verlag (Münster):120 pp.

Mateo, J., Geniez, P., Lopez-Jurado, L. and Bons, J. 1998.

Chorological analysis and morphological variations of Saurians of

the genus *Uromastyx* (Reptilia, Agamidae) in western Sahara. Description of two new taxa. *Revista de Española de Herpetología* (1998)12:97-109.

- Merrem, B. 1820. Versuch eines Systems der Amphibien -
- Tentamen systematis amphibiorum. XV+191 pp. J. C. Krieger.

Mertens, R. 1961. Die Rassen der Schmetterlingsagame, *Leiolepis* belliana. Senck. biol. 42:(5/6):507-510.

- Mertens, R. 1956. Amphibien und Reptilien aus SO-Iran 1954.
- Jahreshefte des Vereins für vaterländische. Naturkunde in

- Württemberg 111(1):90-97.

- Mertens, R. 1962. Bemerkungen über *Uromastyx acanthinurus* als Rassenkreis (Rept. Saur.). *Senckenbergiana Biologica* 43(6):425-432.
- Meshaka Jr., W. E. 2011. A Runaway train in the making: The exotic reptiles, turtles and crocodilians of Florida. *Herp. Cons. Biol.* 6(Monograph 1):1-101.

Minton, S. A. 1966. A Contribution to the Herpetology of West Pakistan. *Bulletin of the American Museum of Natural History* 134:27-184.

Moody, S. 1980. Phylogenetic and Historical Biogeographical Relationships of the Genera in the Family Agamidae (Reptilia Lacertilia). Unpub. Ph.D. thes., Univ. Ann Arbor, Michigan:373 pp.
Moody, S. M. 1987. A preliminary cladistic study of the lizard genus Uromastyx (Agamidae, sensu lato), with a checklist and diagnostic key to the species. pp. 285-288 in van Gelder, J. J., Strijbosch, H. and Bergers, P. J. M. (eds), Proceedings of the Fourth Ordinary General Meeting of the Societas Europaea Herpetologica.
Nijmegen; Societas Europaea Herpetologica, Faculty of Sciences. Müller, L. 1921. Über eine neue Uromastix-Art aus der Zentral-Sahara. Naturwissenschaftlicher Beobachter 63:193-201.
Müller, L. 1951. Aufstellung eines Neotypus von Uromastyx geyri L. Müller (Rept., Agamidae). Bonner zoologische Beiträge 2(1/2):109Murray, J. A. 1884. Addition to the present knowledge of the vertebrate zoology of Persia. *Annals and Magazine of Natural History* Ser. 4, 14:101-106.

Ninne, E. 1933. L' *Aporoscelis princeps* (O'Schaug.) a Ras Hafun, Migiurtinia-Somalia Italiana. *Natura Milano* 24(3):134-135.

Nylander, J. A. A. 2002. *MrModeltest* 1.1b, Uppsala, Sweden. O'Shaughnessy, A. W. E. 1880. Description of a new Species of *Uromastix. Proc. Zoological Society of London* 1880:445-446.

Page, R. D. M. 2001. NDE - Nexus Data Editor. Available at http:// taxonomy.zoology.gla.ac.uk/rod/NDE/nde.html.

Palumbi, S. R., Martin, A., Romano, S., McMillan, W. O., Stice, L. and Grabowski, G. 1991. *The simple fool's guide to PCR*. Dept. of Zoology and Kewalo Marine Laboratory, Hawai:47 pp.

Parker, H. W. 1930. Three new Reptiles from Southern Arabia. *The Annals and Magazine of Natural History* 6:594-598.

Parker, H. W. 1932. Two collections of reptiles and amphibians from the southern Hejaz. *Proceedings of the Zoological Society of London* 1932:335-367.

Parker, H. W. 1942. The lizards of British Somaliland. *Bulletin of the Museum of Comparative Zoology Harvard* 91(1):1-101. Pauwels, O. S. G. and Chimsunchart, C. 2007. Die Augenfleck-Schmetterlingsagame *Leiolepis ocellata* Peters 1971 in Thailand. *Elaphe* 15(1):60-62.

Pauwels, O. S. G., Laohawat, O., David, P., Bour, R., Dangsee, P., Puangjit, C. and Chimsunchart, C. 2000. Herpetological investigations in Phang-Nga Province, southern Peninsular Thailand, with a list of reptile species and notes on their biology. *Dumerilia* 4(2):123-154.

Pauwels, O. S. G., David, P., Chimsunchart, C. and Thirakhupt, K. 2003. Reptiles of Phetchaburi Province, Western Thailand: a list of species, with natural history notes, and a discussion on the biogeography at the Isthmus of Kra. *Natural History Journal of Chulalongkorn University* 3(1):23-53.

Peters, G. 1971. Die intragenerischen Gruppen und die Phylogenese der Schmetterlingsagamen (Agamidae: Leiolepis). Zoologische Jahrbücher für Systematik 98:11-130.

Pyron, R. A., Burbrink, F. T. and Weins, J. J. 2013. A phylogeny and revised classification of Squamata, including 4161 species of lizards and snakes. Published online at: http://www.biomedcentral.com/1471-2148/13/93.

Rastegar-Pouyani, N. 2005. A multivariate analysis of geographic variation in the *Trapelus agilis* complex (Sauria: Agamidae). *Amphibia-Reptilia* 26(2):159-173.

Reeder, T. W. 2003. A phylogeny of the Australian *Sphenomorphus* group (Scincidae: Squamata) and the phylogenetic placement of the crocodile skinks (*Tribolonotus*): Bayesian approaches to assessing congruence and obtaining confidence in maximum likelihood inferred relationships. *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution* 27:384-397.

Ride, W. D. L. (ed.) *et al.* (on behalf of the International Commission on Zoological Nomenclature) 1999. *International code of Zoological Nomenclature*. The Natural History Museum, Cromwell Road - London SW7 5BD, UK.

Saleh, M. 1997: *Amphibians and Reptiles of Egypt*. Publication of National Biodiversity Unit, No. 6.

Sang, N. V., Cuc, H. T. and Truong, N. Q. 2009. *Herpetofauna of Vietnam*. Chimaira, Frankfurt:768 pp.

Schätti, B. 1989. Amphibien und Reptilien aus der Arabischen Republik Jemen und Djibouti. *Rev. suisse de Zool.* 96(4):905-937. Schätti, B. and Desvoignes, A. 1999. *The Herpetofauna of Southern Yemen and the Sokotra Archipelago*. Muséum d'histoire

naturelle, Genève:176 pp. Schätti, B. and Gasperetti, J. 1994. A contribution to the herpetofauna of south-west Arabia. *Fauna of Saudi Arabia* 14:348-423. Schleich, H. -H., Kästle, W. and Kabisch, K. 1996. *Amphibians and Reptiles of North Africa. Biology, systematics, field guide.* Koeltz Scientific Books, Koenigstein:629 pp.

Schmidt, K. P. 1939. Reptiles and Amphibians from southwestern Asia. *Field Museum of Natural History; Zoological ser.* 24(7):49-92.

Schmitz, A. 2003. *Taxonomic and phylogenetic studies on scincid lizards (Reptilia: Scincidae)*. Unpub. PhD thes. Univ. Bonn:262 pp. Schmitz, A., Vences, M., Weitkus, S., Ziegler, T. and Böhme, W. 2001. Recent maternal divergence of the parthenogenetic lizard *Leiolepis guentherpetersi* from *L. guttata*: molecular evidence (Reptilia: Squamata: Agamidae). *Zoologische Abhandlungen aus dem Staatlichen Museum für Tierkunde* Dresden 51(2):355-360. Schmitz, A., Ineich, I. and Chirio, L. 2005: Molecular review of the genus *Panaspis sensu lato* in Cameroon, with special reference to

the status of the proposed subgenera. *Zootaxa* 863:1-28. Seufer, H., Kowa Iski, T., Prokoph, U. and Zilger, H. J. 1998. Erstnachweis von *Uromastyx benti* Anderson, 1894 für den Oman

(Provinz Dhofar). *Herpetofauna* 20(114):22-23.

Sindalco, R. and Jeremcenko, V. K. 2008. *The Reptiles of the Western Palearctic.* Monografie della Societas Herpetologica Italica 1:579 pp.

Smith, M. A. 1935. *The fauna of British India, including Ceylon and Burma. Reptiles and Amphibia, Vol. II. Sauria.* Taylor and Francis, London:440 pp.

Steindachner, F. 1899. Sitzung der mathematisch-

64

naturwissenschaftlichen Classe vom 20. April 1899. Anzeiger der Kaiserlichen Akademie der Wissenschaften, mathematischnaturwissenschaftliche Classe 36(12):143-144.

Straunch, A. 1863. Charakteristik zweier neuen Eidechsen aus Persien. *Bulletin de l'Académie Impériale des Sciences de St. Pétersbourg*, Petersburg, 6:477-480.

Swofford, D. L. 2002. PAUP*. Phylogenetic Analysis Using Parsimony (*and Other Methods). Version 4.0b10. Sinauer Associates, Sunderland, Massachusetts, USA.

Sworder, G. H. 1933. Notes on some reptiles from the Malay Peninsula. *Bull. Raffl. Mus.* 8:101-105.

Taylor, E. H. 1963. The lizards of Thailand. Univ. Kansas Sci. Bull. 44:687-1077.

Tourenq, C. 2005. *Airport Dhub (Spiny-Tailed Lizards* Uromastyx sp.) - *Translocation Operation.* Terrestrial Environment Research Centre, Environmental Agency, Abu Dhabi:51 pp.

Vernet, R., Lemire, M., Grenot, C. J. and Francaz, J. M. 1988. Ecophysiological comparisons between two large Saharan lizards, *Uromastix acanthinurus* (Agamidae) and *Varanus griseus* (Varanidae). *Journal of Arid Environments* 14(2):187-200.

Watrous, L. E. and Wheeler, Q. D. 1981. The out-group comparison method of character analysis. *Systematic Zoology* 30(1):1-11.

Wermuth, H. 1967. *Liste der rezenten Amphibien und Reptilien: Agamidae*. XIV+127 pp.

Wilms, T. 1995. Dornschwanzagamen. Lebensweise - Pflege - Zucht. Offenbach; Herpeton-Verlag:130 pp.

Wilms, T. 1998. Zur Taxonomie, Zoogeographie und Phylogenie der Gattung Uromastyx (Sauria: Agamidae sensu lato) mit Beschreibung zweier neuer Arten aus dem südöstlichen Arabien und aus der Zentralsahara. M.Sc. thesis, University of Kaiserslautern:280 pp.

Wilms, T. 2001. *Dornschwanzagamen. Lebensweise - Pflege - Zucht*. Second revised edition. Offenbach; Herpeton-Verlag:144 pp.

Wilms, T. 2005. Uromastyx. Natural History - Captive Care - Breeding. Offenbach; Herpeton-Verlag:142 pp.

Wilms, T. 2007a. Ursachen und Ausmaß der Bedrohung von Dornschwanzagamen - Versuch einer Bestandsaufnahme. *Draco* 31(8):75-80.

Wilms, T. 2007b. PHILBYs Dornschwanzagame (Uromastyx ornate

philbyi) - über eine fast unbekannte Schönheit. *Draco* 31(8):61-66. Wilms, T. and Böhme, W. 2000a. A new *Uromastyx* species from south-eastern Arabia, with comments on the taxonomy of *Uromastyx aegyptia* (Forskål, 1775) (Squamata: Sauria: Agamidae). *Herpetozoa* 13 (3/4):133-148.

Wilms, T. and Böhme, W. 2000b. Revision der *Uromastyx acanthinura*-Artengruppe, mit Beschreibung einer neuen Art aus der Zentralsahara (Reptilia: Sauria: Agamidae). *Zoologische Abhandlungen aus dem Staatlichen Museum für Tierkunde* Dresden 51(8):73-104.

Wilms, T. and Böhme, W. 2000c. Zur Taxonomie und Verbreitung der Arten der *Uromastyx-ocellata*-Gruppe (Sauria: Agamidae). *Zoology in the Middle East* 21:55-76.

Wilms, T. and Böhme, W. 2001. Revision der *Uromastyxacanthinura*-Artengruppe, mit Beschreibung einer neuen Art aus der Zentralsahara (Reptilia: Sauria: Agamidae). *Zoologische Abhandlungen des Staatlichen Museums für Tierkunde Dresden* 51(8):73-104.

Wilms, T. and Böhme, W. 2007. Review of the taxonomy of the spiny-tailed lizards of Arabia (Reptilia: Agamidae: Leiolepidinae: *Uromastyx*). *Fauna of Arabia* 23:435-468.

Wilms, T. and Hulbert, F. 1995. Uromastyx princeps. Sauria 17(3):1-2.

Wilms, T. and Hulbert, F. 2000. On the herpetofauna of the Sultanate of Oman, with comments on the relationship between Afrotropical and Saharo-sindian faunas. *Bonner Zoologische Monographien* 46:367-380.

Wilms, T. M. and Müller, H. D. 1998. Haltung und Zucht der Mali-Dornschwanzagame, *Uromastyx maliensis* Joger & Lambert, 1996. *Herpetofauna* 20(112):25-33.

Wilms, T. M. and Schmitz, A. 2007. A new polytypic species of the genus *Uromastyx* Merrem 1820 (Reptilia: Squamata: Agamidae: Leiolepidinae) from southwestern Arabia. *Zootaxa* 1394:1-23.

Wilms, T. M., Löhr, B. and Hulbert, F. 2002a. Erstmalige Nachzucht der Oman-Dornschwanzagame - *Uromastyx thomasi* Parker, 1930 - (Sauria: Agamidae: Leiolepidinae) mit Hinweisen zur intraspezifischen Variabilität und zur Lebensweise. *Salamandra* 38(1):45-62.

Wilns, T. M., Müller, H. D. and Löhr, B. 2002b. Bunte Juwelen im Terrarium - Erfahrungen bei der langjährigen Pflege und Vermehrung von *Uromastyx ornata* Heyden, 1827 bis zur F2-Generation. *Draco* 3(10):41-49.

Wilms, T. M., Ruf, D. and Löhr, B. 2003. Zur Haltung und Nachzucht zweier Taxa aus dem *Uromastyx-acanthinura*-Komplex: *Uromastyx geyri* Müller, 1922 und *Uromastyx dispar flavifaciata* Mertens, 1962 (Reptilia: Agamidae: Leiolepidinae: *Uromastyx*). *Draco* 4(14):42-55.

Wilms, T., Böhme, W., Wagner, P., Lutzmann, N. and Schmitz, A. 2009. On the Phylogeny and Taxonomy of the Genus *Uromastyx* Merrem, 1820 (Reptilia: Squamata: Agamidae: Uromastycinae) - Resurrection of the Genus *Saara* Gray, 1845. *Bonner zoologische Beiträge* 56:55-99.

Yang, Z. H., Goldman, N. and Friday, A. 1994. Comparison of Models for Nucleotide Substitution Used in Maximum-Likelihood Phylogenetic Estimation. *Molecular Biology and Evolution* 11:316-324.

Zari, T. 1999. On the reproductive biology of the herbivorous spinytailed agamid *Uromastyx philbyi* in western Saudi Arabia. *Zoology in the Middle East* 19:123-130.

Ziegler, T. and Nguyen, Q. T. 2010. New discoveries of amphibians and reptiles from Vietnam. *Bonn Zool. Bull.* 57(2):137-147.

Australasian Journal of Herpetology

Publishes original research in printed form in relation to reptiles, other fauna and related matters, including the subjects of classification, ecology, public interest, legal, captivity, exposure of frauds, "academic misconduct", etc. It is a peer reviewed printed journal published in hard copy for permenant public scientific record in accordance with the Zoological Code (Ride *et al.* 1999), with a sizeable print run and has a global audience.

Full details of acquiring copies, either printed or in identical form online, editorial policies, publication procedure, author submission guidelines, peer review guidelines, legal matters, advantages of publication in this journal and the like can be found by following links from:

ISSN 1836-5698 (Print) ISSN 1836-5779 (Online)

http://www.herp.net