

A logical new taxonomy for the Asian subfamily Draconinae based on obvious phylogenetic relationships and morphology of species (Squamata: Sauria: Agamidae: Draconinae).

RAYMOND T. HOSER

488 Park Road, Park Orchards, Victoria, 3134, Australia.

Phone: +61 3 9812 3322 Fax: 9812 3355 E-mail: snakeman@snakeman.com.au

Received 10 November 2013, Accepted 1 June 2014, Published 1 July 2014.

ABSTRACT

A number of recent molecular studies have highlighted divergences between south-east Asian agamid lizards within the Draconinae previously thought to be sufficiently close as to be placed in the same genera. Consolidating recently published studies and further investigations into relevant taxa, incorporating available molecular, morphological and geological evidence some genera as presently recognized are re-arranged to better reflect the relationships of species. For some of these taxa, names are available and as a result of this review they are formally resurrected from synonymy. Where names for taxa don't exist, the species groups are formally named and defined herein according to the Zoological Code (Ride *et al.* 1999).

Well established genera that are in effect split up include the following:

Gonocephalus, Kaup, 1825 is divided into three genera. The nominate genus is also split into five subgenera, all named for the first time except for (*Dilophyrus* Gray, 1845) and the nominate subgenus.

Japalura Gray, 1853 is divided into three genera, one of which is named for the first time. Two genera are further divided into two subgenera.

Calotes Daudin, 1802 is divided into three genera, two also divided into subgenera.

The taxon originally described as "*Calotes andamanensis* Boulenger, 1891" is also placed in a new monotypic genus as it also clearly sits outside the two genera in which it has been recently placed (*Calotes* and *Pseudocalotes* Kaup, 1827).

Ceratophora Gray, 1835, is divided into three, with the two most divergent taxa, *C. aspera* Günther, 1864 and *C. karu* Pethiyagoda and Manamendra-Arachchi, 1998 each placed in a new monotypic genus. The remaining *Ceratophora* are split into two obvious subgenera.

Bronchocela Kaup, 1827 is divided into two subgenera.

The taxon currently known as *Bronchocela cristatella* Kuhl, 1820 from the island of Halmahera, Indonesia is formally described as a new species. Other potential new species are identified.

Phoxophrys Hubrecht, 1881 is divided into three subgenera, one formally named for the first time.

Aphaniotis Peters, 1864 is subdivided into two subgenera as is *Ptyctolaemus* Peters, 1864.

Salea Gray, 1845 is divided into two subgenera for which a names are already available.

Draco Linnaeus, 1758 is herein conservatively divided nine ways into subgenera of which five are formally named for the first time. It is likely that other taxonomists may treat these divisions as full genera.

Furthermore, Draconinae taxonomy and nomenclature at the level between subfamily and genus is tidied up. The result is the formal erection of ten tribes and the addition six subtribes formally defined and named.

As a result of this scientific reorganisation of the subfamily, this paper presents a list of all recognized Draconinae species in correct tribes, subtribes, genera and subgenera.

Keywords: Taxonomy; nomenclature; genera; *Gonocephalus*; *Japalura*; *Cophotis*; *Calotes*; *Ceratophora*; *Diploderma*; *Aphaniotis*; *Phoxophrys*; *Bronchocela*; *Lophocalotes*; *Dendragama*; *Otocryptis*; *Ptyctolaemus*; *Mictopholis*; *Salea*; *Sitana*; *Otocryptis*; *Pseudocalotes*; *Paracalotes*; *Draco*; *Dilophyrus*; *Oriotiaris*; *Pelturagonia*; *Lyriocephalus*; *Coryphophylax*; *Ptyctolaemus*; *Mantheyus*; *Acanthosaura*; new genera; *Daraninagama*; *Doongagama*; *Maxhoseragama*; *Crottyagama*; *Skrijelus*; *Notacalotes*; *Pethiyagodaus*; *Manamendraarachchius*; subgenera; *Dracontoides*; *Rhacodracon*; *Pterosaurus*; new subgenera; *Honlamagama*; *Mantheysaurus*; *Denzeragama*; *Eksteinagama*; *Jamesschulteus*; *Rubercalotes*; *Ghatscalotes*; *Laccadivecalotes*; *Ceyloncalotes*; *Tamilnaduacalotes*; *Freudcalotes*; *Khasicalotes*; *Amboncalotes*; *Ferebronchocela*; *Olorenshawagama*; *Proboscisagama*; *Mindatagama*; *Macguiredraco*; *Philippinedraco*; *Engannodraco*; *Somniadraco*; *Spottydraco*; new species; *Harradineus*. new tribes; *Dracoiini*; *Maxhoseragamiini*; *Crottygamiini*; *Daraninagamaiini*; *Pethiyagodaiini*; *Japaluraiini*; *Lophocalotesiini*; *Phoxophryiini*; *Mantheyiini*; *Dendragamaiini*; new subtribes; *Maxhoseragamiina*; *Sitanaiina*; *Acanthosauriina*; *Saleaiina*; *Pethiyagodaiina*; *Doongagamaiina*.

INTRODUCTION

A number of recent molecular studies have highlighted deep divergences between relatively well-known south-east Asian agamid lizards. These lizards were thought to be sufficiently close until now as to be placed in the same genera. While general affinities have not been disputed, it has become clear that some species placed within given genera should be removed and placed elsewhere. This situation for some species is not just a case of being within the same genus group, but slightly divergent, but more often than not because the relevant species are not remotely related to the type species of the genus they have been placed in (e.g. *Gonocephalus* Kaup, 1825 as presently defined by most authors or *Japalura* Gray, 1853 as presently defined by most authors).

In a limited number of cases, genera with widely divergent species in need of a break up have also been identified (e.g. *Ceratophora* Gray 1835 or *Calotes* Daudin, 1802).

Following on from a number of molecular studies that have indicated splits of genera are warranted on a molecular basis, I audited all genera within the southern and south-east Asian agamid subfamily Draconinae to determine which needed to be divided.

The material and methods of the audit involved a thorough review of the published literature with a view to seeing if there were significant morphological differences between species as indicated by recently available molecular data. Added to this was direct inspection of several hundred live and dead specimens and photos of the relevant taxa with known and accurate locality data.

Genera, in the context of how they are recognized by most zoologists in 2013, as indicated by Pyron *et al.* (2013) found worthy of formal division included the following: *Gonocephalus*, Kaup, 1825; *Japalura* Gray, 1853; *Calotes* Daudin, 1802; *Ceratophora* Gray 1835; *Bronchocela* Kaup, 1827 and *Draco* Linnaeus, 1758.

For some of these taxa, and new genera to be defined, names are available and they are formally resurrected herein.

Where names for these taxa are not available, they are formally named herein according to the Zoological Code (Ride *et al.* 1999). Invariably these new genera, or at times herein more conservatively placed subgenera are readily defined using the significant and generally well-known morphological differences between relevant species-level taxa.

Well established genera that are in effect split up, include the following:

Gonocephalus, Kaup, 1825 with the erection of two new genera (hence a three-way split of the original genus), and the nominate genus divided five ways, all named for the first time except for (*Dilophyrus* Gray, 1845) and the nominate subgenus.

Japalura Gray, 1853 is divided into three genera, one of which is named for the first time. Two genera are further divided into two subgenera.

Calotes Daudin, 1802 is divided into three genera, two being further split into subgenera.

The taxon originally described as *Calotes andamanensis* Boulenger, 1891 is also placed in a new monotypic genus as it also clearly sits outside the two genera in which it has been recently placed (*Calotes* and *Pseudocalotes* Kaup, 1827).

Mictopholis Smith, 1935 is tentatively recognized herein as a monotypic subgenus (for the species originally described as "*Salea austeniana* Annandale, 1908", now placed by most authors in the genus *Pseudocalotes* Fitzinger, 1843). However as the taxon can be readily placed with *Pseudocalotes* in terms of the various definitions and diagnoses used in this paper in terms of tribes and subtribes, it is largely ignored for the purposes of this paper. The same applies in terms of *Paracalotes* Bourret, 1939, also tentatively recognized here as a subgenus within *Pseudocalotes*. Assignment of species to this

subgenus is also tentative, noting mixed results emerging from cited molecular and morphological studies.

Ceratophora Gray 1835, is divided in three, with the divergent taxa, *C. aspera* Günther, 1864 and *C. karu* Pethiyagoda and Manamendra-Arachchi, 1998 each placed in a new monotypic genus. The remaining *Ceratophora* is split into two obvious subgenera.

Bronchocela Kaup, 1827 is divided into two subgenera, the new one named *Ferebronchocela* subgen. nov...

The taxon currently referred to as *Bronchocela cristatella* Kuhl, 1820 from the island of Halmahera, Indonesia is formally described herein as a new and closely related species.

Phoxophrys Hubrecht, 1881 is divided into three subgenera, one formally named for the first time.

Aphanotis Peters, 1864 is subdivided into two subgenera as is *Ptyctolaemus* Peters, 1864.

Salea Gray, 1845 is divided into two subgenera for which a name is already available.

Draco Linnaeus, 1758 is herein divided nine ways into subgenera of which five are formally named for the first time.

Furthermore, the taxonomy and the nomenclature at the level between subfamily and genus is tidied up for the Draconinae. The result is the formal erection of ten tribes with some of these in turn divided into subtribes (6 subtribes being named).

Unlike authors such as Wallach *et al.* (2009), Baig *et al.* 2012., Bates *et al.* (2013), Reynolds *et al.* (2013a, 2013b and 2014), Hedges *et al.* (2014) and Thomas *et al.* (2014), I do not feel the need to dishonestly rehash other people's previously published data and present it as new to justify the taxonomic decisions herein or falsely claim the scientific basis underpinning these decisions is new.

Unlike these authors just cited and others in the group known as the Wüster gang, I do not find a need to step outside of the Zoological Code (Ride *et al.* 1999) to rename previously named taxa based on the research of others.

In this and all previous papers published by myself, I have always respected and used originally proposed names that have been named in a code compliant way, no matter how little evidence was provided as justification for the original descriptions (and in some cases this was none!).

Where names have been properly proposed, even if unused to date, they are used herein if appropriate and in total compliance with the established rules of zoology (Ride *et al.* 1999). In terms of newly defined taxa herein, I have formed the view that their placement in new groups, be they genus, subgenus or species is obvious based on one or more of the following: A/ The previously published literature on the relevant taxa, B/ The relevant published and publicly available molecular data as held at Genbank and readily tested via programs such as BEAST and C/ Direct inspection of specimens and photos of the relevant taxa.

I also note that at the species level, it is clear that there is considerable as yet undescribed biodiversity in the Asian lizards within the Agamidae, especially within the genus *Draco*.

Finally, as a taxonomist I am happy to be relegated to redescribing taxa improperly described by earlier authors, as complained about by the Wüster gang, (Kaiser 2012b, Kaiser *et al.* 2013), when they wrote "Taxonomists are relegated to "redescribing" valid taxa that were named prematurely in acts of mass naming or in deliberate acts of intellectual kleptoparasitism".

Holotype examples of names that I must validate that were created in "acts of mass naming" by authors seeking to describe taxa prematurely in order to establish "name rights", include the many names I use herein coined by John Edward Gray and Leopold Joseph Franz Johann Fitzinger, both of coined hundreds of names for taxa with little if any scientific evidence or basis in the clear hope that at least some would "stick".

However in stark contrast to Kaiser *et al.* 2013 I accept the reality of names and the Zoological Rules (Ride *et al.* 1999) and realise that the main issue at hand is not who first named given taxa, but rather that it is properly named.

While it would be nice for others to recognize my own work herein in terms of defining and diagnosing genera for the first time, including those for which I have had to use earlier coined names, it is evident that truth-haters like Kaiser *et al.* and others in the Wüster gang will do everything they can to avoid any positive citation or use of any of my herpetological publications spanning more than three decades and totalling well over 1 million words.

UNLAWFUL THEFT OF MATERIALS AND DATA

I also note the following: In 2006 an online petition sponsored by a group of animal-hating pseudoscientists including Wolfgang Wüster, Mark O'Shea, David John Williams, Bryan Fry and others posted at: <http://www.aussiereptileclassifieds.com/phpPETITION> (Hunter *et al.* 2006) called for my successful wildlife education business and all my other herpetological activity to be shut down by the government of Victoria, Australia. These men were successful in that after a ruthless five-year campaign, on 17 August 2011, 11 heavily armed police and wildlife officers conducted a highly illegal and violent raid on our family home and research facility. Myself, my wife and two young daughters were assaulted without provocation, arrested at gunpoint and held captive in the kitchen of the house for nine hours. This was while the facility was ransacked. Besides the unspeakable acts of killing captive snakes and criminal damage to cages and household goods, the raiding officers illegally shut down our business and effectively placed myself under house arrest at gunpoint for some months after the raid. An application by myself to the Supreme Court of Victoria led to the re-opening of our unlawfully shut down wildlife education business although the long term damage to the enterprise was irreparable.

Of greater relevance here is that at the time of the raid, research files spanning more than 40 years were taken and never returned, including materials and records relevant to this and other papers.

Material taken included all the computers, disks, hard drives, backups, cameras, books, scientific literature and other forms of information storage at the facility. All were loaded into the back of a truck and trailer and carted off.

Faced with the dilemma of deciding whether to spend another forty years gathering data, by which time I may be dead from old age, being aged 52 as of 2014, or publishing the relevant paper/s with minimal data, I have opted to publish.

Underlying this motivation has been an increasing concern that a delay to formally identify and name undescribed biodiversity may lead to its extinction before another scientist gets around to the matter.

O concur with the statements of Engstrom *et al.* (2002) who wrote: "The documentation of this diversity must be seen as an activity that is done not just for posterity but for immediate action and protection."

A number of authors including Kaiser (2012a, 2012b, 2013 and 2014), Kaiser *et al.* (2013), Naish (2013) and Wüster *et al.* (2014a), all part of the group of people effectively controlled by Wüster, have been highly critical of the fact that I have assigned names to unnamed clades of snakes. Their unscientific and childish attacks, continued incessantly on social media such as Facebook and Twitter are rejected herein as destabilizing the nomenclature and impeding the progress of science.

Their ridiculous comments and false and defamatory statements are systematically rebutted by Hoser (2013).

I also note that many taxa formally named by myself for the first time in earlier publications (e.g. Hoser 2000a, 2000b) are in fact threatened species.

Therefore I note the sensible remarks of Engstrom *et al.* (2002)

as a perfectly reasonable explanation for the publishing of taxon descriptions for such unnamed groups. This remains the case even if a sizeable amount of my original research, files, photos and data have been stolen and therefore cannot be relied upon and incorporated into these contemporary publications.

While speaking about thefts, the following authors, namely Wallach *et al.* (2009), Baig *et al.* 2012., Bates *et al.* (2013), Reynolds *et al.* (2013a, 2013b and 2014), Hedges *et al.* (2014) and Thomas *et al.* (2014), all close associates of serial criminal Wolfgang Wüster, (based on Wales, UK) have all engaged in an attempted theft of my own research spanning some decades by publishing so-called papers that knowingly and deliberately step outside the zoological code to rename species and genera previously named by myself using the scientific method.

The deliberate creation of junior synonyms and promoting them as valid is a breach of numerous sections of the rules as spelt out in Ride *et al.* (1999), and both unscientific and unethical.

NOTES ON TAXA NAMED HEREIN

In the event a later author seeks to merge one or more taxon described within this paper, the order of priority should be by page priority in terms of this paper; that is the first name listed is the first to be used. Gender, spellings and the like of names or other perceived errors should not be altered in any way unless mandated by the Zoological Code, even if apparently wrong in the original descriptions herein, including by derivation or gender.

GONOCEPHALUS KAUP, 1825.

Gonocephalus, Kaup, 1825 as recognized to date has been shown to be paraphyletic by several authors using various criteria, including by Diong *et al.* (2000) and Pyron *et al.* (2013). The obvious differences between the relevant taxa have been noted by many recent authors.

Diong *et al.* (2000) wrote: "The karyotype of *G. robinsonii*, while remarkably different from other congeneric karyotypes in exhibiting much smaller diploid (32) and biarmed macrochromosome numbers (12), share these and other chromosomal characteristics with several Australian species. It seems unlikely for the karyotype of *G. robinsonii* to directly emerge from other congeneric karyotypes or *vice versa*. We conclude that the inclusion of this species in *Gonocephalus* would render the genus paraphyletic."

Pyron *et al.* (2013) wrote: "In contrast, within draconine agamids (Figure 16), many intergeneric relationships are weakly supported, and some genera are non-monophyletic (Figure 16; see also [142]), including *Gonocephalus* (*G. robinsonii* is only distantly related to other *Gonocephalus*) and *Japalura* (with species distributed among three distantly related clades, including one allied with *Ptyctolaemus*, another with *G. robinsonii*, and a third with *Pseudocalotes*).

Yet in spite of the obvious, no one has bothered to assign the taxon *Gonocephalus robinsonii* (Boulenger, 1908), originally described as *Gonyocephalus robinsonii* to a genus of its own. As there is no pre-existing available name for this taxon, it is placed in a new genus, formally named herein as *Darininagama* *gen. nov.*

The species *Gonocephalus mjobergi* Smith, 1935 is also placed in its own monotypic genus, namely *Doongagama* *gen. nov.*

The nominate genus *Gonocephalus* (that is the remaining species) is divided five ways into subgenera, three being formally named for the first time. For one of the other groups the available name *Dilophyrus* Gray, 1845 is resurrected for the type species of his genus namely *grandis*, this being the only taxon in the subgenus. The division of species within subgenera is essentially that as identified by Manthey and Denzer (2006) and Denzer and Manthey (2009) with numerous authors including Boulenger (1885) and Cochran (1922), Grismer (2011), Denzer and Manthey (1991) and Taylor (1953) quite adequately separating the relevant species from one another using obvious

morphological characters.

Note also that the three Philippine Islands species are placed in their own subgenus on the basis of well-defined morphological differences as outlined by Boulenger (1885) and others.

While Denzer and Manthey (2009) recognized *Gonocephalus* as being diverse and noted obvious species groups, I note they also said the following: "Morphologically the genus *Gonocephalus* is highly diverse and solely defined by a few characters, i. e. basal scales adjacent to the nuchal and dorsal crests, a transverse gular fold, a sharp canthus rostralis and an "angled" supraciliary ridge. Some of these characters are not well developed in several of the species groups above. The most resemblance

to these generic features is exhibited by members of the *G. chamaeleontinus* species group."

They also spelt out the taxa they believed should be in each species group, but did not assign them taxonomically.

The various genera and subgenera are therefore defined and named formally below.

Noting significant biological differences between taxa within each species group, these became relevant in identifying the relevant species groups by means other than physical. In summary, they were able to be separated by physical appearances and morphology, molecular evidence and habits. Key publications relevant to the taxonomy adopted within this paper in terms of *Gonocephalus sensu lato* as defined to date include the following: Alcalá (1986), Auliya (2006), Barbour (1912), Barts and Wilms (2003), Bauer *et al.* (1995), Beolens *et al.* (2011), Berg *et al.* (2013), Bleeker (1860), Boettger (1892a, 1892b, 1893a), Bonetti (2002), Bong Heang (1987), Boulenger (1885, 1887b, 1908, 1920), Campbell *et al.* (2011), Chan-ard *et al.* (1999), Cochran (1922), Cox *et al.* (1998), Das (2004), Das and Yaakob (2007), Denzer and Manthey (2009), de Rooij (1915), Denzer (1996), Denzer and Manthey (2009), Dieckmann (2013), Diong *et al.* (2002), Dring (1979), Duméril and Bibron (1837), Evers (2010, 2013), Fischer (1886), Gaulke (2001, 2011), Gaulke and Demegillo (2005a, 2005b, 2008), Grandison (1972), Gray (1845), Grismer (2011), Grismer *et al.* (2010), Grossmann and Tillack (2004a, 2004b), Günther (1868, 1872a), Hallermann (2005a, 2005b), Hien *et al.* (2001), Hendrickson (1966), Hoffmann (1998), Hölzig (2012), Iskandar and Erdelen (2006), Kahl and Schmidt (1980), Kober (2003), Kopstein (1938), Laurenti (1768), Lim and Ng (1999), Macey *et al.* (2000), Malkmus *et al.* (2002), Manthey (1983, 1991, 2011, 2013), Manthey and Denzer (1982, 1991, 1992, 1993, 2006, 2009), Manthey and Grossmann (1997), Manthey and Schuster (1999), McLeod *et al.* (2011), Nabhitabhata *et al.* (2000), Peters (1867, 1871), Pianka and Vitt (2003), Pyron *et al.* (2013), Schlegel (1848), Siler and Brown (2010), Siler *et al.* (2011), Smedley (1931b), Smith (1925, 1931), Sworder (1933), Taylor (1922, 1923, 1963), Thireau *et al.* (1998), Tiedemann *et al.* (1994), Tweedie (1954), Voris (1977), Wilms (2004) and sources cited within.

GENUS GONOCEPHALUS KAUP, 1825

Type species: *Iguana chamaeleontina* Laurenti, 1768.

Diagnosis: Tympanum distinct. Body compressed. Dorsal scales small, uniform or intermixed with enlarged ones. A dorsal crest, A strong transverse gular fold. Males with a gular sac. No praeanal or femoral pores. Basal scales are adjacent to the nuchal and dorsal crests, a transverse gular fold, a sharp canthus rostralis and an "angled" supraciliary ridge. Some of these characters are not well developed in some of the subgenera defined herein.

Distribution: South-east Asia.

Content: *Gonocephalus chamaeleontinus* (Laurenti, 1768) (type species); *Gonocephalus abbotti* Cochran, 1922; *Gonocephalus bellii* (Duméril and Bibron, 1837); *Gonocephalus beyschlagi* (Boettger, 1892); *Gonocephalus bornensis* (Schlegel, 1848); *Gonocephalus chamaeleontinus* (Laurenti, 1768); *Gonocephalus*

doriae (Peters, 1871); *Gonocephalus grandis* (Gray, 1845); *Gonocephalus interruptus* (Boulenger, 1885); *Gonocephalus klossi* (Boulenger, 1920); *Gonocephalus kuhlii* (Schlegel, 1848); *Gonocephalus lacunosus* Manthey and Denzer, 1991; *Gonocephalus liogaster* (Günther, 1872); *Gonocephalus megalepis* (Bleeker, 1860); *Gonocephalus semperi* (Peters, 1867); *Gonocephalus sophiae* (Gray, 1845).

SUBGENUS GONOCEPHALUS KAUP, 1825

Type species: *Iguana chamaeleontina* Laurenti, 1768.

Diagnosis: The subgenus *Gonocephalus* Kaup, 1825 is herein defined for the first time and separated from the other subgenera by having a supraciliary border strongly raised, forming an angular projection posteriorly, (as opposed to the supraciliary border being normal).

The subgenus *Dilophyrus* Gray, 1845 are separated from all other *Gonocephalus* by the following suite of characters: Snout longer than the diameter of the orbit; canthus rostralis and supraciliary edge sharp, projecting; tympanum nearly as large as the eye-opening; upper head-scales very small, bluntly keeled, enlarged on the canthus rostralis and the supraciliary and supraorbital borders; one or two enlarged tubercles on each side behind the occiput; ten to twelve upper and as many lower labials. Gular

sac moderately large, without serrated anterior edge; gular scales smaller than ventrals, which are smooth. Nuchal and dorsal crests subcontinuous, separated by a deep notch, composed of long lanceolate spines united together, free only at the tips, with smaller triangular smooth spines at the base; in the male, the height of the nuchal crest nearly equals the length of the snout, and the dorsal crest is a little lower; in the female, the former is scarcely developed and the latter is reduced to a slight serration.

Dorsal scales very small, with the points directed upwards and backwards; ventral scales rather small, smooth. Limbs above with equal smooth or very feebly keeled scales; third and fourth fingers equal; the adpressed hind limb reaches between the eye and the tip of the snout. Tail strongly compressed, with sharp serrated upper edge; caudal scales smooth, with two rows of enlarged strongly keeled ones inferiorly; the length of the tail about twice and a half that of head and body. Brown or olive above, with or without darker cross bands; flanks with roundish yellow spots; throat sometimes with blue lines.

Honlamagama subgen. nov. are readily separated from the other subgenera within *Gonocephalus* by the following suite of characters: A normal supraciliary border; strongly keeled ventral scales and strongly keeled gular scales.

Mantheyagama subgen. nov. are readily separated from the other subgenera within *Gonocephalus* by the following suite of characters: A normal supraciliary border; smooth or very feebly keeled ventral scales and smooth or very feebly keeled gular scales.

Denzeragama subgen. nov. are readily separated from the other subgenera within *Gonocephalus* by the following suite of characters: Supraciliary border is normal; smooth ventral scales; nuchal crest is strongly separated from the dorsal crest and is not continuous with it.

Distribution: Thailand, West Malaysia, Pahang (Pulau Tioman), Indonesia (Mentawai Island, Sumatra, Bangka, Natuna Island, Java, Nias, Sipora, Siberut, Bangkaru, Tuangku, Banyak Islands, Tanahmasa, Borneo),

Content: *Gonocephalus chamaeleontinus* (Laurenti, 1768) (type species); *Gonocephalus abbotti* Cochran, 1922; *Gonocephalus doriae* (Peters, 1871); *Gonocephalus kuhlii* (Schlegel, 1848).

SUBGENUS DILOPHYRUS GRAY, 1845.

Type species: *Dilophyrus grandis*, Gray, 1845.

Diagnosis: The subgenus *Dilophyrus* Gray, 1845 are separated from all other *Gonocephalus* by the following suite of characters: Snout longer than the diameter of the orbit; canthus rostralis and

supraciliary edge sharp, projecting; tympanum nearly as large as the eye-opening; upper head-scales very small, bluntly keeled, enlarged on the canthus rostralis and the supraciliary and supraorbital borders; one or two enlarged tubercles on each side behind the occiput; ten to twelve upper and as many lower labials. Gular

sac moderately large, without serrated anterior edge; gular scales smaller than ventrals, which are smooth. Nuchal and dorsal crests subcontinuous, separated by a deep notch, composed of long lanceolate spines united together, free only at the tips, with smaller triangular smooth spines at the base; in the male, the height of the nuchal crest nearly equals the length of the snout, and the dorsal crest is a little lower; in the female, the former is scarcely developed and the latter is reduced to a slight serration.

Dorsal scales very small, with the points directed upwards and backwards; ventral scales rather small, smooth. Limbs above with equal smooth or very feebly keeled scales; third and fourth fingers equal; the addressed hind limb reaches between the eye and the tip of the snout. Tail strongly compressed, with sharp serrated upper edge; caudal scales smooth, with two rows of enlarged strongly keeled ones inferiorly; the length of the tail about twice and a half that of head and body. Brown or olive above, with or without darker cross bands; flanks with roundish yellow spots; throat sometimes with blue lines.

Molecular evidence strongly supports the view that *Dilophyrus* should be recognized as a separate genus to *Gonocephalus* (e.g. Pyron *et al.* 2013). However I have taken the conservative position herein and classified the group as a subgenus.

Distribution: Southern Thailand, Vietnam, West Malaysia, Pulau Tioman, Pulau Pinang, Indonesia including Sumatra, Borneo, Nias, Mentawai Island, Nako, Sipora.

Content: *Gonocephalus (Dilophyrus) grandis* (Gray, 1845) (monotypic).

SUBGENUS HONLAMAGAMA SUBGEN. NOV.

Type species: *Tiaris sophiae* Gray, 1845.

Diagnosis: *Honlamagama subgen. nov.* are readily separated from the other subgenera within *Gonocephalus* by the following suite of characters: A normal supraciliary border; strongly keeled ventral scales and strongly keeled gular scales.

Distribution: Phillipine Islands.

Etymology: Named in honour of Mr. Hon Lam of Park Orchards, Victoria, Australia, in recognition of his logistical support for wildlife conservation through his helping Snakebusters, Australia's best wildlife displays.

Content: *Gonocephalus (Honlamagama) sophiae* (Gray, 1845) (type species); *G. (Honlamagama) interruptus* (Boulenger, 1885); *G. (Honlamagama) semperi* (Peters, 1867).

SUBGENUS MANTHEYAGAMA SUBGEN. NOV.

Type species: *Lophyrus bornensis* Schlegel, 1848.

Diagnosis: *Mantheyagama subgen. nov.* are readily separated from the other subgenera within *Gonocephalus* by the following suite of characters: A normal supraciliary border; smooth or very feebly keeled ventral scales and smooth or very feebly keeled gular scales.

Distribution: The Island of Borneo (Indonesia/Malaysia).

Etymology: Named in honour of Ulrich Manthey in recognition of his work on south-east Asian agamids.

Content: *Gonocephalus bornensis* (Schlegel, 1848) (type species); *Gonocephalus beyschlagi* (Boettger, 1892); *Gonocephalus bellii* (Duméril and Bibron, 1837); *Gonocephalus liogaster* (Günther, 1872).

SUBGENUS DENZERAGAMA SUBGEN. NOV.

Type species: *Lophyrus megalepis* Bleeker, 1860.

Diagnosis: *Denzeragama subgen. nov.* are readily separated from the other subgenera within *Gonocephalus* by the following suite of characters: Supraciliary border is normal; smooth ventral

scales; nuchal crest is strongly separated from the dorsal crest and is not continuous with it.

Denzeragama subgen. nov. is further diagnosed as follows: Snout as long as the diameter of the orbit; canthus rostralis and supraciliary edge sharp, projecting; tympanum as large as the eye opening; occiput concave; upper head-scales small, strongly keeled; usually twelve upper and ten lower labials. Gular sac rather small, without serrated anterior edge; gular scales smooth, smaller than ventrals; a large subconical tubercle below the tympanum. Nuchal crest not continuous with dorsal, its greatest height somewhat exceeding the length of the snout; it is composed of lanceolate spines directed backwards, very small anteriorly, gradually becoming very large; these spines implanted on a dermal fold covered on each side with three or four rows of keeled pointed scales directed upwards; dorsal crest formed of large lanceolate spines like the posterior nuchals, with a basal series of smaller feebly keeled scales. Dorsal scales smooth or very feebly keeled, with the points directed upwards, intermixed with irregularly scattered enlarged ones; ventral scales moderately large, smooth. Limbs above with subequal feebly keeled scales; fourth finger slightly longer than third; the addressed hind limb reaches the eye. Tail very strongly compressed, with an upper crest composed of triangular lobes gradually decreasing in size towards the end; caudal scales large, the upper feebly, the lower strongly keeled; length of the tail not twice that of head and body. Olive, with very indistinct brown spots; gular fold black (Boulenger, 1885).

Distribution: Sumatra, Indonesia.

Etymology: Named in honour of Wolfgang Denzer in recognition of his work on south-east Asian agamids.

Content: *Gonocephalus (Denzeragama) megalepis* (Bleeker, 1860) (type species); *G. (Denzeragama) klossi* (Boulenger, 1920); *G. (Denzeragama) lacunosus* Manthey and Denzer, 1991.

GENUS DARANINAGAMA GEN. NOV.

Type species: *Gonyocephalus robinsonii* Boulenger, 1908.

Diagnosis: *Daraninagama gen. nov.* is separated from all other *Gonocephalus* species by karyotypic differences. In *Daraninagama gen. nov.* there are $2n=32$ chromosomes. Of the diploid chromosomes, 12 (pairs 1-6) are metacentric macrochromosomes, whereas the remaining 20 (pairs 7-16) were microchromosomes. Therefore, the arm number in macrochromosomes of this karyotype equaled 24.

By contrast for all species of *Gonocephalus* the configuration is $2n=42$ chromosomes, including 22 macrochromosomes (pairs 1-11) and 20 microchromosomes (pairs 12-21).

Doongagama gen. nov. (defined herein and formerly included in *Gonocephalus*) are separated from all species of *Gonocephalus* and *Daraninagama gen. nov.* as defined herein by the unique presence of two parallel longitudinal gular folds, a trait seen in none of the other species as well as the other diagnostic characters outlined in the description below.

Daraninagama gen. nov. and *Doongagama gen. nov.* are both separated from *Gonocephalus* by their enlarged gular fold.

Daraninagama gen. nov. is readily identifiable and separated from all other *Gonocephalus* and *Doongagama gen. nov.* by the unique combination of having a distinctive white lower jaw and greatly enlarged gular fold.

In the wild *Daraninagama gen. nov.* are found in montane forest at 1,000m and above, usually near flowing water, resting on thin trees and also among ferns along paths.

Distribution: The highlands of West Malaysia.

Etymology: Named in honour of Dara Nin, of Ringwood, Melbourne, Victoria, Australia in recognition of his contributions to herpetology in Australia, in particular through his work with Snakebusters, Australia's best reptiles shows and educational displays.

This work has included at our educational "reptile parties", a

concept I invented back in the 1970's.

In terms of this, I was derided by many people for many years, including being held up for public ridicule and hatred for daring to bring live reptiles to kids parties. Sticking to my guns I registered the Australian trademark "reptile parties" (2 of them) with no opposition from anyone else, because, as already mentioned, the general perception of other so-called herpetologists was that the idea was stupid.

However the general public couldn't get enough of the concept. So now four decades later I find hundreds of other reptile enthusiasts imitating the concept of "reptile parties" globally and countless herpetologists accepting that the "reptile parties" concept was a winner!

However the real winners are the reptiles themselves, who are de-demonized by our displays and those that imitate them. The result is a better conservation outcome for all our biodiversity.

Content: *Daraninagama robinsonii* (Boulenger, 1908) (monotypic).

GENUS DOONGAGAMA GEN. NOV.

Type species: *Gonocephalus mjobergi* Smith, 1925.

Diagnosis: *Doongagama gen. nov.* (monotypic for the species *Doongagama mjobergi* Smith, 1925), are separated from all species of *Gonocephalus* and *Daraninagama gen. nov.* as defined herein by the unique presence of two parallel longitudinal gular folds, a trait seen in none of the other species.

In more detail the genus *Doongagama gen. nov.* is defined as follows: A feature clearly distinguishing *D. mjobergi* from all other *Gonocephalus* is the possession of enlarged dorsolateral scales forming oblique rows. The first row is located in the shoulder region and two distinct rows can be seen.

Dorsolaterally enlarged scales are present in some species of *Gonocephalus* from Sumatra, in particular *G. megalepis* (Bleeker, 1860), *G. klossi* Boulenger, 1920 and *G. lacunosus* Manthey and Denzer, 1991 but never in such a geometrical arrangement as can be found in the type specimen of *D. mjobergi*. Another feature in *D. mjobergi* is the possession of an enlarged platelike scale below the tympanum which is separated from the tympanum by two rows of small scales.

As already mentioned, *D. mjobergi* possesses two parallel longitudinal gular folds. No other *Gonocephalus* species possesses this particular character.

Both folds start on the distal part of the gular region approximately bordering the serrated edge in the middle line of the pouch. The outer one runs nearly parallel to the centre line of the gular pouch and continues onto the anterior part of the chest. It partially conceals the *Gonocephalus*-type typical transverse fold as seen in these lizards. The inner folds are shorter and curve inside towards the centre line. This feature is very similar to the longitudinal gular folds known from species of the genera *Ptyctolaemus* Peters, 1864 and *Mantheyus* Ananjeva and Stuart, 2001. Ananjeva and Stuart (2001) depict the gular region of the latter two genera. The arrangement and colouration in *D. mjobergi* is rather comparable to that in *Ptyctolaemus*. It consists of two rows (grayish white in alcohol) with dark colouration of the skin in between. This indicates that this character independently developed in *Ptyctolaemus*, *Mantheyus* and *D. mjobergi* and seems to be an autapomorphy. All three also lack a transverse gular fold present in *Gonocephalus*.

However, *D. mjobergi* is by no means congeneric with either *Ptyctolaemus* or *Mantheyus*. Both genera *Ptyctolaemus* and *Mantheyus* have scaled tympani as opposed to *D. mjobergi*; additionally, *Mantheyus* has femoral pores. Within this cluster of genera only *D. mjobergi* shows oblique rows of enlarged dorsolateral scales. Because of the type specimen of *D. mjobergi* is a female it has been hypothesized that the gular sac will be even larger in male specimens which would distinguish it further from the genera discussed here with the exception of *Daraninagama robinsonii*.

Distribution: Currently only known from a single specimen found at Mount Murud (7000 feet = (2134 m elevation), Sarawak, Borneo, Malaysia).

Etymology: Named in honour of Dr. Nicholas Doong, General Practitioner of Burwood in Sydney, Australia, for his many decades of service to medicine and public health in Australia often in the most testing of circumstances. These situations including a huge number of sick patients waiting for hours to receive his attention and Dr. Doong commonly working for more hours a day than is good for his own health.

Content: *Doongagama mjobergi* Smith, 1925 (monotypic).

JAPALURA GRAY, 1853

Japalura Gray, 1853 as recognized to date (2013) has been shown to be paraphyletic by several authors using various criteria. Recent authors doing so include Stuart-Fox and Owens (2003), Mahony (2009), most recently by Pyron *et al.* (2013). These authors between them show at least three widely separated clades currently labelled within this genus, each group in fact being more closely related to other genera than one another within *Japalura* as currently defined. Notwithstanding the obvious results, each group also is too divergent to be placed in the genus with closest related taxa within other genera.

Besides the obvious molecular results of Pyron *et al.* (2013), morphologically each species group is readily identified and separated, thereby creating a need for these groups to be split into appropriate genera and taxonomically recognized.

Claims by Kaiser (2012a, 2012b) and Kaiser *et al.* (2013) that there is no need to split such genera are rejected as being obviously foolish and reckless.

The type species for the genus *Japalura* is *Japalura variegata* Gray, 1853, meaning others within the western clade remain within that genus.

Oriotiaris Günther, 1864 was originally created as a monotypic genus for *Tiaris elliotti* (Günther, 1860)

but is in fact a junior synonym of *Calotes tricarinatus* Blyth, 1853 (now known as *Japalura tricarinata* (Blyth, 1853)).

In the face of molecular data and morphological differences between the so-called *Oriotiaris* group and the nominate clade group of *Japalura*, it is a borderline decision as to whether or not the group should be split at the full genus level. Taking a conservative position, I herein recognize *Oriotiaris* as a valid subgenus within *Japalura* in order to give the group proper taxonomic recognition within the Zoological Code.

One divergent taxon can be placed within a genus for which a name is available, namely *Diploderma* Hallowell, 1861, for the taxon described originally as *Diploderma polygonatum* Hallowell, 1861 and in my view erroneously placed in *Japalura* by most authors ever since.

The divergent species group including *Japalura splendida* Barbour and Dunn, 1919 and *Japalura flaviceps* Barbour and Dunn, 1919 do not have a genus name available, most likely due to the fact that many herpetologists have assumed them to be closely related to *Diploderma polygonatum* Hallowell, 1861, which they are not. The confusion arose due to the fact that both groups have a China-centric distribution. Hence the *splendida* / *flaviceps* group are formally placed within a newly diagnosed genus herein according to the Zoological Code (Ride *et al.* 1999).

This group, is in turn split into subgenera defined herein.

Relevant literature in terms of *Japalura sensu lato* include Ananjeva *et al.* (2011a), Anderson (1879), Annandale (1905), Annandale (2012), Athreya (2006), Barbour (1909), Barbour and Dunn (1919), Barts and Wilms (2003), Bauer and Günther (1992), Bhosale *et al.* (2013), Blyth (1854), Bobrov (1995), Bobrov and Semenov (2008), Boettger (1885, 1904), Boulenger (1885, 1890, 1906, 1918), Boulenger *et al.* (1907), Bourret (1937), Constable (1949), Das *et al.* (2009), Deng (1988), Gao

et al. (2006), Gao (2001), Goa and Hou (2002), Goris and Maeda (2004), Gray (1853), Gressitt (1936), Gruber (1975), Günther (1860, 1864), Hallermann (2005a, 2005b), Hallowell (1861), Hu (1966), Inger (1960), Jamdar (1985), Jerdon (1870), Jono *et al.* (2013), Kästle and Schleich (1998), Kunz (2012), Laue (2005, 2009a, 2009b, 2011), Lenz (2012), Li (2001), Li *et al.* (2001), Li *et al.* (1981, 2000), Li *et al.* (2003), Lin and Lu (1982), Liu-Yu (1970), LiVigni (2013), Kunte and Manthey (2009), Macey *et al.* (2000), Mahony (2009, 2010), Manthey (2011, 2013), Manthey (2008), Manthey and Denzer (2012), Manthey *et al.* (2012), Mathew (2004, 2006), McGuire and Kiew (2001), Mertens (1926), Nanhoe and Ouboter (1987), Norval and Mao (2008), Ota (1988, 1989a, 1989b, 1989c, 1991a, 1991b, 2000a, 2000b, 2003), Ota and Weidenhofer (1992), Ota *et al.* (1998), Pope (1935), Saikia *et al.* (2007), Sang *et al.* (2009), Schleich and Kästle (2002), Scharf and Meiri (2013), Schradin (2004), Schulte II *et al.* (2004), Shah (1995), Simpson (1961), Slevin and Leviton (1956), Smith (1935), Song (1987), Stejneger (1898, 1907, 1910, 1924), Swan and Leviton (1962), Tanaka and Nishihira (1981), Van Denburgh (1912), Venugopal (2010), Waltner (1975), Wettstein (1938), Wogan *et al.* (2008), Wu *et al.* (2005), Zhao and Adler (1993) and sources cited therein.

GENUS *JAPALURA* GRAY, 1853.

Type species: *Japalura variegata* Gray, 1853.

Diagnosis: Tympanum hidden or unhidden. Body compressed. Dorsal scales heterogeneous. A dorsal crest. Gular pouch small or absent. An oblique fold in front of the shoulder; gular fold present or absent. Tail feebly compressed. No praeanal or femoral pores. An enlarged subocular scale row is absent, or if present is not large enough to cover the space between the supralabials and orbit, thus a moderately enlarged row and one or two smaller rows are present.

Diploderma Hallowell, 1861 is separated from *Japalura* by having the third and fourth fingers of equal length and only seven upper labials.

Maxhoseragama gen. nov. are readily separated from *Japalura* (the genus in which it was previously placed) and *Diploderma* by the following suite of characters: having infra-caudal scales larger than ventrals; the third and fourth fingers of equal length; does not have seven upper labials. Furthermore this genus is separated from *Japalura* by the fact that an enlarged subocular scale row is present and prominent or at least moderately so.

Distribution: Southern Asia.

Content: *Japalura variegata* Gray, 1853 (type species); *J. andersoniana* Annandale, 1905; *J. dasi* (Shah and Kästle, 2002); *J. kumaonensis* (Annandale, 1907); *J. major* (Jerdon, 1870); *J. otai* Mahony, 2009; *J. planidorsata* Jerdon, 1870; *J. sagittifera* Smith, 1940; *J. tricarinata* (Blyth, 1853).

SUBGENUS *ORIOTIARIS* GÜNTHER, 1864.

Type species: *Tiaris elliotti* (Günther, 1860) (a junior synonym of *Calotes tricarinatus* Blyth, 1853). Currently known as *Japalura tricarinata* (Blyth, 1853).

Diagnosis: Tympanum naked (as opposed to hidden in the subgenus *Japalura*). Back and sides covered with very small scales, between which larger keeled ones are scattered; a tubercle behind the superciliary edge. Dorsal crest very low, formed by a series of larger, keeled, not prominent scales. Gular sack none. Tail not compressed, with keeled scales below, which are almost as broad as long. Hence this subgenus differs from the nominate subgenus *Japalura* in the details of a concealed tympanum, ability of males to raise a nuchal crest and possession of a small gular pouch in the later. The subgenus *Oriotiaris* is further separated from the nominate subgenus *Japalura* by the absence (vs. presence) of dorsal chevrons and presence (vs. absence) of a coloured gular region, concealed tympanum, large crest spines in males and erectile nuchal crest (roach), in members of *Japalura*.

The diagnosis for the nominate subgenus *Japalura* is simply a reversal of this diagnosis.

Distribution: South Asia.

Content: *Japalura (Oriotiaris) tricarinata* (Blyth, 1853) (type for subgenus); *J. (Oriotiaris) dasi* (Shah and Kästle, 2002); *J. (Oriotiaris) kumaonensis* (Annandale, 1907); *J. (Oriotiaris) major* (Jerdon, 1870).

SUBGENUS *JAPALURA* GRAY, 1853.

Type species: *Japalura variegata* Gray, 1853.

Diagnosis: The diagnosis for the nominate subgenus *Japalura* is simply a reversal of the diagnosis for *Oriotiaris* above.

Distribution: South Asia.

Content: *Japalura variegata* Gray, 1853 (type for subgenus); *J. andersoniana* Annandale, 1905; *J. otai* Mahony, 2009; *J. planidorsata* Jerdon, 1870; *J. sagittifera* Smith, 1940.

GENUS *DIPLODERMA* HALLOWELL, 1861.

Type species: *Diploderma polygonatum* Hallowell, 1861.

Diagnosis: As for *Japalura*, this genus is diagnosed with the following suite of characters: Body compressed. Dorsal scales heterogeneous. A dorsal crest. Gular pouch small or absent. An oblique fold in front of the shoulder; gular fold present or absent. Tail feebly compressed. No praeanal or femoral pores.

This genus has a hidden tympanum.

Diploderma Hallowell, 1861 is separated from *Japalura* by having the third and fourth fingers of equal length and only seven upper labials.

Maxhoseragama gen. nov. are readily separated from *Japalura* (the genus in which it was previously placed) and *Diploderma* by the following suite of characters: having infra-caudal scales larger than ventrals; the third and fourth fingers of equal length; does not have seven upper labials.

Distribution: Japan (Ryukyu Archipelago), China (Taiwan).

Content: *Diploderma polygonatum* Hallowell, 1861 (monotypic).

GENUS *MAXHOSERAGAMA* GEN. NOV.

Type species: *Japalura splendida* Barbour and Dunn, 1919.

Diagnosis: *Maxhoseragama gen. nov.* are readily separated from *Japalura* (the genus in which it was previously placed) and *Diploderma* by the following suite of characters: having infra-caudal scales larger than ventrals; the third and fourth fingers of equal length; does not have seven upper labials.

Furthermore this genus is separated from *Japalura* by the fact that an enlarged subocular scale row is present and usually prominent, or at least moderately so.

This genus is also identified by the following suite of characters: Tympanum hidden. Body compressed. Dorsal scales heterogeneous. A dorsal crest. Gular pouch small or absent. An oblique fold in front of the shoulder; gular fold present or absent. Tail feebly compressed. No praeanal or femoral pores.

Distribution: East Asia (mainly China).

Etymology: Named in honour of my cousin, Max Hoser of Campbelltown, NSW, for his long term contributions to herpetology and public welfare in Australia.

Content: *Maxhoseragama splendida* (Barbour and Dunn, 1919) (type species); *M. batangensis* (Li, Deng, Wu and Wang, 2001); *M. brevicauda* (Manthey, Denzer, Hou and Wang, 2012); *M. brevipes* (Gressitt, 1936); *M. chapaensis* (Bourret, 1937); *M. dymondi* (Boulenger, 1906); *M. fasciata* (Mertens, 1926); *M. flaviceps* (Barbour and Dunn, 1919); *M. grahami* (Stejneger, 1924); *M. hamptoni* (Smith, 1935); *M. luei* (Ota, Chen and Shang, 1998); *M. makii* (Ota, 1989); *M. micangshanensis* (Song, 1987); *M. swinhonis* (Günther, 1864); *M. varcoae* (Boulenger, 1918); *M. yulongensis* (Manthey, Denzer, Hou and Wang, 2012); *M. yunnanensis* (Anderson, 1878); *M. zhaermii* (Goa and Hou, 2002).

SUBGENUS *EKSTEINAGAMA* SUBGEN. NOV.

Type species: *Japalura swinhonis* Günther, 1864.

Diagnosis: The subgenus *Eksteinagama subgen. nov.* is readily separated from *Maxhoseragama subgen. nov.* by the obvious

lack of a transverse gular fold and the fact that the tibia is as long as the skull.

In the subgenus *Maxhoseragama* subgen. nov. there is an obvious transverse gular fold and the tibia is noticeably shorter than the skull.

Distribution: Taiwan.

Etymology: Named in honour of Robert Ekstein of Sydney, New South Wales, with an importing business at Wetherill Park, NSW, for services to herpetology spanning some decades.

Content: *Maxhoseragama* (*Eksteinagama*) *swinhonis* (Günther, 1864) (type species); *M.* (*Eksteinagama*) *brevipes* (Gressitt, 1936); *M.* (*Eksteinagama*) *luei* (Ota, Chen and Shang, 1998); *M.* (*Eksteinagama*) *makii* (Ota, 1989).

SUBGENUS MAXHOSERAGAMA SUBGEN. NOV.

Type species: *Japalura splendida* Barbour and Dunn, 1919.

Diagnosis: In the subgenus *Maxhoseragama* subgen. nov. there is an obvious transverse gular fold and the tibia is noticeably shorter than the skull.

The subgenus *Eksteinagama* subgen. nov. is readily separated from *Maxhoseragama* subgen. nov. by the obvious lack of a transverse gular fold and the fact that the tibia is as long as the skull.

Distribution: East Asia.

Etymology: See for the genus *Maxhoseragama* gen. nov.

Content: *Maxhoseragama* (*Maxhoseragama*) *splendida* (Barbour and Dunn, 1919) (type for genus); *M.* (*Maxhoseragama*) *batangensis* (Li, Deng, Wu and Wang, 2001); *M.* (*Maxhoseragama*) *brevicauda* (Manthey, Denzer, Hou and Wang, 2012); *M.* (*Maxhoseragama*) *chapaensis* (Bourret, 1937); *M.* (*Maxhoseragama*) *dymondi* (Boulenger, 1906); *M.* (*Maxhoseragama*) *fasciata* (Mertens, 1926); *M.* (*Maxhoseragama*) *flaviceps* (Barbour and Dunn, 1919); *M.* (*Maxhoseragama*) *grahami* (Stejneger, 1924); *M.* (*Maxhoseragama*) *hamptoni* (Smith, 1935); *M.* (*Maxhoseragama*) *micangshanensis* (Song, 1987); *M.* (*Maxhoseragama*) *varcoae* (Boulenger, 1918); *M.* (*Maxhoseragama*) *yulongensis* (Manthey, Denzer, Hou and Wang, 2012); *M.* (*Maxhoseragama*) *yunnanensis* (Anderson, 1878); *M.* (*Maxhoseragama*) *zhaoermii* (Goa and Hou, 2002).

CALOTES DAUDIN, 1802.

While there is no question that the genus *Calotes* as currently recognized forms a broadly monophyletic group, as compared to other agamid genera, the genus as currently recognized is paraphyletic if genera are assigned on the basis of the level of divergences used to define other agamid genera. A good example is seen in the genera *Salea* Gray, 1845, *Sitana* Cuvier, 1829 and *Otocryptis* Wagler, 1830, treated individually as separate genera by most recent authors, but most clearly as a sister clade to the greater *Calotes* as shown in Pyron *et al.* (2013) and earlier phylogenetic studies.

It is therefore not consistent to treat *Calotes* as a single genus any longer and it is therefore divided herein on the basis of the obvious morphological differences, which happens to coincide with the molecular results of Pyron *et al.* (2013) and earlier authors.

The most logical configuration for the relevant species are three genera, one with an estimated divergence calibrated at 10 MYA and the other two diverging at calibrated date of between 7-10 MYA.

The nominate genus is further divided five ways into subgenera. The second new genus herein named *Crottyagama* gen. nov. is divided into four subgenera. The third genus contains just two species from India. Other than the original nominate one/s, all genera and subgenera are formally named and defined herein for the first time.

I note also that for a number of the more widely distributed species within *Calotes sensu lato*, many are in fact species complexes.

The literature in terms of *Calotes* as recognized in 2013 is extensive and key papers and books include Amarasinghe and Karunarathna (2007, 2008), Amarasinghe *et al.* (2009a, 2009b, 2011, 2014), Andersson (1900), Andrews *et al.* (2013), Annandale (1905, 1909), Asana (1931), Asela *et al.* (2007, 2012), Auffenberg and Rehman (1993, 1995), Bahir and Maduwage (2005), Bahir and Surasinghe (2005), Baier (2005), Baig *et al.* (2008), Barts and Wilms (2003), Bauer and Günther (1992), Bergmann *et al.* (2004), Biswas (1975), Blyth (1852, 1854), Bobrov and Semenov (2008), Botejue *et al.* (2012), Boulenger (1885, 1890), Boulenger *et al.* (1907), Chan-ard *et al.* (1999), Chandramouli (2009b), Chou (1994), Clark *et al.* (1969), Cox *et al.* (1998), de Sliva (1994), de Sliva *et al.* (2005), Das *et al.* (2009), Das (1999), Das and Palden 2000), Das *et al.* (2008), Daudin (1802), Denzer *et al.* (1997), de Rooij (1915), Devasahayam and Devasahayam (1989), Dieckmann and Dieckmann (2011), Duméril and Bibron (1837), Erdelen (1978, 1984), Gabadage *et al.* (2009), Ganesh and Chandramouli (2013), Ganesh *et al.* (1997), Geissler (2013), Geissler *et al.* (2011), Gray (1845, 1846), Grismer (2011), Grismer *et al.* (2007, 2008, 2010), Grossmann (2008, 2011), Günther (1864, 1869, 1870, 1872b, 1875), Hallermann (2000a, 2005a, 2005b), Hardwicke and Gray (1827), Harlan (1824), Hartmann *et al.* (2013a, 2013b), Huang *et al.* (2013), Islam and Saikia (2013), Janzen (2003, 2011), Janzen and Bopage (2011), Janzen *et al.* (2007b), Jaquemont (1814), Jerdon (1854), Jestrzemski *et al.* (2013), Ji *et al.* (2002), Karthikeyan *et al.* (1993), Karunarathna and Amarasinghe (2008a), Karunarathna *et al.* (2009a, 2009b, 2011a, 2011b), Kramer (1979), Krishnan (2008), Kuhl (1820), Lenz (2012), Linnaeus (1758), Lönnberg (1896), Macey *et al.* (1997, 2000), Mahony and Ali Reza (2007), Mahony *et al.* (2009), Manthey and Grossmann (1997), Manthey and Schuster (1999), Merrem (1820), Meshaka (2011), Moody (1980), Müller (1887), Murthy (1990, 2010), Neang *et al.* (2010), Nanhoe and Ouboter (1987), Nevill (1887), Pandav *et al.* (2010, 2012), Pauwels *et al.* (2000, 2003), Pawlowski and Krämer (2007), Peters (1860), Pradeep and Amarasinghe (2009), Pyron *et al.* (2013), Quah *et al.* (2011), Radder *et al.* (2002), Rastegar-Pouyani *et al.* (2008), Ride *et al.* (1999), Rogner (2006), Sandera and Starastová (2009), Sang *et al.* (2009), Schleich and Kästle (2002), Schmidt (1925), Shanbhag *et al.* (2010), Sharma (2010), Sindaco and Jeremcenko (2008), Smedley (1932), Smith (1935), Somaweera and Somaweera (2009), Stuart and Emmett (2006), Stuart *et al.* (2006), Subramanean and Reddy (2012), Sura (1989), Sworder (1933), Taylor (1935, 1963), Teo and Rajathurai (1997), Theobald (1876), Thireau *et al.* (1998), Tiedemann *et al.* (1994), Tiwaru and Schiavina (1990), Tsetan and Ramanibai (2011), Turpon and Probst (1996), Upadhye *et al.* (2012), van der Kooij (2001), Venugopal (2010), Vindum *et al.* (2003), Vyas (2007, 2011a, 2011b), Wall (1908b), Waltner (1975), Werner (1896), Zhao and Adler (1993), Zhao and Li (1984, 1987), Ziegler (2002), Zug *et al.* (1998, 2006, 2009, 2010), and sources cited therein.

GENUS CALOTES DAUDIN, 1802.

Type species: *Lacerta calotes* Linnaeus, 1758.

Diagnosis: Formerly including species now included in other genera such as *Pseudocalotes* Fitzinger, 1843, *Bronchocela*, Kaup, 1827 and *Hypsicalotes* Manthey and Denzer, 2000; *Calotes* is herein defined as follows: Tympanum distinct; body compressed, covered with equal-sized scales; a dorso-nuchal crest; a more or less developed gular sac in the male; no transverse gular fold, or a very feebly marked one. Tail round or feebly compressed. No femoral or preanal pores.

There is no long transversal fold in front of the shoulder extending across the throat or two slender spines on the back of the head as seen in *Skrijelus* gen. nov. which comprises the species formerly known as *Calotes rouxii* Duméri and Bibron, 1837 and *Calotes ellioti* Günther, 1864.

Crottyagama gen. nov. formally described below is separated from *Calotes* Cuvier, 1817 and *Skrijelus* gen. nov. by the

following characters: An oblique fold or triangle pit in front of shoulder covered with small scales, not extending across the throat and dorsal scales larger than ventrals and keeled. In addition to this, each of the relevant subgenera within *Crottyagama* *gen. nov.* are further diagnosed and separated from the other genera by one or other of: A/ No post orbital spine present; fourth toe much longer than the third; relatively large specimens up to about 140 mm snout-vent length; pit in front of the shoulders light brown or not coloured; white or yellow stripe above lip extending to the shoulder (subgenus *Crottyagama* *gen. nov.*); or B/ A post orbital spine present (*Freudcalotes* *subgen. nov.*); or C/ Two parallel rows of compressed spines above the tympanum and a dorsal colour of green in life (*Khasicalotes* *subgen. nov.*); or D/ Pit in front of shoulders is black, no white or yellow stripe is above the lip (*Amboncalotes* *subgen. nov.*).

Skrijelus *gen. nov.* formally described below is readily separated from both *Calotes* and *Crottyagama* *gen. nov.* by the presence of a long transversal fold in front of the shoulder extending across the throat and two slender spines on the back of the head.

It appears that all subgenera described below within the genus *Calotes* are of Pliocene divergences.

Distribution: The lower Indian subcontinent, including Sri Lanka, across southern Asia to east Asia including China.

Content: *Calotes calotes* (Linnaeus, 1758) (type species); *C. aurantolabium* Krishnan, 2008; *C. bhutanensis* Biswas, 1975; *C. ceylonensis* Müller, 1887; *C. desilvai* Bahir and Maduwage, 2005; *C. grandisquamis* Günther, 1875; *C. htunwini* Zug and Vindum, 2006; *C. irawadi* Zug, Brown, Schulte and Vindum, 2006; *C. liocephalus* Günther, 1872; *C. liolepis* Boulenger, 1885; *C. maria* Gray, 1845; *C. medogensis* Zhao and Li, 1984; *C. nemoricola* Jerdon, 1853; *C. nigrilabris* Peters, 1860; *C. pethiyagodai* Amarasinghe, Karunaratna and Hallermann, 2014; *C. versicolor* (Daudin, 1802).

SUBGENUS CALOTES DAUDIN, 1802.

Type species: *Lacerta calotes* Linnaeus, 1758.

Diagnosis: The species within the subgenus *Calotes* are separated from the other subgenera defined and formally named herein by the following suite of characters: An oblique fold or pit covered with small granular scales in front of the shoulder, not extending across the throat; dorsal scales as large as or smaller than the ventrals; lateral scales pointing upwards and backwards.

Specimens within the subgenus *Calotes* are further defined as follows: Upper head-scales smooth, imbricate, enlarged on supraorbital region; two groups of spines on each side of the head, between the

ear and the nuchal crest; tympanum nearly half the diameter of the orbit. Gular sac not developed; gular scales feebly keeled, nearly as large as ventrals. A short oblique fold in front of the shoulder. Dorso-nuchal crest composed of closely set lanceolate spines directed backwards, with smaller ones at the base; in the male the height of the crest on the nape equals or exceeds the diameter of the orbit, and decreases gradually on the back. Thirtyone to thirtyfive scales round the middle of the body; dorsal scales

very feebly keeled, or even quite smooth, with the points directed upwards and backwards; ventral scales larger than dorsals, very strongly keeled and mucronate. The adpressed hind limb reaches the anterior border of the orbit or a little beyond; third and fourth fingers nearly equal. Tail round. Green above, frequently with blackish-green cross bands, broader than the interspaces between them; young sometimes with a whitish longitudinal band on each side of the back.

The species within this subgenus have at times been placed within the so-called *versicolor* group, with which they share affinities, but may be readily separated from them by the fact that the latter group does not have an oblique fold or pit covered

with small granular scales in front of the shoulder.

Those lizards are described below as the subgenus *Rubercalotes* *subgen. nov.*

Distribution: Sri Lanka, Southern India, Burma.

Content: *Calotes (Calotes) calotes* (Linnaeus, 1758) (type species); *C. (Calotes) htunwini* Zug and Vindum, 2006.

SUBGENUS RUBERCALOTES SUBGEN. NOV.

Type species: *Agama versicolor* Daudin, 1802.

Diagnosis: *Rubercalotes* *subgen. nov.* are readily separated from all other *Calotes* subgenera by the following suite of characters: No fold in front of the shoulder; lateral scales pointing backwards and upwards.

Rubercalotes *subgen. nov.* are further diagnosed as follows: Upper head-scales rather large, smooth or feebly keeled, imbricate, more or less enlarged on supraorbital region; two well-separated spines (seldom absent or scarcely distinct) on each side of the back of the head, above the ear; tympanum is roughly half the diameter of the orbit, usually being slightly larger or smaller. Gular pouch not developed; gular scales smoother feebly keeled, as large as or larger than ventrals, largest and mucronate in the adult male. No oblique fold in front of the shoulder. Dorsonuchal crest well developed in the male, composed of lanceolate spines gradually decreasing in size towards the posterior part of the back. Thirty-five to sixty-one scales round the middle of the body; dorsal scales more or less distinctly keeled, larger than ventrals, all directed upwards and backwards; ventral scales strongly keeled. The adpressed hind limb reaches the temple or the anterior part of the eye; fourth finger a little longer than third or roughly equal. Tail round. Light brownish or yellowish, to green, uniform or with dark transverse bands or spots, or dark olive-brown with light spots or longitudinal lines, most notably and consistently present on the limbs; belly sometimes with dark longitudinal lines.

Distribution: Southern Asia, from south-east Iran to China.

Feral populations now also exist in Borneo, Celebes, Maldives, Seychelles, Florida, USA, Mauritius (Reunion, Rodrigues) and Oman.

Etymology: Named in reference to the frequent appearance of red in specimens and the genus within which these lizards have traditionally been placed.

Content: *Calotes (Rubercalotes) versicolor* (Daudin, 1802) (type species); *C. (Rubercalotes) bhutanensis* Biswas, 1975; *C. (Rubercalotes) irawadi* Zug, Brown, Schulte and Vindum, 2006; *C. (Rubercalotes) maria* Gray, 1845; *C. (Rubercalotes) medogensis* Zhao and Li, 1984.

SUBGENUS GHATSCALOTES SUBGEN. NOV.

Type species: *Calotes nemoricola* Jerdon, 1853.

Diagnosis: *Ghatscalotes* *subgen. nov.* are readily separated from all other *Calotes* by the following suite of characters: An oblique fold or pit covered with small granular scales in front of the shoulder, not extending across the throat; dorsal scales larger than ventrals, smooth; lateral scales pointing upwards and backwards; 29 to 43 scales round the middle of the body.

Ghatscalotes *subgen. nov.* is further diagnosed as follows: Upper head-scales smooth or very feebly keeled, imbricate, enlarged on supraorbital region; three or four small to very small spines above the tympanum; latter measuring half, or just under half the diameter of the orbit; a gular pouch; lateral gular scales larger than ventrals, smooth or very feebly keeled. A short oblique fold in front of the shoulder. Dorso-nuchal crest formed of large lanceolate spines, the longest of which (in the male) equals from 3/4 to the full diameter of the orbit, gradually decreasing in height on the back; in the female the dorsal crest is reduced to a very feebly serrated ridge. Twenty-nine to forty-three scales around the middle of the body; dorsal scales between three and four times as large as ventrals, squarish, smooth, pointing

backwards and upwards; ventral scales strongly keeled. The

adpressed hind limb reaches either almost the tympanum or slightly beyond; third and fourth fingers equal. Tail compressed. Green, uniform, or with

broad black transverse bands on the back, occasionally each scale within the black bands has a central orange-coloured spot; or alternatively the colour is olive above, with indistinct darker markings; a black

streak from the eye to above the tympanum; dark lines radiating from the eye; gular sac pink.

Distribution: The Western Ghats of India.

Etymology: Named in recognition of the region the species come from and also the genus with which they have been traditionally placed within.

Content: *Calotes (Ghatscalotes) nemoricola* Jerdon, 1853 (type species); *C. (Ghatscalotes) grandisquamis* Günther, 1875.

SUBGENUS LACCADIVECALOTES SUBGEN. NOV.

Type species: *Calotes nigrilabris* Peters, 1860

Diagnosis: *Laccadivecalotes subgen. nov.* is readily separated from the other subgenera of *Calotes* by the following suite of characters: One or other of: 1/ An oblique fold or pit covered with small granular scales in front of the shoulder, not extending across the throat; dorsal scales much smaller than ventrals; lateral scales pointing downwards and backwards (*Calotes (Laccadivecalotes) nigrilabris* Peters, 1860); or: 2/ An oblique fold or pit covered with small granular scales in front of the shoulder, not extending across the throat; dorsal scales larger than ventrals, smooth; lateral scales pointing downwards and backwards (*C. (Laccadivecalotes) liolepis* Boulenger, 1885).

Laccadivecalotes subgen. nov. is further separated from the other subgenera of *Calotes* by the following suites of characters: One of other of:

1/ Upper head-scales smooth, imbricate, not or but slightly enlarged on supraorbital region; a short series of three to six small spines above and behind the posterior part of the tympanum; latter measuring half, or more than half, the diameter of the orbit. Gular pouch not developed; gular scales more or less distinctly keeled, as large as ventrals. A strong oblique fold or pit in front of the shoulder. Dorso-nuchal crest composed of small lanceolate spines, the longest of which, on the nape, measure about two thirds the diameter of the orbit; on the back the crest is very distinct, but the spines gradually decrease in size. Forty-three to forty-seven scales round the middle of the body; dorsal scales rather feebly keeled, the upper pointing straight backwards, the others downwards and backwards; ventral scales much larger than dorsals, very strongly keeled, mucronate. The adpressed hind limb reaches the eye; third and fourth fingers equal. Tail round; in the males the scales on the basal part of the tail very- large and hard, those of the median upper row forming a slightly serrated ridge. Green, uniform on the back and tail, or with angular whitish black-edged angular cross bars or ocelli, with or without a reddish-brown vertebral band;

lips and sides of head with a broad black band or variegated with black in the male; female usually with a white, black-edged horizontal streak below the eye (*Calotes (Laccadivecalotes) nigrilabris* Peters, 1860), or:

2/ Upper head-scales smooth, imbricate, strongly enlarged on supra-orbital region; two distant spines on each side of the back of the head, between the ear and the nuchal crest; diameter of the tympanum

nearly half that of the orbit. Gular sac not developed; gular scales strongly keeled, as large as ventrals. A short oblique fold in front of the shoulder. Nuchal crest formed of narrow separated spines, the longest of which measure about the diameter of the tympanum; dorsal crest quite indistinct. Thirty-five or thirty-nine scales around the middle of the body; dorsal scales three times as large as ventrals, squarish, smooth, pointing backwards and downwards; ventral scales strongly keeled. The adpressed hind

limb reaches hardly reaches the tympanum; third and fourth fingers equal. Tail round in cross-section. Dorsally, pale olive, with indistinct brown transverse bands on the back; brown lines radiating from the eye, the lower ones reaching down to the lower lip (*Calotes (Laccadivecalotes) liolepis* Boulenger, 1885 and *C. (Laccadivecalotes) desilvai* Bahir and Maduwage, 2005).

Distribution: Sri Lanka (Ceylon).

Etymology: Named in reference to the region in which the subgenus occurs, that being immediately east of the Laccadive Sea and the genus from which the species have been traditionally placed.

Content: *Calotes (Laccadivecalotes) nigrilabris* Peters, 1860 (type species); *C. (Laccadivecalotes) desilvai* Bahir and Maduwage, 2005; *C. (Laccadivecalotes) liolepis* Boulenger, 1885.

SUBGENUS CEYLONCALOTES SUBGEN. NOV.

Type species: *Calotes liocephalus* Günther, 1872.

Diagnosis: *Ceyloncalotes subgen. nov.* is readily separated from the other subgenera of *Calotes* by the following suite of characters: One or other of:

1/ An oblique fold or pit covered with small granular scales in front of the shoulder, not extending across the throat; dorsal scales as large as or smaller than ventrals; lateral scales pointing downwards and backwards; no spines whatsoever on the head (*Calotes (Ceyloncalotes) liocephalus* Günther, 1872 and *C. (Ceyloncalotes) pethiyagodai* Amarasinghe, Karunarathna and Hallermann, 2014); or:

2/ An oblique fold or pit covered with small granular scales in front of shoulder, not extending across throat; dorsal scales larger than ventrals, smooth or nearly so; lateral scales pointing upwards and backwards, or straight backwards; 60 scales round middle of body (*Calotes (Ceyloncalotes) ceylonensis* Müller, 1887).

Ceyloncalotes subgen. nov. is further separated from the other subgenera of *Calotes* by the following suites of characters: One of other of:

1/ Upper head-scales smooth, imbricate, enlarged on supraorbital region; no spines whatever on the side of the head; tympanum measuring a little more than half the diameter of the orbit. Gular pouch not developed; gular scales much larger than ventrals and rather feebly keeled. An oblique fold in front of the shoulder; nuchal crest composed of narrow spines the length of which equals the diameter of the tympanum; dorsal crest a mere serrated ridge. Forty-five scales around the middle of the body; dorsal scales feebly keeled, the upper ones pointing straight backwards or slightly upwards, the others downwards and backwards; ventral scales strongly keeled, nearly the same size as the dorsals. The adpressed hind limb reaches the eye; third and fourth fingers equal. Tail round, the scales of its basal part very large and hard, those of the median upper row forming a serrated edge. Pale olive-green, above with transverse bands of a darker green; four angular reddish-brown cross bands on the back; a dark streak from the eye to above the tympanum; limbs and tail with alternate lighter and darker cross bands (*Calotes (Ceyloncalotes) liocephalus* Günther, 1872 and *C. (Ceyloncalotes) pethiyagodai* Amarasinghe, Karunarathna and Hallermann, 2014); or:

2/ Upper head-scales smooth, imbricate, considerably enlarged on the supraorbital region; two small spines on each side above the tympanum; diameter of the tympanum half that of the orbit. No gular sac; gular scales very strongly keeled, much smaller than dorsals. A few small spines form a short nuchal crest; no dorsal crest. 60 scales round the middle of the body, dorsals largest and smooth or indistinctly keeled; on the anterior half of the body the scales point upwards and backwards, on the hind half nearly straight backwards; ventrals two thirds the size of dorsals, mucronate. The hind limb reaches the posterior border of the orbit. Dorsally, greenish, with six large orange spots across the back, separated by dark bands; sides with a black

network; black lines radiate from the eye (*Calotes* (*Ceyloncalotes*) *ceylonensis* Müller, 1887).

Distribution: Sri Lanka (Ceylon).

Etymology: Named in recognition of the location that the subgenus occurs (Ceylon), and the genus from which the species have been traditionally placed.

Content: *Calotes* (*Ceyloncalotes*) *liocephalus* Günther, 1872 (type species); *C.* (*Ceyloncalotes*) *ceylonensis* Müller, 1887; *C.* (*Ceyloncalotes*) *pethiyagodai* Amarasinghe, Karunarathna and Hallermann, 2014.

SUBGENUS TAMILNADUCALOTES SUBGEN. NOV.

Type species: *Calotes aurantolabium* Krishnan, 2008.

Diagnosis: The subgenus *Tamilnaduacalotes subgen. nov.* is separated from all other *Calotes* by the following suite of characters: It has an orange streak above each row of supralabials, green body; acutely keeled scales over body (dorsally and ventrally), head, and throat; posteroventral orientation of the dorsal scales; antehumeral pit absent; 63 scales around midbody; small tympanum (5.5% HL); toe 3 and 4 are subequal. Distinguished from the species until recently known as "*Calotes andamanensis*" or "*Pseudocalotes andamanensis*" (now placed in a new genus described herein as *Notacalotes gen. nov.*) in having acutely keeled dorsals, all of which are directed posteroventrally; antehumeral pit absent; acutely keeled ventrals, limb and head scales; smaller occipital, nuchal, temporal regions. Distinguished from all known species of the so called "*Calotes versicolour*" group (herein described as *Rubercalotes subgen. nov.*) in having posteroventral orientation of dorsal scales (posterodorsal in *Rubercalotes subgen. nov.*). Distinguished from species of the so-called "*Calotes liocephalus*" group (herein described as *Ceyloncalotes subgen. nov.*) in lacking antehumeral pit, and in having a proportionately smaller head, ulnar length proportionately longer, tibial length proportionately shorter. Distinguished from *Skrijelus gen. nov.* described within this paper, (including the two species formerly known as *Calotes rouxi* and *Calotes ellioti*) in lacking antehumeral folds and spines; toe 4 longer than toe 3 in *Skrijelus gen. nov.*.

Distribution: Only known from the type locality Kalakad Mundanthurai Tiger Reserve, Tamil Nadu, India. **Etymology:** Named in recognition of the location that the subgenus occurs (Tamil Nadu, India), and the genus from which the species has been traditionally placed.

Content: *Calotes* (*Tamilnaduacalotes*) *aurantolabium* Krishnan, 2008 (monotypic).

CROTTYAGAMA GEN. NOV.

Type species: *Calotes mystaceus* Duméri and Bibron, 1837.

Diagnosis: *Crottyagama gen. nov.* is separated from *Calotes* Cuvier, 1817 and *Skrijelus gen. nov.* by the following characters: An oblique fold or triangle pit in front of shoulder covered with small scales, not extending across the throat and dorsal scales larger than ventrals and keeled. In addition to this, each of the relevant subgenera are further diagnosed and separated from the other genera by one or other of: A/ No post orbital spine present; fourth toe much longer than the third; relatively large specimens up to about 140 mm snout-vent length; pit in front of the shoulders light brown or not coloured; white or yellow stripe above lip extending to the shoulder (subgenus *Crottyagama subgen. nov.*); or B/ A long spine behind the supraciliary edge, and two others above the ear (*Freudcalotes subgen. nov.*); or C/ Two parallel rows of compressed spines above the tympanum and a dorsal colour of green in life (*Khasicalotes subgen. nov.*); or D/ Pit in front of shoulders is black, no white or yellow stripe is above the lip (*Amboncalotes subgen. nov.*).

Skrijelus gen. nov. formally described below is readily separated from both *Calotes* and *Crottyagama gen. nov.* by the presence of a long transversal fold in front of the shoulder extending across the throat and two slender spines on the back of the head.

With a divergence from *Calotes* estimated at about 10 MYA (calibrated, refer to Schulte *et al.* 2002 and Pyron *et al.* 2013), for *Crottyagama gen. nov.* it is entirely appropriate that the species group herein be recognized at the genus level.

Distribution: Southern Asia.

Etymology: Named in honour of my deceased pet dog, *Crotalus* (named "Crotty" for short) in recognition of his loyal protection of research files and property for more than a decade. *Crotalus* is also a generic name for a North American genus of Pitvipers. I might add that the concept that all 40-odd recognized species of rattlesnake should be placed in the genus *Crotalus* Linnaeus, 1758 is patently ridiculous (Hoser 2009, 2012, 2013).

Content: *Crottyagama mystaceus* (Duméri and Bibron, 1837) (type species); *C. bachae* (Hartmann, Geissler, Poyarkov, Ihlow, Galoyan, Rodder and Bohme, 2013); *C. emma* (Gray, 1845); *C. chincolium* (Vindum, 2003); *C. jerdoni* (Günther, 1870); *C. nigriplicatus* (Hallermann, 2000).

SUBGENUS CROTTYAGAMA SUBGEN. NOV.

Type species: *Calotes mystaceus* Duméri and Bibron, 1837.

Diagnosis: The genus *Crottyagama gen. nov.* is separated from *Calotes* Cuvier, 1817 and *Skrijelus gen. nov.* by the following characters: An oblique fold or triangle pit in front of shoulder covered with small scales, not extending across the throat and dorsal scales larger than ventrals and keeled. In addition to this, each of the relevant subgenera are further diagnosed and separated from the other genera and subgenera by one or other of: A/ No post orbital spine present; fourth toe much longer than the third; relatively large specimens up to about 140 mm snout-vent length; pit in front of the shoulders light brown or not coloured; white or yellow stripe above lip extending to the shoulder (subgenus *Crottyagama subgen. nov.*); or B/ A long spine behind the supraciliary edge, and two others above the ear (*Freudcalotes subgen. nov.*); or C/ Two parallel rows of compressed spines above the tympanum and a dorsal colour of green in life (*Khasicalotes subgen. nov.*); or D/ Pit in front of shoulders is black, no white or yellow stripe is above the lip (*Amboncalotes subgen. nov.*).

Skrijelus gen. nov. formally described below is readily separated from both *Calotes* and *Crottyagama gen. nov.* by the presence of a long transversal fold in front of the shoulder extending across the throat and two slender spines on the back of the head.

Distribution: Southern Asia.

Etymology: See for genus.

Content: *Crottyagama* (*Crottyagama*) *mystaceus* (Duméri and Bibron, 1837) (type species); *C.* (*Crottyagama*) *bachae* (Hartmann, Geissler, Poyarkov, Ihlow, Galoyan, Rodder and Bohme, 2013).

SUBGENUS FREUDCALOTES SUBGEN. NOV.

Type species: *Calotes emma* Gray, 1845.

Diagnosis: The genus *Crottyagama gen. nov.* is separated from *Calotes* Cuvier, 1817 and *Skrijelus gen. nov.* by the following characters: An oblique fold or triangle pit in front of shoulder covered with small scales, not extending across the throat and dorsal scales larger than ventrals and keeled. In addition to this, each of the relevant subgenera are further diagnosed and separated from the other genera and subgenera by one or other of: A/ No post orbital spine present; fourth toe much longer than the third; relatively large specimens up to about 140 mm snout-vent length; pit in front of the shoulders light brown or not coloured; white or yellow stripe above lip extending to the shoulder (subgenus *Crottyagama subgen. nov.*); or B/ A long spine behind the supraciliary edge, and two others above the ear (*Freudcalotes subgen. nov.*); or C/ Two parallel rows of compressed spines above the tympanum and a dorsal colour of green in life (*Khasicalotes subgen. nov.*); or D/ Pit in front of shoulders is black, no white or yellow stripe is above the lip (*Amboncalotes subgen. nov.*).

Skrijelus gen. nov. formally described below is readily separated from both *Calotes* and *Crottyagama gen. nov.* by the presence of a long transversal fold in front of the shoulder extending across the throat and two slender spines on the back of the head.

Distribution: Southern Asia between Assam (India) and Yunnan (China).

Etymology: Named in honour of a Daschund cross Doberman I owned in my youth. His name was Freud, being named after the psychologist Sigmund Freud, this being the man born Sigismund Schlomo Freud on 6 May 1856, who died on 23 September 1939, was an Austrian neurologist and who became known as the founding father of psychoanalysis. The dog looked intelligent like the man himself and was in fact intelligent for a dog. The dog was a great pet and trained to efficiently hunt snakes and lizards which he did for about nine years. Further details as to his exploits are in Hoser (1989).

Contrary to the many hate posts by Wolfgang Wüster, Hinrich Kaiser, Robert Twombly, Wulf Schleip, Bryan Fry and Mark O'Shea on their facebook page "Herpetological Taxonomy" (see 51 pages of posts from 26 November 2013 to 8 March 2014) (Wüster *et al.* 2014b), I do not see a problem with assigning a patronym scientific name after a dog.

Unlike these people I actually "like" animals!

Content: *Crottyagama (Freudcalotes) emma* (Gray, 1845) (type species); *C. (Freudcalotes) chincollium* (Vindum, 2003).

SUBGENUS *KHASICALOTES* SUBGEN. NOV.

Type species: *Calotes jerdoni* Günther, 1870.

Diagnosis: The genus *Crottyagama gen. nov.* is separated from *Calotes* Cuvier, 1817 and *Skrijelus gen. nov.* by the following characters: An oblique fold or triangle pit in front of shoulder covered with small scales, not extending across the throat and dorsal scales larger than ventrals and keeled. In addition to this, each of the relevant subgenera are further diagnosed and separated from the other genera and subgenera by one or other of: A/ No post orbital spine present; fourth toe much longer than the third; relatively large specimens up to about 140 mm snout-vent length; pit in front of the shoulders light brown or not coloured; white or yellow stripe above lip extending to the shoulder (*Crottyagama subgen. nov.*); or B/ A long spine behind the supraciliary edge, and two others above the ear (*Freudcalotes subgen. nov.*); or C/ Two parallel rows of compressed spines above the tympanum and a dorsal colour of green in life (*Khasicalotes subgen. nov.*); or D/ Pit in front of shoulders is black, no white or yellow stripe is above the lip (*Amboncalotes subgen. nov.*).

Skrijelus gen. nov. formally described below is readily separated from both *Calotes* and *Crottyagama gen. nov.* by the presence of a long transversal fold in front of the shoulder extending across the throat and two slender spines on the back of the head.

Distribution: India (Khasi Hills in Assam and Shillong), Burma (Myanmar), Bhutan.

Etymology: Named in recognition of the main location from where the subgenus is known and in recognition of the genus from where it used to be placed.

Content: *Crottyagama (Khasicalotes) jerdoni* (Günther, 1870) (monotypic).

SUBGENUS *AMBONCALOTES* SUBGEN. NOV.

Type species: *Calotes nigriplacatus* Hallermann, 2000.

Diagnosis: The genus is monotypic for the type species. It was formally described as a species of *Calotes* morphologically similar to "*C. mystaceus*" (herein referred to as *Crottyagama (Crottyagama) mystaceus*), with 57 scales around middle of body, ten sublabials and nine infralabials, body compressed, homogeneous scales arranged in regular rows, dorsal and dorsolateral scales larger than ventrals, strongly keeled, pointing backwards and upwards, ventral and gular

scales strongly keeled; a small gular pouch is present. In front of the shoulder, there is a distinct oblique fold of skin covered with small granular black-coloured scales. Nuchal and dorsal crest continuously composed of erect triangular scales, the former lower than the latter. Head shape triangular, forehead feebly concave, its length one and a half times its width. No postorbital spines. Limbs slender, moderately long, fourth toe reaching the hind margin of orbit. Fourth toe longer than third, 18 scales under fourth finger, and 25 under fourth toe. *Crottyagama mystaceus* differs from *C. nigriplacatus* in having light brown or uncoloured small scales in the fold in front of the shoulder, a broad white or yellow band stretching over the upper lip and extending to the shoulder, falciform spines of nuchal and dorsal crests and much longer nuchal crest spines than in *C. nigriplacatus*. *Crottyagama emma* with a similar black fold has long postorbital spines. Other species of the genus *Calotes* have body scales pointing backwards (*ceylonensis*) or backwards and downwards (*liolepis*, *liocephalus*) or dorsal scales equal to ventrals with no spines on head (species *andamanensis* now placed in the genus *Notacalotes gen. nov.*), or one row of spines above tympanum (*calotes*, *nigrilabris*) or in the case of *Crottyagama jerdoni*, two rows. *Calotes nemoricola*, *C. medogenensis* and *C. grandisquamis* have the fourth toe scarcely longer than third and fewer scales around the body (27 to 43, Smith 1935, and 53-55 in *medogenensis*, Zhao and Li 1984). *C. versicolor*, *C. maria* and *C. bhutanensis* differ from *Crottyagama nigriplacatus* by lacking a fold in front of the shoulder (Biswas, 1975) (adapted from Hallermann 2000a).

The genus *Crottyagama gen. nov.* is separated from *Calotes* Cuvier, 1817 and *Skrijelus gen. nov.* by the following characters: An oblique fold or triangle pit in front of shoulder covered with small scales, not extending across the throat and dorsal scales larger than ventrals and keeled. In addition to this, each of the relevant subgenera are further diagnosed and separated from the other genera and subgenera by one or other of: A/ No post orbital spine present; fourth toe much longer than the third; relatively large specimens up to about 140 mm snout-vent length; pit in front of the shoulders light brown or not coloured; white or yellow stripe above lip extending to the shoulder (*Crottyagama subgen. nov.*); or B/ A long spine behind the supraciliary edge, and two others above the ear (*Freudcalotes subgen. nov.*); or C/ Two parallel rows of compressed spines above the tympanum and a dorsal colour of green in life (*Khasicalotes subgen. nov.*); or D/ Pit in front of shoulders is black, no white or yellow stripe is above the lip (*Amboncalotes subgen. nov.*).

Skrijelus gen. nov. formally described below is readily separated from both *Calotes* and *Crottyagama gen. nov.* by the presence of a long transversal fold in front of the shoulder extending across the throat and two slender spines on the back of the head.

Distribution: Known only from the holotype from Ambon Island, Moluccas, Indonesia.

Etymology: Named in recognition of the genus it was formerly a part of and the location from where the holotype came from.

Content: *Crottyagama (Amboncalotes) nigriplacatus* (Hallermann, 2000) (monotypic).

GENUS *SKRIJELUS* GEN. NOV.

Type species: *Calotes rouxii* Duméri and Bibron, 1837.

Diagnosis: *Skrijelus gen. nov.* is readily separated from both *Calotes* Cuvier, 1817 and *Crottyagama gen. nov.* by the presence of a long transversal fold in front of the shoulder extending across the throat and two slender spines on the back of the head.

Distribution: India (within the regions of Bombay Presidency, Travancore, West Bengala, Gujarat, Goa, Maharashtra, Karnataka, Western Ghats).

Etymology. Named in honour of Mehemet (Mick) Skrijel, most recently of Melbourne, Victoria, Australia who in the 1980's and

1990's put his life and that of his family at great risk by blowing the whistle on corrupt drug dealing Victorian Police and similar police corruption in South Australia, leading to a senior officer being jailed. Skrijel also exposed entrenched judicial corruption (as outlined in Hoser 1999).

Srijel was the first to expose former South Australian drug squad chief Barry Moyse as being a corrupt drug dealer.

Moyse was the face of Operation Noah in South Australia in the 1980's.

This was a ruse he invented to get members of the public to do in drug dealing competitors to Moyse himself, a major drug trafficker. He was also a vice-president of the SA Police Association.

As a direct result of information from Skrijel, Moyse was arrested in September 1987 and later pleaded guilty to 17 charges relating to the sale, supply and possession of heroin, amphetamines and cannabis.

He was sentenced to 27 years jail, reduced to 21 on appeal.

Throughout the early 1980's Moyse also routinely rigged and "fixed" criminal trials with corrupt judges and magistrates in order to get whistleblowers and other innocent people jailed for bogus offences and at the same time beat charges laid against his own friends and criminal associates.

As a result of Skrijel's public interest disclosures, Moyse and other police retaliated by burning Skrijel's fishing boat and his home in Western Victoria and brutally attacking his young daughter.

Content: *Skrijelus rouxii* (Duméri and Bibron, 1837) (type species); *S. ellioti* (Günther, 1864).

CALOTES ANDAMENSIS BOULENGER, 1891.

The correct generic placement of the species first described by Boulenger in 1891 as *Calotes andamanensis* has long been uncertain.

Harikrishnan and Vasudevan (2013) analysed the type specimen and others and reassigned the species to the genus *Pseudocalotes* Fitzinger, 1843 on the basis of morphology and obvious similarities between the species.

The species within the genus *Pseudocalotes*, had until 1980 been generally placed within *Calotes* Daudin, 1802, whereupon Moody (1980) resurrected *Pseudocalotes* and transferred a number of species to the genus.

Pseudocalotes is readily separated from *Calotes* in having relatively weak limbs as may be noted in some of the species names, e.g. "*Calotes brevipes* Werner, 1904". *Pseudocalotes* possesses mixed orientation of dorsal scales and lacks spines on the head. It is distinguished from another morphologically similar genus *Bronchocela* Kaup, 1827 in lacking a cheek skin fold and in having short weak limbs. They do not have any enlarged compressed set of scales behind the orbit. Excluding the taxon, "*Calotes andamanensis*", known only from the Andaman Islands, *Pseudocalotes* does not occur west of Sumatra.

While it is clear that the species "*Calotes andamanensis*" is similar in many respects to *Pseudocalotes tympanistra* Gray, 1831, the type species for that genus, the species *andamanensis* is also sufficiently divergent to warrant being placed in a separate genus. This is formally defined and named below, according to the Zoological Code (Ride *et al.* 1999).

The new genus to accommodate "*Calotes andamanensis* Boulenger, 1891" is herein named *Notacalotes gen. nov.* for obvious reasons and with reference to the fact it was not properly placed within the genus *Calotes*.

In terms of the remaining *Pseudocalotes*, there are two well defined species groups, each worthy of subgenus-level recognition. These are the Sunda taxa (about 6 recognized species) and those from Indochina (about 10 recognized species including that identified herein as "*Pseudocalotes (Mictopholis) austeniana* (Annandale, 1908)"). The Sunda taxa carry the type species for the genus and therefore should retain

the name *Pseudocalotes*.

The name *Paracalotes* Bourret, 1939 is available for the Indochinese group (type species being *Paracalotes poilani* Bourret, 1939) and the group is tentatively recognized within this paper as a subgenus.

The genus *Mictopholis* Smith, 1935 is also tentatively recognized as distinct herein as a subgenus although it may well in fact fall within one of the other subgenera (probably *Paracalotes*).

Relevant diagnostics, taxonomic and nomenclatural details for each species group within the genus *Pseudocalotes*, including *Paracalotes* and *Mictopholis* can be found in Ananjeva *et al.* (2007, 2011a), Anderson (1879), Annandale (1908), Athreya (2006), Bain *et al.* (2007), Barts and Wilms (2003), Bobrov and Semenov (2008), Boettger (1893c), Boulenger (1885, 1887c, 1887d, 1890, 1891a, 1912), Bourret (1939), Chan-ard *et al.* (2008), Cox *et al.* (1998), Das (2004), Das and Das (2007), Denzer and Mathey (1991), Denzer *et al.* (1997), de Rooij (1915), Duméril *et al.* (1837), Dunn (1927), Gray (1831), Grismer (2011), Grismer *et al.* (2011), Hallermann (2000a, 2005a, 2005b), Hallermann and Böhme (2000), Hallermann and McGuire (2001), Hallermann *et al.* (2010), Harikrishnan and Vasudevan (2013), Hubrecht (1879), Inger and Stuebing (1994), Ishwar and Das (1998), Kopstein (1938), Koshikawa (1982), Krishnan (2008), Lenz (2012), Macey *et al.* (2000), Mahony (2010), Manthey and Denzer (2000), Manthey and Grossmann (1997), Manthey and Schuster (1999), Mertens (1954), Milto and Barabanov (2012), Moody (1980), Nguyen and Ziegler (2010), Ota (1989c), Rendahl (1937), Sang *et al.* (2009), Smith (1924, 1930, 1935, 1937a, 1940, 1943), Taylor (1963), Tiedmann and Häupl (1980), Venugopal (2010), Vindum *et al.* (2003), Waltner (1975), Werner (1904), Yang *et al.* (1979), Ziegler *et al.* (2008), Zug *et al.* (2006) and sources cited therein. A molecular basis for subgeneric recognition of the relevant species groups can be seen in Pyron *et al.* (2013) and earlier molecular studies. Key literature in terms of the monotypic genus as defined herein as *Notacalotes gen. nov.*, its taxonomy and nomenclature include the following, Boulenger (1891a), Hallermann (2000a), Harikrishnan and Vasudevan (2013), Ishwar and Das (1998), Krishnan (2008), Moody (1980), Murthy (1990), Pryon *et al.* (2013), Ride *et al.* (1999), Smith (1935), Venugopal (2010) and sources cited therein.

GENUS NOTACALOTES GEN. NOV.

Type species: *Calotes andamanensis* Boulenger, 1891.

Diagnosis: *Notacalotes gen. nov.* monotypic for the species originally described as "*Calotes andamanensis* Boulenger, 1891" is separated from similar species by having enlarged keeled scales on the posterior surface of the thigh, dorsal head scales obtusely keeled, smooth dorsal body scales (upper six rows directed posterodorsally, remainder posteroventrally), and lacking body crest, antehumeral pit present; nuchal crest well developed; 67 scales around the midbody; tympanum (11% HL); toe 3 shorter than toe 4. Distinguished from *Calotes (Tamilnadiculotes) aurantolabium* Krishnan, 2008 in having smooth dorsals, dorsal body scales unequal, upper six scale rows larger, remainder equal in size to ventral scales; three enlarged scales on caudal thigh; dorsal head scales obtusely keeled; parietal ridge raised; enlarged scale between nuchal crest and tympanum; antehumeral pit present; toe 4 longer than 3; stretched hindlimb reaches eye. Distinguished from other *Calotes* subgenera *Ceyloncalotes subgen. nov.* and *Rubercalotes subgen. nov.* as well as *Skrijelus gen. nov.* (species formerly within *Calotes*) by the presence of enlarged keeled scales on caudal surface of thigh. Distinguished from *Calotes* subgenus *Rubercalotes subgen. nov.* in scale orientation: distinguished from *Rubercalotes subgen. nov.* in having an antehumeral pit; distinguished from *Calotes* subgenus *Ghatscalotes subgen. nov.* in having equal size dorsal and ventral scales, toe 4 longer than toe 3, scales around midbody 67 (36-43 and 27-35 respectively); distinguished from the

Calotes subgenus *Calotes* as defined within this paper in lacking flattened spines in the nuchal region. Distinguished from *Skrijelus gen. nov.* (species formerly within *Calotes*) in having an antehumeral pit (instead of folds) and in lacking spines in the nuchal region. Distinguished from *Calotes* subgenera *Ceyloncalotes subgen. nov.* and *Laccadivecalotes subgen. nov.* in lacking spines in the nuchal region; distinguished from *Calotes (Ceyloncalotes) liocephalus* by midbody scale count and body crest scales.

This genus *Notacalotes gen. nov.* is alternatively defined as follows: relatively long head (hw: hl = 0.59); 56-67 longitudinal scale rows around midbody; dorsals and laterals smooth, sometimes weakly keeled near the sacral region; ventrals strongly keeled; dorsals of 4-7 paravertebral longitudinal rows larger than laterals, of irregular shape, pointing posterodorsally; laterals pointing posteroventrally; laterals and ventrals of similar size; ventrals slightly irregular; a row of enlarged scales between supralabials and orbit, bordered by one or two smaller scale rows; gular scales smaller than ventrals, weakly keeled; gular pouch present in males; antehumeral fold/pit weakly developed; nuchal crest composed of 11-15 lanceolate spines; dorsal crest a denticulate ridge; enlarged conical lamellae under the leading edge of third toe; 27-30 lamellae under fourth toe; hind limb length 70-75 % of svl; tail length 238-265% of svl, slightly compressed at the base (Harikrishnan and Vasudevan, 2013).

The species within *Notacalotes gen. nov.* can be distinguished from *Pseudocalotes* in having stronger and proportionately longer limbs (proportional antebrachial length not different); nuchal crest vertically directed compressed triangle scales, nuchal crest scales not intermittent as in several *Pseudocalotes*; presence of enlarged keeled scales on posterior surface of thigh. Distinguished from *Bronchocele* Kaup, 1827 and *Calotes* in having enlarged keeled scales on caudal surface of thigh. Distinguished from *Complicitus* Manthey and Grossmann, 1997 in having enlarged keeled scales on caudal face of thigh, lacking a gular pouch or dewlap, and having smaller head length and head height proportions. Distinguished from *Salea* Gray, 1845 in having predominantly uniform dorsal body scales, smooth body scales, lacks body crest, and laterally compressed body (depressed body in *Salea khakiensis*). Distinguished from *Dendragama* Doria, 1888 in lacking a lateral crest on either side of neck; lacking raised parietal ridges; lacking a row of enlarged keeled body scales; lacking keeled dorsal body scales; having flat forehead.

Distribution: Known only from the Andaman and Nicobar Islands (India).

Etymology: The new genus to accommodate "*Calotes andamanensis* Boulenger, 1891" is herein named *Notacalotes gen. nov.* for obvious reasons and with reference to the fact it is not properly placed within the genus *Calotes*.

Content: *Notacalotes andamanensis* (Boulenger, 1891) (monotypic).

CERATOPHORA GRAY, 1835

In line with *Calotes* Daudin, 1802, *Ceratophora* Gray 1835 as currently recognized does form a monophyletic group.

However the degree of divergence of two species lineages, namely *C. aspera* Günther, 1864 and *C. karu* Pethiyagoda and Manamendra-Arachchi, 1998 are sufficient to warrant them each being placed in their own unique genera, if the relevant species are to be treated in a manner similar to other agamid species in terms of placement within genera. This divergence can be measured either by way of long recognized and significant morphological differences (including as cited by Boulenger 1885 and 1890 and then more recently and relevantly Pethiyagoda and Manamendra-Arachchi, 1998), or alternatively via the results of several molecular studies including that published by Schulte *et al.* (2002) and recently corroborated by Pyron *et al.* (2013), showing divergences in well excess of 10 MYA for each of the newly named genera as identified herein.

Ceratophora Gray 1835, is thus divided in three, with the divergent taxa, *C. aspera* Günther, 1864 (diverged at 13 MYA according to Schulte *et al.* 2002) and *C. karu* Pethiyagoda and Manamendra-Arachchi, 1998 (diverged at 10.8 MYA according to Schulte *et al.* 2002) each placed in a new monotypic genus named for the first time. The remaining *Ceratophora* is split into two obvious subgenera, with each group diverged at about 7.1 MYA (according to Schulte *et al.* 2002).

All are obviously united at the subtribe level of classification and higher.

Relevant literature in terms of the classification of *Ceratophora* Gray 1835 as recognized as of 2013 include the following: Amarasinghe *et al.* (2007, 2009), Bahir and Surasinghe (2005), Bartelt (1996), Bartelt and Janzen (2007), Botejue *et al.* (2012), Boulenger (1885, 1890), de Silva (1994), Dieckmann (2011), Gray (1835), Günther (1864), Janzen (2003), Janzen *et al.* (2007b), Kelaart (1854), Macey *et al.* (2000), Manthey (2011), Manthey and Schuster (1999), Pethiyagoda and Manamendra-Arachchi (1998), Pyron *et al.* (2013), Ride *et al.* (1999), Schulte *et al.* (2002), Simpson (1961), Smith (1935), Somaweera and Somaweera (2009), Taylor (1953) and sources cited therein.

GENUS CERATOPHORA GRAY, 1835.

Type species: *Ceratophora stoddartii* Gray, 1834.

Diagnosis: The genus *Ceratophora* Gray 1835 is diagnosed herein as follows: Tympanum hidden. Body more or less compressed, covered with unequal scales. No dorsal crest; a nuchal crest may be present or absent. No gular sac; no gular fold. A large rostral appendage, at least in the males. No femoral or praeanal pores. The gular scales larger than the ventrals, smooth or feebly keeled; lateral scales are large, equal or unequal; rostral appendage is either scaleless or scaly.

Pethiyagodaus gen. nov. is readily separated from *Ceratophora* (both subgenera) and *Manamendraarachchius gen. nov.* as defined herein by having gular scales smaller than the ventrals, strongly keeled; lateral scales small; rostral appendage scaly. It is separated from all other *Ceratophora sensu lato* by the presence of a visible and palpable squamosal process (absent in all *Ceratophora sensu lato* other species).

Manamendraarachchius gen. nov. (type species being *M. karu* Pethiyagoda and Manamendra-Arachchi, 1998) are separated from all other *Ceratophora sensu lato* (except *C. (Jamesschulteus) tennentii* and *Pethiyagodaus aspera*) by the rostral appendage being complex, comprising more scales than rostral scale alone (vs. rostral appendage restricted to rostral scale alone in *C. erdeleni* and *C. stoddartii*). It is distinguished from *C. (Jamesschulteus) tennentii* by the presence of prominent superciliary scales, versus absent in *C. (Jamesschulteus) tennentii* and from *Pethiyagodaus aspera* by the absence of a palpable squamosal process versus squamosal process present in *P. aspera*.

Distribution: Sri Lanka (Ceylon).

Content: *Ceratophora stoddartii* Gray, 1834 (type species); *C. erdeleni* Pethiyagoda and Manamendra-Arachchi, 1998; *C. tennentii* Günther, 1861.

SUBGENUS JAMESSCHULTEUS SUBGEN. NOV.

Type species: *Ceratophora tennentii* Günther, 1861.

Diagnosis: The subgenus *Jamesschulteus* subgen. nov. is readily separated from the subgenus *Ceratophora* by having gular scales larger than the ventrals, feebly keeled; lateral scales large, equal; rostral appendage scaly, versus gular scales larger than the ventrals, and smooth; lateral scales large and of unequal sizes; rostral appendage scaleless in *Ceratophora*.

Distribution: Sri Lanka (Ceylon). This monotypic subgenus is restricted to the Knuckles range of mountains, separated from the Central Massif by the lowlands (500 m) of the valley of the Mahaweli River.

Etymology: Named in honour of James Schultz II of St. Louis, Missouri, USA in recognition of his recent work on the molecular

biology of the relevant lizards in *Ceratophora sensu lato*.

Content: *Ceratophora (Jamesschulteus) tennentii* Günther, 1861 (monotypic).

SUBGENUS CERATOPHORA GRAY, 1835.

Type species: *Ceratophora stoddartii* Gray, 1834.

Diagnosis: The subgenus *Jamesschulteus subgen. nov.* (the only other subgenus in this genus) is readily separated from the subgenus *Ceratophora* by having gular scales larger than the ventrals, feebly keeled; lateral scales large, equal; rostral appendage scaly, versus gular scales larger than the ventrals, and smooth; lateral scales large and of unequal sizes; rostral appendage scaleless in *Ceratophora*.

Distribution: Sri Lanka (Ceylon).

Content: *Ceratophora (Ceratophora) stoddartii* Gray, 1834 (type species); *C. (Ceratophora) erdeleni* Pethiyagoda and Manamendra-Arachchi, 1998.

GENUS PETHIYAGODAUS GEN. NOV.

Type species: *Ceratophora aspera* Günther, 1864.

Diagnosis: *Pethiyagodaus gen. nov.* is readily separated from *Ceratophora* (both subgenera) and *Manamendraarachchius gen. nov.* as defined herein by having gular scales smaller than the ventrals, strongly keeled; lateral scales small; rostral appendage scaly. It is separated from all other *Ceratophora sensu lato* by the presence of a visible and palpable squamosal process (absent in all *Ceratophora sensu lato* other species).

Pethiyagodaus gen. nov. also differs from *Ceratophora* (both subgenera) and *Manamendraarachchius gen. nov.* as defined herein by lacking a knob or boss at the dorsoanterior corner of the postorbital.

Manamendraarachchius gen. nov. are separated from all other *Ceratophora sensu lato* except (except *C. (Jamesschulteus) tennentii* and *Pethiyagodaus aspera*) by the rostral appendage being complex, comprising more scales than rostral scale alone (vs. rostral appendage restricted to rostral

scale alone in *C. erdeleni* and *C. stoddartii*). It is distinguished from *C. (Jamesschulteus) tennentii*

by the presence of prominent superciliary scales, versus absent in *C. (Jamesschulteus) tennentii* and

from *Pethiyagodaus aspera* by the absence of a palpable squamosal process versus squamosal process present in *P. aspera* the sole representative of that genus.

Pethiyagodaus aspera and *Lyriocephalus scutatus* are the only two species in the subtribe *Pethiyagodaiina subtribe nov.* inhabiting lowland rain forest, the other species in this subtribe being restricted to montane cloud forests.

Distribution: Sri Lanka (Ceylon).

Etymology: Named in honour of Rohan Pethiyagoda of Sri Lanka in recognition of his taxonomic work on these lizards.

Content: *Pethiyagodaus aspera* (Günther, 1864) (monotypic).

GENUS MANAMENDRAARACHCHIUS GEN. NOV.

Type species: *Ceratophora karu* Pethiyagoda and Manamendra-Arachchi, 1988.

Diagnosis: *Manamendraarachchius gen. nov.* are separated from all other *Ceratophora sensu lato* except (except *C. (Jamesschulteus) tennentii* and *Pethiyagodaus aspera*) by the rostral appendage being complex, comprising more scales than rostral scale alone (vs. rostral appendage restricted to rostral scale alone in *C. erdeleni* and *C. stoddartii*). It is distinguished from *C. (Jamesschulteus) tennentii*

by the presence of prominent superciliary scales, versus absent in *C. (Jamesschulteus) tennentii* and

from *Pethiyagodaus aspera* by the absence of a palpable squamosal process versus squamosal process present in *P. aspera*.

Manamendraarachchius karu (monotypic for the genus) also shows an unusual behavioural trait in always holding its head

well above the horizontal, its supraoccipital being recessed to facilitate this.

Further diagnostic information for the monotypic genus is provided by Pethiyagoda and Manamendra-Arachchi (1988), in the original description of its sole species, that which they named as "*Ceratophora karu*".

Distribution: Sri Lanka (Ceylon). The sole species of this genus is known only from a very restricted region including the eastern side of the Sinharaja World Heritage Site at Morningside Forest Reserve, near Rakwana (06°24'N, 80°38'E, alt. 1060 m) and while apparently able to tolerate some habitat modification, must be regarded as a potentially threatened taxon. Due to the relative uniqueness of this taxon (the genus as defined herein is monotypic) and the lack of particularly closely related taxa, the nearest relatives having diverged more than 10 MYA, the species *Manamendraarachchius karu* should be accorded the highest possible conservation value in law.

Etymology: Named in honour of Kelum Manamendra-Arachchi from Sri Lanka in recognition of his taxonomic work on these lizards.

Content: *Manamendraarachchius karu* (Pethiyagoda and Manamendra-Arachchi, 1988).

BRONCHOCOLA KAUP, 1827.

This genus of lizards, formerly treated as part of *Calotes* Daudin, 1802 (e.g. Boulenger 1885), has since 1980 been treated as a single genus.

A detailed review of the genus and component species was conducted by Hallerman (2005c) and need not be repeated here. The 12 currently recognized species within the genus (including that formally described herein) appear to be associated with two main species groups, namely those similar to the type species for the genus *B. cristatella* (Kuhl, 1820) (*terra typica* from Java) and those associated with the taxon *B. jubata* Duméril and Bibron, 1837.

The latter group are both sympatric with the former and also sufficiently different to warrant recognition at the genus level. However in the absence of molecular data for the group, I hereby take a conservative position and herein place them in a new subgenus, formally named for the first time.

Because the genus *Bronchocela* is divided two ways only, there is no need to formally define the nominate subgenus, in that its definition and diagnosis is simply by way of elimination in terms of specimens of the newly named subgenus or a reversal of the new subgenus diagnosis.

The species *Bronchocela cristatella* Kuhl, 1820 has long been regarded as being composite and the species *B. moluccana* (Lesson, 1830) is herein regarded as being a separate species.

This is on the basis of both morphological differences as outlined by Boulenger (1885) and the allopatric distribution separated by a significant geographical barrier.

The form from Halmahera Island, while similar in many respects to the nominate form and *B. moluccana*, is regarded as being sufficiently divergent from them to be classified as a new species on the basis of similar reasons, these being consistent morphological differences and being found in a place separated by a deep water barrier.

This decision is also based on the inspection of live animals and photos of numerous specimens of both the Halmahera form and the nominate one. It is therefore formally described as a new species below.

Relevant literature in terms of the newly diagnosed and defined subgenus *Ferebronchocela subgen. nov.* the remainder of *Bronchocela* and the newly named species below (*Bronchocela harradineus sp. nov.*) include Alcalá (1986), Auliya (2006), Barts and Wilms (2003), Baumann (1913), Berthold (1840), Biswas (1984), Blanford (1878), Bobrov and Semenov (2008), Böhme (2012), Boulenger (1883, 1885, 1890), Brongersma (1948), Brown *et al.* (1970, 1996, 2013), Chan-ard *et al.* (1999), Cox *et*

al. (1998), Das (1993, 1999, 2004, 2013), Das and Gemel (2000), de Rooij (1915), Diong and Lirn (1998), Duméril and Bibron (1837, 1851), Ferner *et al.* (2000), Fitzinger (1861), Flower (1899), Gaulke (1999, 2001, 2011), Gray (1845), Grismer (2011), Grismer *et al.* (2010), Grossmann (2009), Günther (1864, 1873), Hallermann (2004, 2005a, 2005b, 2005c, 2009), Heang (1987), Hendrickson (1966), Iskandar and Erdelen (2006), Koch *et al.* (2009), Kopstein (1938), Kuhl (1820), Lagat (2009), Lim and Ng (1999), Macey *et al.* (2000), Malkmus (2000), Malkmus and Kunkel (1992), Malkmus *et al.* (2002), Manthey (1993), Manthey and Grossmann (1997), Manthey and Schuster (1999), McLeod *et al.* (2011), Mertens (1930), Moody (1980), Müller (1895), Müller (1928), Murthy (1990), Nabhitabhata and Chan-ard (2005), Nabhitabhata *et al.* (2000), Pauwels *et al.* (2000, 2003), Peters (1867), Peters and Doria (1878), Pianka and Vitt (2003), Quah *et al.* (2012), Sang *et al.* (2009), Setiadi and Hamidy (2006), Sharma (2002), Siler and Brown (2010), Siler *et al.* (2011), Simpson (1961), Smedley (1931a), Smith (1935), Stuart *et al.* (2006), Taylor (1963), Teo and Rajathurai (1997), ter Borg (2005, 2007), Tikader and Sharma (1992), Tiwari and Biswas (1993), Venugopal (2010), Wanger *et al.* (2011), Wood *et al.* (2004) and sources cited therein.

SUBGENUS *FEREBRONCHOCELA* SUBGEN. NOV.

Type species: *Bronchocela jubata* Duméril and Bibron, 1837.

Diagnosis: The genus *Bronchocela* is defined and separated from similar agamid genera as follows: No fold in front of the shoulder; lateral scales pointing backwards and downwards; ventral scales larger than latero-dorsals; fourth finger nearly the same length as the fifth toe or rarely longer.

The subgenus *Ferebronchocela* subgen. nov. is separated from the nominate genus by the fact that only the scales of the row at the base of the dorsal crest point upwards, versus all the upper dorsal scales pointing upwards and backwards in *Bronchocela*. The dorsal crest gives the appearance as if it is composed of tiny hairs as opposed to scales (as seen in *Bronchocela*).

The subgenus *Ferebronchocela* subgen. nov. is further diagnosed as follows: Relatively large species of 88.7-135.6 mm SVL, (mean 112.90, n=51) and a long tail (ratio tail svl=344.74, n=35). Tympanum large, more than half diameter of orbit. There are 5-6 scales along canthus rostralis between nasal scale and front border of orbit. Two larger keeled scales on rostral border of tympanum, and a row of compressed scales (3-4) behind hind corner of orbit. Gular sac large, extending under forelegs, covered with large keeled scales. Nuchal crest scales large, falciform and directed backwards, about as large as diameter of orbit or larger in adults. Dorsal crest lower than nuchal crest, continuing back to base of tail. Body scales rather large, strongly keeled in 44-59 midbody scales (mean: 49.21, n=51), the uppermost scale row next to dorsal crest pointing upwards, 1-3 rows directed parallel, others scale row downwards. Ventrals large, strongly keeled. It can be distinguished from other congeners in the nominate subgenus (*Bronchocela*) by the combination of 5-6 scales between nasal and orbit along canthus rostralis, large keeled body scales, with only uppermost scale row pointing upwards, a prominent nuchal and dorsal crest, and a large gular sac in males.

Distribution: South-east Asia.

Etymology: *Fere* being Latin for "not quite" or "nearly", the name reflecting the species being "not quite" *Bronchocela sensu stricto*.

Content: *Bronchocela (Ferebronchocela) jubata* (Duméril and Bibron, 1837) (type species); *B. (Ferebronchocela) orlovi* Hallermann, 2004.

BRONCHOCELA HARRADINEUS SP. NOV.

Holotype: Reptile specimen number 237431 at the United States National Museum (USNM), Washington DC, USA, collected at Kampung Pasir Putih, Jailolo District, Halmahera Island, Indonesia. The USNM is a government controlled facility that allows access to specimens by researchers.

Paratypes: Specimen numbers 237430, 237432, 237433, 237434, 237435, 215789, 215790 and 215791 at the United States National Museum (USNM), Washington, DC, USA, all collected at Kampung Pasir Putih, Jailolo District, Halmahera Island, Indonesia. The USNM is a government controlled facility that allows access to specimens by researchers.

Diagnosis: The species *Bronchocela harradineus* sp. nov. has until now been identified as a variant of the species *Bronchocela cristatella* Kuhl, 1820 or perhaps as *B. moluccana* (Lesson, 1830).

The species *Bronchocela harradineus* sp. nov. shares characteristics of both taxa, but is readily separated from both by the following unique suite of characters: Dorsal colour in healthy living adults is greenish all over and not punctuated by a distinct pattern of flecks or bars (as seen in both *B. cristatella* and *B. moluccana*); there is minimal dark pigment encircling the eye and what is present is black and only within the folds of the eye socket (as opposed to extending beyond this in both *B. cristatella* and *B. moluccana*); the nuchal crest is characterized by distinct yellowish-coloured scales, except for the last two which are usually the same green colour as the upper body (these are usually green in both *B. cristatella* and *B. moluccana*); the front toes are yellowish in colour and the rear ones a reddish-brown; there is a whitish patch at the back of the hind limbs on the lower flanks near the vent; the underside of the tail lacks the distinct line or border on each of the lower sides as seen in typical *B. cristatella* or the large red patches commonly seen on those species tails; the back of the upper lip below the tympanum has a faint flush of cream or yellow; there are usually just two scales between the nasal and the rostral, but in contrast to *B. moluccana* the rear one of the pair is considerably more elongate.

Typical (West Java) *C. cristatellus* has a very small rostral, separated from the nasal by three or four scales (all of similar size), the two first supralabials very small, the nasal being situated above the third labial, and eighty-one to ninety-seven scales round the middle of the body. *C. moluccanus* has the rostral larger, separated from the nasal by one or two scales, the nasal situated above the second labial, and fifty-nine to sixtythree scales.

B. harradineus sp. nov., *B. cristatella* and *B. moluccana*, including the potentially undescribed species currently referred to *B. cristatella* as cited below all share the following diagnostic features that separate them from other *Bronchocela*: Upper head-scales rather small, keeled, slightly enlarged on supraorbital

region; a few more or less distinct, erect, compressed scales behind the supraciliary edge; tympanum half, or more than half, the diameter of the orbit. 2-4 scales between the rostral and nasal. Gular pouch very small; gular scales keeled, smaller than ventrals, distinctly larger than latero-dorsals. No oblique fold in front of the shoulder. Nuchal crest composed of erect compressed spines, the longest never equalling the diameter of the orbit; dorsal crest a mere serrated ridge. 57 to 97 scales round the middle of the body; dorsal scales keeled, much smaller than ventrals, the upper ones directed upwards and backwards, the others downwards and backwards. The adpressed hind limb reaches between the eye and the tip of the snout; third and fourth fingers equal or nearly so, about as long as the fifth toe. Tail round, subtriangular at the base. Green, uniform or with reddish-white markings.

The genus *Bronchocela* is defined and separated from similar agamid genera as follows: No fold in front of the shoulder; lateral scales pointing backwards and downwards; ventral scales larger than latero-dorsals; fourth finger nearly the same length as the fifth toe or rarely longer.

The subgenus *Ferebronchocela* subgen. nov. (referred to by many as the "jubata group") is separated from the nominate subgenus (including *B. harradineus* sp. nov., *B. cristatella* and *B. moluccana*) by the fact that only the scales of the row at the

base of the dorsal crest point upwards, versus all the upper dorsal scales pointing upwards and backwards in *Bronchocelela*. The dorsal crest gives the appearance as if it is composed of tiny hairs as opposed to scales (as seen in *Bronchocelela*).

Comment: It appears that the specimens of *B. cristatella* Kuhl, 1820 in East Java and Bali may be a different species to those from West Java (herein treated as *terra typica*), due to observed consistent morphological differences. The specimens from West Java are similar in many respects to those of Peninsula Malaysia, Sumatra and parts of Borneo, although there appears to be significant local variation on Borneo, implying more than one species or subspecies present there. Specimens of *B. cristatella* from Timor and outliers (identified as *B. moluccana* by Boulenger, 1883) are also likely to be a distinct species.

Distribution: Halmahera and Morotai Islands, Indonesia.

Etymology: Named in honour of the recently late Richard William Brian Harradine (9 January 1935-14 April 2014), who served a long and distinguished political career in Australia, most notably in the Australian senate and as an independent senator, holding the balance of power at the time when the Howard Liberal Government sought to introduce the toxic "Goods and Services" tax (or GST).

This was an added regressive form of income tax on the population, over and above that which already existed, but being taxed on consumption instead of earnings, to be used to fund an ever-growing parasitic public service bureaucracy that time controlled by the long-disgraced Howard Liberal government.

In spite of Harradine's action, taken on behalf of the majority of the Australian public, the two major parties later ganged up on the independents to force the tax through the legislature and both major parties (Liberal and Labor, who in effect act as a coalition while masquerading otherwise) ensured its perpetuation to the present time (2013). While the government claimed the GST was only 10% of the cost of goods and services, the means of compliance was so onerous that for many small businesses the real cost of the tax was about 25% and in effect made businesses of Australia tax-collectors for the bloated public service. This in turn made Australia a prohibitively expensive place to do business.

A decade after the introduction of the toxic GST tax, it has been shown to be an abject failure with manufacturing in Australia effectively destroyed due to the fact that the cost of doing business in Australia is now too high for most relevant companies and former companies. Transnationals have shifted operations to other lower tax countries with smaller government bureaucracies.

This includes all the transnational car manufacturers in Australia (including Chrysler, Toyota, Ford and Holden) who have either deserted Australia or have announced a timetable to do so.

At 3:07 pm on Friday 14th May 1999, Senator Brian Harradine rose to address the Australian Senate.

He made a speech that should be recorded for posterity as history has proved his view at the time and his statements to be correct.

The most relevant part of the speech was as follows:

"The question now in my mind is whether it is inherently regressive to such an extent that it should not be supported. The GST burdens the poor and those with the least capacity to pay. It discriminates against the poor and the pensioners who are living a hand-to-mouth existence and spending the bulk of their income on the necessities of life: food, clothing, rent, heating, power, bus fares and so on.

I have always been conscious of the fact that the true test of a civilised society is how it regards and treats its most vulnerable. But I do not claim here a monopoly on moral judgments in respect of this. I do not criticise the government, and I do not reflect upon the government or on any of its members. I just happen to believe that the inherently regressive nature of the

GST does not achieve that test.

The regressive nature of the goods and services tax is why compensation is invariably needed to secure its passage wherever it is introduced throughout the world. The government's genuine attempt to compensate and to lock in that compensation is something to be commended, but it cannot be guaranteed.

But one thing can be guaranteed, and that is that the goods and services tax, once enshrined in legislation, will never be removed. Decisions we make now on this issue are not for the next three years; we are making decisions here that will affect generations.

The question that I have to ask myself is whether I am going to be a party to imposing an impersonal, indiscriminate tax on my children, my grandchildren and their children for generations to come.

I cannot."

PHOXOPHRYS HUBRECHT, 1881.

This genus was described along with the first species placed within it, namely *Phoxophrys tuberculata* Hubrecht, 1881. Since then five other morphologically similar species have been placed in the same genus, although one of them, *Phoxophrys grahami* Stejneger, 1924 was moved by Inger (1960) to *Japalura* and is herein placed within *Maxhoseragama* *gen. nov.* (see earlier in this paper).

The type species of the genus *Phoxophrys* as currently recognized comes from West Sumatra, while the other four species are all known from hillier parts of mainly northern Borneo.

Morphologically, both groups of species are very divergent from one another and almost certainly enough to warrant being placed in separate genera.

The same applies for one of the Borneo species, namely the radically different and divergent *Phoxophrys spiniceps* Smith, 1925 in terms of it and the other species from the same island.

Therefore I herein conservatively define the three groups as subgenera. The Sumatran taxon is monotypic for the nominate subgenus. *Pelturagonia* is available for *Phoxophrys cephalum* (Mocquard, 1890) and those species most closely related to it.

As there is no genus level name available for *Phoxophrys spiniceps* Smith, 1925, a new name is designated according to the Zoological Code (Ride *et al.* 1999).

While in the recent past a number of authors have defined *Phoxophrys* in terms of the relevant five species, no one has ever defined subgenera or until now seen a need to do so. *Pelturagonia* Mocquard, 1890 was synonymised with *Phoxophrys* by later authors, with the name *Pelturagonia* effectively unused since it was first proposed.

As there has never been a definition or diagnosis of *Pelturagonia* as a distinct genus or subgenus from *Phoxophrys*, it is important that I provide one herein for the first time.

The obvious morphological differences between species in the three subgenera as defined herein are significant and I believe they are highly likely of sufficient degree to warrant full genus-level division.

However in the absence of robust molecular data for the five species I take the most conservative position and define the three groups as subgenera only.

Relevant papers in terms of the taxonomy and nomenclature of the genus *Phoxophrys sensu lato* as defined herein include the following Ananjeva *et al.* (2011a), Auliya (2006), Barts and Wilms (2003), Boulenger (1885, 1891c, 1920), Das (2004, 2006), Das and Yaakob (2007), Denzer (1996), de Rooij (1915), Hallermann (2005a, 2005b), Hubrecht (1881), Inger (1960), Malkmus *et al.* (2002), Manthey (1983), Manthey and Grossmann (1997), Manthey and Schuster (1999), Manthey *et al.* (2012), Mocquard (1890), Peters (1864), Pope (1935), Smith (1925), Stejneger (1924) and sources cited therein.

GENUS PHOXOPHRYS HUBRECHT, 1881.

Type species: *Phoxophrys tuberculata* Hubrecht, 1881.

Diagnosis: *Phoxophrys* is readily separated from other Draconinae subgenera by the following suite of characters: The presence of a few large tubercular scales laterally (versus simply unicarinate scales in *Japalura*); the supraciliaries are juxtaposed or very slightly imbricate (noting that in *Japalura* species each supraciliary overlaps at least a third of its successor; the head is short and deep, versus long and flat in *Japalura*).

All species of *Japalura* have hair-like sense organs on the cephalic scales, whereas these are absent in *Phoxophrys*. The rostral scale in *Japalura* is 3 or 4 times as wide as high and occupies the entire end of the snout whereas by contrast in *Phoxophrys* the rostral, if distinguishable at all is at most twice as wide as high and occupies only the center at the end of the snout. The tail of the male of *Phoxophrys* is markedly swollen at the basal end. It is also flattened above and furnished with dorsolateral keels formed by enlarged angular scales. In male *Japalura* the tail is compressed, oval in cross section and not flattened above; usually a low mid-dorsal crest is formed by a median row of enlarged keeled scales.

Some *Maxhosseragama gen. nov.* (species formerly placed within *Japalura*) deviate from this pattern somewhat in having the tail flattened above, proximally at the base and slightly swollen. However in head scalation, these species are essentially the same as other *Japalura*.

The lateral superficial position of the extra columella of *Phoxophrys* is narrow (length being 5 times width) and curved, as opposed to wider (3-4 times width) and straight in *Japalura*, *Maxhosseragama gen. nov.* and *Diploderma*.

Distribution: West Sumatra (nominat subgenus); Borneo (Kalimantan) for both other subgenera.

Content: *Phoxophrys tuberculata* Hubrecht, 1881 (type species); *P. borneensis* Inger, 1960; *P. cephalum* (Mocquard, 1890); *P. nigrilabris* (Peters, 1864); *P. spiniceps* Smith, 1925.

SUBGENUS PHOXOPHRYS HUBRECHT, 1881.

Type species: *Phoxophrys tuberculata* Hubrecht, 1881.

Diagnosis: *Phoxophrys* as a subgenus is readily separated from the other subgenera by the fact that specimens of both sexes lack a nuchal crest. This was originally regarded as a diagnostic trait for the genus, however only the type species, this being that of the monotypic subgenus in fact has this condition. Specimens in both other subgenera have a nuchal crest.

Specimens in the subgenus *Phoxophrys* also lack a single scaled supraciliary spine.

The subgenus is further defined and diagnosed by the following suite of characters: the nasal contacts the first and second supralabials; there are two continuous rows of infraorbitals; supraciliary scales raised into a crest, but not in the form of a one scale supraciliary spine; several strongly compressed supraoculars; gular scales sharply keeled, mucronate; nuchal crest absent; vertebral scale row posteriorly lacks continuous series of enlarged scales; lateral caudal scales keeled; four rows of keeled subcaudals near base.

Distribution: West Sumatra only.

Content: *Phoxophrys (Phoxophrys) tuberculata* Hubrecht, 1881 (monotypic).

SUBGENUS PELTURAGONIA MOCQUARD, 1890.

Type species: *Pelturagonia cephalum* Mocquard, 1890.

Diagnosis: *Pelturagonia* are readily separated from both other subgenera by the presence of a nuchal crest in males and females and an absence of a supraciliary spine.

Distribution: The northern part of Borneo (Kalimantan).

Content: *Phoxophrys (Pelturagonia) cephalum* (Mocquard, 1890) (type species); *P. (Pelturagonia) borneensis* Inger, 1960; *P. (Pelturagonia) nigrilabris* (Peters, 1864).

SUBGENUS OLORENSHAWAGAMA SUBGEN. NOV.

Type species: *Phoxophrys spiniceps* Smith, 1925.

Diagnosis: *Olorenshawagama subgen. nov.* are readily separated from all species within the other two subgenera within *Phoxophrys* by the possession of a supraciliary spine and nuchal crest.

The subgenus is further diagnosed by the following unique suite of characters: the nasal contacts the second supralabial; there is a single continuous row of infraorbitals; a distinctive supraciliary spine is formed by a scale about three quarters the diameter of the eye; gular scales are keeled; nuchal crest with three spinose scales separated by two-six small, keeled scales; vertebral scale row posteriorly with widely separated, enlarged scales; lateral caudal scales keeled; two rows of keeled subcaudals near base (anterior end) of the tail.

Distribution: Relatively high altitude forested areas of northern Borneo.

Etymology: Named in honour of Dr Guy Olorenshaw of Vision Eye Institute in St Kilda Road, Melbourne, Victoria, Australia for his excellent work in rectifying defective eyesight in many thousands of Australians.

His patients have included my wife and myself, both of whom had bad and deteriorating eye-sight corrected by his excellent surgical skills. Without his surgery a large part of our ongoing herpetological research and wildlife conservation work in the post 2000 period would not have been possible.

Content: *Phoxophrys (Olorenshawagama) spiniceps* Smith, 1925 (monotypic).

APHANIOTIS PETERS, 1864.

This genus of south-east Asian agamids consists of three similar species and is closely associated with the genus *Coryphophylax* Fitzinger, 1869, itself consisting just two species.

Of the three species within *Aphaniotis* Peters, 1864, one is clearly divergent from the other two and it is therefore placed in its own subgenus formally named and defined for the first time.

For the genus *Aphaniotis* Peters, 1864 and the closely allied genus *Coryphophylax* Fitzinger, 1869 key references include Ananjeva *et al.* (2011a), Barts and Wilms (2003), Blyth (1860), Boulenger (1885, 1890, 1891a), Chan-ard *et al.* (1999), Cox *et al.* (1998), Daan and Hillenius (1966), Das (2004), Das and Yaakob (2007), de Jong (1930), de Rooij (1915), Grandison (1972), Grismer (2011), Grismer *et al.* (2006, 2010), Hallermann (2005a, 2005b), Hallermann and McGuire (2001), Hallermann *et al.* (2010), Harikrishnan *et al.* (2012), Heang (1987), Hendrickson (1996), Lidth de Juede (1893), Lim and Ng (1999), Macey *et al.* (2000), Malkmus (1994), Malkmus *et al.* (2002), Manthey (1983), Manthey and Grossmann (1997), Manthey and Schuster (1999), Modigliani (1889), Ota and Hikida (2000), Peters (1864), Smedley (1931a), Smith (1935), Stoliczka (1873b), Sworder (1933), Taylor (1963), Teo and Rajathurai (1997), Venugopal (2010), Werner (1900), Wood *et al.* (2004),

GENUS APHANIOTIS PETERS 1864.

Type species: *Otocryptis (Aphaniotis) fusca* Peters, 1864.

Diagnosis: The genus *Aphaniotis* Peters, 1864 is defined and separated from all other Draconinae by the following unique suite of characters: Body compressed, limbs very long and slender. Fifth toe much

longer than first. All the scales keeled; the dorsals heterogeneous. A dorsal crest. Probably a gular pouch in the male. No gular fold. Ear concealed. No praeanal or femoral pores.

Distribution: South-east Asia.

Content: *Aphaniotis fusca* (Peters, 1864) (type species); *A. acutirostris* Modigliani, 1889; *A. ornata* (Lidth de Juede, 1893).

SUBGENUS PROBOSCISAGAMA SUBGEN. NOV.

Type species: *Japalura ornata* Lidth de Juede, 1893.

Currently known as *Aphaniotis ornata* (Lidth de Juede, 1893).

Diagnosis: The subgenus *Proboscisagama* subgen. nov. is monotypic and readily separated from the other two species (of the nominate subgenus) by the fact that specimens have a distinct protrusion on the snout. This is absent on the other species in the genus.

In males this protrusion is conical and in females it is flat. The lizard's body colour is predominantly medium brown, with pale brown mottling, and the head is brown or olive green. The hind legs may also vary from brown to dark olive brown. Some specimens may possess vague, dark diagonal eye stripes. Otherwise the genus diagnosis applies to this subgenus.

The genus *Aphaniotis* Peters, 1864 is defined and separated from all other Draconinae by the following unique suite of characters: Body compressed, limbs very long and slender. Fifth toe much

longer than first. All the scales keeled; the dorsals heterogeneous. A dorsal crest. No gular fold. Ear concealed. No praeanal or femoral pores.

There is probably a gular pouch in the male.

Distribution: Northern Borneo only.

Etymology: Named in reflection of the protrusion on the snout and the family the lizard is placed within.

Content: *Aphaniotis (Proboscisagama) ornata* (Lidth de Juede, 1893) (monotypic).

PTYCTOLAEMUS PETERS, 1864.

The genus *Ptyctolaemus* Peters, 1864 as currently recognized consists just two species from southern Asia. However both species have significant morphological and genetic divergence from one another, so it is a "no-brainer" in terms of the need to split the genus in terms of recognition of the species.

While other genera have been divided on the basis of equivalent differences between species, I am loathe to create two monotypic genera for two species that are clearly closely related and until now have been placed in the same genus. Therefore I herein describe a new subgenus to accommodate the currently unnamed (at the genus-level) lineage.

For the genus *Ptyctolaemus* Peters, 1864 key references include Ahsan *et al.* (2008), Ananjeva and Boulenger (1885, 1890), Huang (1980), Islam and Saikia (2013), Lenz (2012), Mahony *et al.* (2009), Stuart (2001), Moody (1980), Peters (1864), Pyron *et al.* (2013), Schulte *et al.* (2004), Shreve (1940), Smith (1935, 1940), Venugopal (2010), Wall (1908a), Zhao and Adler (1993) and sources cited therein.

GENUS PTYCTOLAEMUS PETERS, 1864.

Type species: *Otocryptis (Ptyctolaemus) gularis* Peters, 1864.

Diagnosis: The most obvious character that distinguishes the genus *Ptyctolaemus* from other genera of the subfamily Draconinae (*sensu* Macey *et al.* 2000) or Group V agamids (*sensu* Moody 1980) of mainland southeast Asia is that the male *Ptyctolaemus* have longitudinal gular folds on either side of the midline with the posterior portion of the folds curving medially on each side of the throat. However, these folds are only evident when the gular pouch is in a relaxed position (as seen in preserved specimens). The folds are formed when the gular pouch is relaxed in an accordion-like fashion, the folds become more pronounced because the scales within the folds are darkly pigmented.

The only other southeast Asian genus with gular folds is *Mantheyus* Ananjeva and Stuart, 2001; however it has rounded "U"-shaped folds encompassing the gular sac. *Mantheyus*, monotypic for *M. phuwuanensis* also differs from *Ptyctolaemus* and all other draconines by the presence of femoral pores (Ananjeva and Stuart 2001).

The species *Ptyctolaemus collicristatus* Schulte and Vindum, 2004 (subgenus *Mindatagama* subgen. nov.) can be distinguished from *P. gularis* (subgenus *Ptyctolaemus*) by having a more prominent nuchal crest comprised of larger, flattened,

triangular, scales, consisting of fewer scales in adult males (15-16 versus 17-30 scales); a shorter tail, with an average Tail length:SVL ratio of 1.99 versus 2.24; stouter and shorter limbs; and more heterogeneity among dorsal and lateral scales.

Distribution: Southern Asia, including: far North-east India, Bangladesh, Burma and nearby parts of south-west China.

Content: *Ptyctolaemus gularis* (Peters, 1864) (type species); *P. collicristatus* Schulte and Vindum, 2004.

SUBGENUS MINDATAGAMA SUBGEN. NOV.

Type species: *Ptyctolaemus collicristatus* Schulte and Vindum, 2004.

Diagnosis: The species *Ptyctolaemus collicristatus* Schulte and Vindum, 2004 (subgenus *Mindatagama* subgen. nov.) can be distinguished from *P. gularis* (subgenus *Ptyctolaemus*) by having a more prominent nuchal crest comprised of larger, flattened, triangular, scales, consisting of fewer scales in adult males (15-16 versus 17-30 scales); a shorter tail, with an average Tail length:SVL ratio of 1.99 versus 2.24; stouter and shorter limbs; and more heterogeneity among dorsal and lateral scales.

The most obvious character that distinguishes the genus *Ptyctolaemus* from other genera of the subfamily Draconinae (*sensu* Macey *et al.* 2000) or Group V agamids (*sensu* Moody 1980) of mainland southeast Asia is that the male *Ptyctolaemus* have longitudinal gular folds on either side of the midline with the posterior portion of the folds curving medially on each side of the throat. However, these folds are only evident when the gular pouch is in a relaxed position (as seen in preserved specimens). The folds are formed when the gular pouch is relaxed in an accordion-like fashion, the folds become more pronounced because the scales within the folds are darkly pigmented.

The only other southeast Asian genus with gular folds is *Mantheyus* Ananjeva and Stuart, 2001; however it has rounded "U"-shaped folds encompassing the gular sac. *Mantheyus*, monotypic for *M. phuwuanensis* also differs from *Ptyctolaemus* and all other draconines by the presence of femoral pores (Ananjeva and Stuart 2001).

Distribution: Chin Chin Hills, Min Dat District, Burma (Myanmar).

Etymology: Named in reference to the type locality for the type species and also the family of lizards from which it is within.

Content: *Ptyctolaemus (Mindatagama) collicristatus* Schulte and Vindum, 2004.

SALEA GRAY, 1845.

The genus *Salea* Gray, 1845 as currently recognized includes three recognized species.

These are *Salea horsfieldii* Gray, 1845 (the type species); *S. anamallayana* (Beddome, 1878) and *S. gularis* Blyth, 1854. The last of these is of uncertain status and included herein only tentatively, also being placed provisionally in association with the species *Salea horsfieldii* Gray, 1845 in terms of generic and subgeneric placement, noting that this is only done 1/ In the absence of contrary evidence and 2/ Noting it does not otherwise affect the taxonomy and nomenclature within this paper.

Of the remaining two species, both are very divergent morphologically and noting the conservatism of morphology of the subfamily Draconinae my immediate inclination would be to place each in a monotypic genus.

Mitigating against this is the general aversion to the creation of monotypic genera for species that share obvious affinities as well as the absence of comparative and calibrated molecular data for both taxa.

Therefore in order to accord proper recognition of the divergence of the forms and to maintain nomenclatural stability, I treat both species as being within the same genus and of different subgenera.

Salea Gray, 1845 is attached to the type species *Salea*

horsfieldii Gray, 1845.

The other taxon *S. anamallayana* (Beddome, 1878) was originally described as *Lophosalea anamallayana*, with the genus name being available for the subgenus containing this species.

As neither the genus *Salea* Gray, 1845 or the subgenus *Lophosalea* Beddome, 1878 being properly defined to date in terms of the Agamidae or the Draconinae, this is done herein for the first time.

GENUS SALEA GRAY, 1845.

Type species: *Salea horsfieldii* Gray, 1845.

Diagnosis: The genus *Salea* is defined and separated from all other agamidae as follows: Mouth large; teeth erect in both jaws. Incisors small and conical. No praeanal or femoral pores (as opposed to the callous pore-like swelling of the preanal scales of the males in the genera *Agama* Daudin, 1802, *Uromastix* Merrem, 1820 and *Xenagama* Boulenger, 1895); five toes; no fold across the throat; dorsal scales large, unequal; males with a gular pouch; tympanum distinct. Body compressed, covered with unequal sized imbricate keeled scales. Male with a dorso-nuchal crest and a gular sac. No transverse gular fold. Tail compressed.

The subgenus *Salea* Gray, 1845 is further defined and separated from *Lophosalea* Beddome, 1878 as follows: Snout not more than once and a half as long as the diameter of the orbit, which equals about twice that of the tympanum; upper head-scales large, rugose, with a more or less marked curved series of regular ones bordering the supraorbital region internally; a row of three or four enlarged scales from the eye to above the tympanum. Gular scales lanceolate, keeled, ending in a spine as large as or a

little larger than the ventrals. No fold in front of the shoulder. Nuchal crest, in the male composed of a few lanceolate spines directed backwards, the longest measuring nearly the length of the snout, with smaller ones at the base; in the female this crest is reduced to a double row of alternate oblique short spines; dorsal crest not continuous with nuchal, composed of similar slightly shorter lanceolate spines in the male, absent in the female. Dorsal scales large, rhomboidal, strongly keeled, pointing straight backwards; they are nearly always of unequal size, larger ones being scattered on the sides; ventral scales very strongly imbricate, strongly keeled and ending in a spine, nearly as large as the dorsals.

Limbs moderately elongate, the adpressed hind limb reaching between the shoulder and the tympanum. Tail compressed, and with a small upper crest in the male, scarcely compressed, and without a crest in the female; caudal scales subequal, strongly keeled. Pale olive above, with irregular dark-brown cross bands, which may be broken up by a band of the light-brown colour running along each side of the back; the enlarged scales on the sides frequently white; a blackish band, edged below with white, extends from the eye to the fore limb, passing through the tympanum; tail usually with regular dark-brown annuli (modified from Boulenger 1885).

The subgenus *Lophosalea* is defined immediately below.

Distribution: Southern India.

Content: *Salea* (*Salea*) *horsfieldii* Gray, 1845 (type species); *S. gularis* Blyth, 1854 (added herein tentatively).

SUBGENUS LOPHOSALEA BEDDOME, 1878.

Type species: *Lophosalea anamallayana* Beddome, 1878.

Diagnosis: The subgenus *Lophosalea* is defined and separated from the subgenus *Salea* by the following unique suite of characters: Snout long, measuring nearly twice the diameter of the orbit (as opposed to being not more than once and a half as long as the diameter of the orbit in the subgenus *Salea*); tympanum once and two thirds or once and three fifths the diameter of the orbit; upper head-scales rather large, feebly keeled, with a regular curved series of enlarged scales bordering the supraorbital region internally; an enlarged tubercle behind

the supraciliary edge and a few others scattered on the back of the head; a row of three or four enlarged scales from the eye to above the tympanum. Gular scales are a little larger than ventrals, smooth or keeled.

A well marked curved fold on each side of the neck, in front of the shoulder. Dorso-nuchal crest continuous, composed of large lanceolate spines. Dorsal scales of unequal size, their arrangement varying considerably, strongly keeled, the upper ones pointing upwards and backwards, the others straight backwards or backwards and downwards; ventral scales very strongly imbricate, strongly keeled, and ending in a spine. The adpressed hind limb reaches the neck.

Tail strongly compressed, in its anterior half with an upper crest nearly as much developed as the dorsal; caudal scales rather unequal in size, keeled. Pale olive above, with four broad angular dark-brown cross bands on the back, separated by narrow interspaces; head to the lip dark brown, with small light spots; limbs and tail with more or less regular dark-brown cross bars.

Distribution: Southern India.

Content: *Salea* (*Lophosalea*) *anamallayana* Beddome, 1878 (monotypic).

DRACO LINNAEUS, 1758.

The genus *Draco* Linnaeus, 1758, better known as the flying lizards, has been universally recognized as a distinct assemblage of species by herpetologists ever since Linnaeus first created the genus.

Morphologically all are similar in form as well as similar in habits. As a result most publishing authors have treated all species as being within the same genus (Musters 1983).

However recent phylogenetic studies published including McGuire and Heang (2001) and the more recent composite study of Pyron *et al.* (2013) have shown that it is clearly appropriate to taxonomically recognize subgroups within *Draco sensu lato*.

The usual mass-namers of the 1800's coined names for various species or groups as new genera, but with little if any scientific basis to do so and so most were quickly relegated to the synonymy of *Draco* by later workers.

This is of course the correct way to deal with taxonomic and nomenclatural vandalism and remain within the zoological code (Ride *et al.* 1999, Hoser, 2013).

One of the most prolific namers of reptile genera of the time, the 1800's, John Edward Gray even created a junior synonym for a group that already had an established name. This was *Dracocella*, Gray 1845, type species, *Draco dussumieri* Duméril and Bibron, 1837, a junior synonym of *Pterosaur* Fitzinger, 1843.

Another prolific namer, Leopold Joseph Franz Johann Fitzinger coined the name *Pleuropterus* Fitzinger, 1843 with the type species *Draco haematopogon* Gray, 1831. However this is a genus name pre-occupied by a mammal genus *Pleuropterus* Burnett, 1829 and for that matter the insect genus *Pleuropterus* Westwood 1841 and is therefore unavailable.

Notwithstanding this the names proposed by these 1800's authors that are for otherwise unoccupied taxa and themselves not homonyms are available under the zoological code, provided they are at any stage found to match an otherwise unnamed and defined genus-level group.

Unlike the taxonomic and nomenclatural vandals in the group Wüster *et al.*, best defined in terms of their *modus operandi* in the publication known as Kaiser (2012a, 2012b), Kaiser *et al.* (2013), and Wüster *et al.* (2014a, 2014b) I do not misrepresent the Zoological Rules (Ride *et al.* 1999) to attempt to overwrite the overwriting of properly established names with names of my own coining for the purpose of self aggrandisement.

Quite properly and ethically, I resurrect old names coined by

earlier authors, regardless of the merit of their previous works or the scientific basis underpinning their taxonomic and nomenclatural decisions of the time.

This means that in terms of *Draco* as widely known to date, the following names are available should the genus need to be split and provided they actually match relevant species groups.

Draco Linnaeus, 1758 (Type species: *Draco volans* Linnaeus, 1758);

Dracontoides Fitzinger, 1843 (Type species: *Draco lineatus* Daudin, 1802);

Rhacodracon Fitzinger, 1843 (Type species: *Draco fimbriatus* Kuhl, 1820).

Pterosaurus Fitzinger, 1843 (Type species: *Draco dussumieri* Duméril and Bibron, 1837).

Relying on a number of recently published molecular phylogenies, including McGuire and Heang (2001) and the composite of Pyron *et al.* (2013), it is clear that the 45 currently recognized species of *Draco* can be split into more than one distinct clade dating in excess of 8 MYA. I also note here that recent studies also indicate the present number of recognized species is well short of the actual number.

However the divergence time for the entire genus as presently recognized is clearly recent being estimated at well under 15 MYA and 10 MYA or less for all but two small species groups. Therefore in spite of the size of the genus (number of species), it is probably not appropriate that the clades be given full genus recognition.

Taking a conservative position, the nine obvious clades dated in excess of 8 MYA are each accorded subgenus status. I note however that were these lizards mammals, there would be no hesitation in placing each defined group in a separate full genus. The four available names as given above can each be used as the appropriate name for each relevant clade.

The remaining five clades are at present unnamed according to the Zoological Code (Ride *et al.* 1999) and are therefore defined and named for the first time herein.

The four subgenera which in combination account for about half the recognized species in the genus *Draco*, and have available names are as follows: *Draco* Linnaeus, 1758; *Dracontoides* Fitzinger, 1843; *Rhacodracon* Fitzinger, 1843 and *Pterosaurus* Fitzinger, 1843.

These are also properly defined herein for the first time and within the context of the entire genus *Draco* as presently recognized and with respect to all recognized species.

The subgenera for which names are available already are defined after the descriptions of the five new subgenera.

As it happens, the diagnostic species key for the genus *Draco* as defined by Boulenger (1885) also readily separates all the subgenera as defined herein, with the exception of the subgenera *Macguiredracon subgen. nov.* and *Engannodracon subgen. nov.*, both of which would key out in that document as being "*Draco lineatus*". McGuire *et al.* (2007) provide diagnostic information that separates the three relevant subgenera *Pterosaurus* Fitzinger, 1843, *Macguiredracon subgen. nov.* and *Engannodracon subgen. nov.*

Both these documents are available for free download on the internet as of end 2013.

Key references relevant to the taxonomy proposed herein for *Draco* include the following; Alcock (1895), Ananjeva *et al.* (2011a), Andersson (1900), Auffenberg (1980), Auliya (2006), Barbour (1909), Bartlett (1895), Barts and Wilms (2003), Beukema (2011), Blanford (1878), Bobrov (1995), Bobrov and Semenov (2008), Boettger (1893a, 1893b), Boistel *et al.* (2011), Boulenger (1885, 1887a, 1887c, 1890, 1891a, 1891b, 1893, 1897, 1900a, 1900b, 1908), Brygoo (1988), Burden (1927), Cantor (1847), Capocaccia (1961), Chan-ard *et al.* (1999), Colbert (1967), Cox *et al.* (1998), Daan and Hillenius (1966), Das (2004), Das and Lim (2001), Daudin (1802), De Jong

(1926), Denzer and Manthey (1991), De Queiroz (1998), De Rooij (1915), Despax (1912), de Witte (1933), Diong and Soon (1999), Duméril *et al.* (1837), Evans *et al.* (2003), Ferner *et al.* (2000), Fitzinger (1843), Flower (1896), Gaulke (2011), Goin *et al.* (1978), Grandison (1972), Gray (1835, 1845), Grismer (2008, 2011), Grismer *et al.* (2007, 2008, 2010), Grossmann and Tillack (2004a), Günther (1861a, 1864, 1872a), Hairston (1957), Hallermann (1998, 2005a, 2005b), Hardwicke and Gray (1827), Hartmann (2012), Heang (1987), Hecht *et al.* (2013), Hendrickson (1966), Hennig (1936), Honda *et al.* (1999, 2000), Inger (1983), Islam and Saikia (2013), Iskandar and Erdelen (2006), Iskandar and Tjan (1996), Jong (1926), Koch (2011), Koch *et al.* (2007), Kopstein (1927, 1938), Kuhl (1820), Lazell (1982, 1987, 1992), Lenz (2012), Lesson (1834), Leviton *et al.* (1985), Lim and Ng (1999), Linnaeus (1758), Macey *et al.* (2000), Mägdefrau (1991), Mahony *et al.* (2009), Malkmus (2000), Malkmus *et al.* (2002), Manthey (1983, 2008), Manthey and Grossmann (1997), Manthey and Schuster (1999), McGuire and Alcalá (2000), McGuire and Dudley (2011), McGuire and Heang (2001), McGuire and Kiew (2001), McGuire *et al.* (2007), McLeod *et al.* (2011), Mertens (1930), Mocquard (1890), Mori and Hikida (1993), Murthy (1990, 2010), Musters (1983), Neang *et al.* (2010), Onn *et al.* (2009), Pauwels *et al.* (2000, 2003), Peters (1867), Peters and Doria (1878), Pyron *et al.* (2013), Quah *et al.* (2011), Ride *et al.* (1999), Ross and Lazell (1991), Sang *et al.* (2009), Sathiamurthy and Voris (2006), Schlegel (1837), Shaw and Nodder (1790), Shine (1998), Simpson (1961), Smedley (1931a), Smith (1993), Smith (1946), Smith (1927, 1928, 1935, 1937b), Smith and Kloss (1915a, 1915b), Smith and Procter (1921), Stejneger (1908), Stone (1913), Stoliczka (1873a), Stuart and Emmett (2006), Taylor (1922, 1934, 1963), Teo and Rajathurai (1997), Treitschke (1839), van Rooijen and van Rooijen (2002), Venugopal (2010), Vinciguerra (1892), Waltner (1975), Wanger *et al.* (2011), Weber (1890), Wermuth (1967), Werner (1910), Wiegmann (1834a, 1834b), Wood *et al.* (2004), Zhao and Adler (1993), Ziegler (2002) and sources cited therein.

GENUS *DRACO* LINNAEUS, 1758.

Type species: *Draco volans* Linnaeus, 1758.

Diagnosis: The species within the genus *Draco* are readily distinguished from all other lizards by the fact that the body is depressed and with a large lateral wing-like membrane, supported by the much-expanded five or six posterior ribs, folding like a fan. A gular appendage, and a lateral smaller one on each side. Tympanum distinct or covered with scales. Tail long. No femoral or preanal pores.

Distribution: Wetter parts of southern and south-east Asia.

Content: *Draco volans* Linnaeus, 1758 (type species); *D. abbreviatus* Hardwicke and Gray, 1827; *D. affinis* Bartlett, 1895; *D. beccarii* Peters and Doria, 1878; *D. biaro* Lazell, 1987; *D. bimaculatus* Günther, 1864; *D. blanfordii* Blanford, 1878; *D. boschmai* Hennig, 1936; *D. bourouniensis* Lesson, 1834; *D. caerulhiens* Lazell, 1992; *D. cornutus* Günther, 1864; *D. cristatellus* Günther, 1872; *D. cyanopterus* Peters, 1867; *D. divergens* Taylor, 1934; *D. dussumieri* Duméril and Bibron, 1837; *D. fimbriatus* Kuhl, 1820; *D. formosus* Boulenger, 1900; *D. guentheri* Boulenger, 1885; *D. haematopogon* Gray, 1831; *D. haesei* Boettger, 1893; *D. indochinensis* Smith, 1928; *D. iskandari* McGuire, Brown, Mumpini, Riyanto and Andayani, 2007; *D. jareckii* Lazell, 1992; *D. lineatus* Daudin, 1802; *D. maculatus* (Gray, 1845); *D. maximus* Boulenger, 1893; *D. melanopogon* Boulenger, 1887; *D. mindanensis* Stejneger, 1908; *D. modiglianii* Vinciguerra, 1892; *D. norvillii* Alcock, 1895; *D. obscurus* Boulenger, 1887; *D. ornatus* (Gray, 1845); *D. palawanensis* McGuire and Alcalá, 2000; *D. quadrasi* Boettger, 1893; *D. quinquefasciatus* Hardwicke and Gray, 1827; *D. reticulatus* Günther, 1864; *D. rhytisma* Musters, 1983; *D. spilonotus* Günther, 1872; *D. spilopterus* (Wiegmann, 1834); *D. sumatranus* Schlegel, 1844; *D. supriatnai* McGuire, Brown, Mumpini, Riyanto and Andayani, 2007; *D. taeniopterus* Günther,

1861; *D. timorensis* Kuhl, 1820; *D. walkeri* Boulenger, 1891; *D. whiteheadi* Boulenger, 1900.

SUBGENUS *MACGUIREDRACO* SUBGEN. NOV.

Type species: *Draco bourouniensis* Lesson, 1834.

Diagnosis: *Macguiredraco* subgen. nov. is comprised of nine Wallacean species (*bourouniensis*, *beccarii*, *biaro*, *caerulhians*, *iskandari*, *rhytisma*, *spilonotus*, *supriatnai*, and *walkeri*), treated by most herpetologists to date as being within the so-called "lineatus group" (subgenus *Dracontoides* Fitzinger, 1843) but phylogenetically quite distinct and divergent from the species *D. lineatus*. *Macguiredraco* subgen. nov. is diagnosed and defined on the basis of the following features: (1) a strong statistical mode of five ribs supporting each wing (versus six), (2) absence of lacrimal bones (versus presence), (3) nostrils oriented laterally (versus posterodorsally), and (4) dewlap scalation small and undifferentiated (versus enlarged distally). The only *Draco* species that cannot be distinguished from *Macguiredraco* subgen. nov. on the basis of these characters is *D. bimaculatus* of the southern Philippines (herein placed in the monotypic subgenus *Philippinedraco* subgen. nov.) and separated from *Macguiredraco* subgen. nov. below and also *D. lineatus* (placed in the subgenus *Dracontoides* Fitzinger, 1843), herein regarded as a composite species.

Most *Macguiredraco* subgen. nov. species can be distinguished primarily on the basis of adult male coloration because these lizards are characterized by granular squamation that is apparently not conducive either to the evolution or the discovery of heritable species-specific differences.

Philippinedraco subgen. nov. is separated from *Macguiredraco* subgen. nov. by the following suite of characters: an adult snout-vent length of 73 mm; 8-10 supralabials; tympanum usually covered with smooth skin; lateral pouches with slightly enlarged scales; 122-150 dorsals; five ribs in patagium; and the presence of a black, white-centred spot between the tympanum and the corner of the mouth (not seen in *Macguiredraco* subgen. nov.).

Draco lineatus (*sensu lato*) (treated here as a complex of morphologically similar species) (subgenus *Dracontoides* Fitzinger, 1843), can be distinguished from all other members of *Macguiredraco* subgen. nov., *Philippinedraco* subgen. nov. and *Engannodraco* subgen. nov. as defined within this paper by the following combination of characteristics: (1) the dorsal patagial coloration of males with five pale brown radial bands on a vivid yellow field, or with several radial bands comprised of pale white or tan spots on a gray field, (2) male dewlap short and rounded distally, (3) dewlap in males bright yellow in coloration, (4) males and females with large yellow spots on a brown field on the side of the neck and base of the dewlap, (5) melanic interorbital spot usually absent in males, (6) large rhomboidal melanic nuchal spot usually absent from both sexes, (7) melanic postnuchal spot usually absent from males, usually present in females, (8) absence of white pigments in association with variably present nuchal and posnuchal spots in both sexes, (8) variable presence in males and absence in females of melanic 'eye spots' (dark spots with associated white or pale pigments) on the supraorbital semicircles, (9) parietal lens usually present (32 of 34 specimens examined), and (10) tympana at least partially covered with scales (usually completely covered) (adapted from McGuire *et al.* 2007).

Distribution: Confined to islands within Wallacea, eastern Indonesia.

Etymology: Named in honour of Jimmy McGuire of California, USA in recognition of his published works on this group of lizards, until now merely referred to by most authors as a part of the so-called "lineatus" group.

Content: *Draco* (*Macguiredraco*) *bourouniensis* Lesson, 1834 (type species); *D. (Macguiredraco) beccarii* Peters and Doria, 1878; *D. (Macguiredraco) biaro* Lazell, 1987; *D. (Macguiredraco) caerulhians* Lazell, 1992; *D. (Macguiredraco) iskandari* McGuire, Brown, Mumpini, Riyanto and Andayani, 2007; *D.*

(*Macguiredraco*) *rhytisma* Musters, 1983; *D. (Macguiredraco) spilonotus* Günther, 1872; *D. (Macguiredraco) supriatnai* McGuire, Brown, Mumpini, Riyanto and Andayani, 2007; *D. (Macguiredraco) walkeri* Boulenger, 1891.

SUBGENUS *PHILIPPINEDRACO* SUBGEN. NOV.

Type species: *Draco bimaculatus* Günther, 1864.

Diagnosis: *Philippinedraco* subgen. nov. is separated from *Macguiredraco* subgen. nov. and *Dracontoides* Fitzinger, 1843, both defined above, by the following suite of characters: an adult snout-vent length of 73 mm; 8-10 supralabials; tympanum usually covered with smooth skin; lateral pouches with slightly enlarged scales; 122-150 dorsals; five ribs in patagium; and the presence of a black, white-centred spot between the tympanum and the corner of the mouth (not seen in *Macguiredraco* subgen. nov.).

Macguiredraco subgen. nov. (and this genus) is diagnosed and defined on the basis of the following features: (1) a strong statistical mode of five ribs supporting each wing (versus six), (2) absence of lacrimal bones (versus presence), (3) nostrils oriented laterally (versus posterodorsally), and (4) dewlap scalation small and undifferentiated (versus enlarged distally). The only *Draco* species that cannot be distinguished from *Macguiredraco* subgen. nov. on the basis of these characters is *D. bimaculatus* of the southern Philippines (herein placed in the monotypic subgenus *Philippinedraco* subgen. nov.) and separated from *Macguiredraco* subgen. nov. above and below.

Most *Macguiredraco* subgen. nov. species can be distinguished primarily on the basis of adult male coloration because these lizards are characterized by granular squamation that is apparently not conducive either to the evolution or the discovery of heritable species-specific differences.

Draco lineatus (*sensu lato*) (treated here as a complex of morphologically similar species) (subgenus *Dracontoides* Fitzinger, 1843), can be distinguished from all other members of *Macguiredraco* subgen. nov., *Philippinedraco* subgen. nov. and *Engannodraco* subgen. nov. as defined within this paper by the following combination of characteristics: (1) the dorsal patagial coloration of males with five pale brown radial bands on a vivid yellow field, or with several radial bands comprised of pale white or tan spots on a gray field, (2) male dewlap short and rounded distally, (3) dewlap in males bright yellow in coloration, (4) males and females with large yellow spots on a brown field on the side of the neck and base of the dewlap, (5) melanic interorbital spot usually absent in males, (6) large rhomboidal melanic nuchal spot usually absent from both sexes, (7) melanic postnuchal spot usually absent from males, usually present in females, (8) absence of white pigments in association with variably present nuchal and posnuchal spots in both sexes, (8) variable presence in males and absence in females of melanic 'eye spots' (dark spots with associated white or pale pigments) on the supraorbital semicircles, (9) parietal lens usually present (32 of 34 specimens examined), and (10) tympana at least partially covered with scales (usually completely covered) (adapted from McGuire *et al.* 2007).

Philippinedraco subgen. nov. is also separated from all other *Draco* species on the basis of the following unique suite of characters: Head small; snout very short, hardly as long as the diameter of the orbit; nostril lateral, directed outwards; tympanum naked, much smaller than the eye-opening. Upper head-scales unequal, strongly keeled; eight to ten upper labials. The male's gular appendage shorter than the head. Male with a very slight nuchal crest. Dorsal scales rather regular, feebly keeled, a little larger than the ventrals; on each side a more or less distinct series of enlarged keeled distant scales. The fore limb stretched forwards extends considerably beyond the tip of the snout; the adpressed hind limb reaches the axil or a little beyond. Upper surfaces greenish grey with metallic gloss, and more or less defined broad blackish cross bars; a black interorbital spot; a large round black spot, with a white scale in the centre, behind the angle of the mouth; wing-membranes

above blue-green, reticulated with black, with four or five more or less indistinct broad blackish transverse bands, and numerous pale longitudinal lines; wing-membranes inferiorly with irregular large black spots; throat and base of gular appendage with dark reticulation; female with two black cross bars under the neck; belly sometimes with a few blackish dots (adapted from Boulenger 1885).

Distribution: Known only from the Philippines Islands.

Etymology: Named in reflection of the origin of the subgenus and the generic root it comes from.

Content: *Draco bimaculatus* Günther, 1864 (monotypic).

SUBGENUS ENGANNODRACO SUBGEN. NOV.

Type species: *Draco modiglianii* Vinciguerra, 1892.

Diagnosis: *Engannodraco* subgen. nov. is similar in most respects to *Macguiredraco* subgen. nov. as defined above, but is separated from this subgenus and all other *Draco* species by the following suite of characters: An adult snout-vent length of about 71 mm; 6-9 supralabials; tympanum usually covered with smooth skin; lateral pouch with slightly enlarged scales; 110-135 dorsals; six or seven ribs in patagium; lateral parts of nuchal region in males with light spots.

Distribution: Known only from Enganno Island, off the south-west coast of Sumatra, Indonesia.

Etymology: Named in reflection of the origin of the subgenus and the generic root it comes from.

Content: *Draco (Engannodraco) modiglianii* Vinciguerra, 1892 (monotypic).

SUBGENUS SOMNIADRACO SUBGEN. NOV.

Type species: *Draco blanfordii* Blanford, 1878.

Diagnosis: Species within the subgenus *Somniadraco* subgen. nov. are readily separated from all other *Draco* species and subgenera by the following suite of characters, being one or other of the following three:

- 1/ The nostril is pierced vertically and directed upwards; the tympanum is naked; the adressed hind limb does not reach beyond the axil and the tympanum is smaller than the eye opening; or:
- 2/ The nostril is pierced vertically and directed upwards; the tympanum is naked; the adressed hind limb reaches beyond the axil (and wing-membranes above have five transverse black bands, inferiorly without markings); or:
- 3/ The nostril is pierced vertically and directed upwards; the tympanum is scaly (and wing-membranes above and below with five regular transverse black bands).

Alternatively, this subgenus may be defined by exclusion of the other subgenera as defined within this paper.

Distribution: South-east Asia.

Etymology: Named in reflection of the colourful nature of many species within this subgenus and the genus from which they are derived ("somnia" being Latin for "dreams").

Content: *D. (Somniadraco) blanfordii* Blanford, 1878 (type species); *D. (Somniadraco) formosus* Boulenger, 1900; *D. (Somniadraco) haematopogon* Gray, 1831; *D. (Somniadraco) indochinensis* Smith, 1928; *D. (Somniadraco) obscurus* Boulenger, 1887; *D. (Somniadraco) maximus* Boulenger, 1893; *D. (Somniadraco) melanopogon* Boulenger, 1887; *D. (Somniadraco) mindanensis* Stejneger, 1908; *D. (Somniadraco) norvillii* Alcock, 1895; *D. (Somniadraco) quinquefasciatus* Hardwicke and Gray, 1827; *D. (Somniadraco) taeniopterus* Günther, 1861.

SUBGENUS SPOTTYDRACO GEN. NOV.

Type species: *Dracunculus maculatus* Gray, 1845.

Diagnosis: *Spottydraco* subgen. nov. is readily separated from all other *Draco* species and subgenera by the following suite of characters: Head small; snout a little longer than the diameter of the orbit; nostril lateral, directed outwards; tympanum scaly.

Upper head scales unequal, strongly keeled; a compressed prominent scale on the posterior part of the supraciliary region; seven to eleven upper labials. The male's gular appendage very large, always much longer than and frequently twice as long as, the head; female also with a well-developed but smaller gular sac. Male with a very small nuchal crest. Dorsal scales little larger than the ventrals, irregular, smooth or very feebly keeled; on each side of the back a series of large

trihedral keeled distant scales. The fore limb stretched forwards reaches beyond the tip of the snout; the adressed hind limb reaches a little beyond the elbow of the adressed fore limb, or the axilla. Greyish above, with more or less distinct darker markings; a more or less distinct darker interorbital spot; wing-membranes above with numerous small round black spots, which are seldom confluent, inferiorly immaculate or with a few black spots; a blue spot on each side the base of the gular appendage.

Distribution: Continental south-east Asia.

Etymology: Named in reflection of the spotted nature of the type species and the generic root it comes from, noting that the species name *maculatus* also refers to the spots in Latin, and noting that only a minority of English-speaking users of the nomenclature would know this.

Content: *Draco (Spottydraco) maculatus* Gray, 1845 (type species); *D. (Spottydraco) divergens* Taylor, 1934; *D. (Spottydraco) haasei* Boettger, 1893; *D. (Spottydraco) whiteheadi* Boulenger, 1900.

SUBGENUS DRACO LINNAEUS, 1758.

Type species: *Draco volans* Linnaeus, 1758.

Diagnosis: In the recent literature this clade or subgenus has been generally referred to as the "volans group" (e.g. Inger, 1983). They are defined and separated from other *Draco* species by the following suite of characters: six ribs supporting the patagium; nostrils pointed laterally; males without a caudal crest; dewlap in males covered with small scales and tapering continuously from its base.

I had considered following Hennig (1936) and dividing the subgenus into two main groups, this being the species he grouped under the species *volans* and the other group of species he grouped under *spilopterus*. However molecular studies show the divergence to be recent and the radiation of species within that time quite dramatic and so I have deferred naming a new subgenus herein on the basis of recent divergences.

Distribution: Wetter parts of southern and south-east Asia.

Content: *Draco (Draco) volans* Linnaeus, 1758 (type species); *D. (Draco) affinis* Bartlett, 1895; *D. (Draco) boschmai* Hennig, 1936; *D. (Draco) cornutus* Günther, 1864; *D. (Draco) cyanopterus* Peters, 1867; *D. (Draco) guentheri* Boulenger, 1885; *D. (Draco) jareckii* Lazell, 1992; *D. (Draco) ornatus* (Gray, 1845); *D. (Draco) palawanensis* McGuire and Alcalá, 2000; *D. (Draco) quadrasi* Boettger, 1893; *D. (Draco) reticulatus* Günther, 1864; *D. (Draco) spilopterus* (Wiegmann, 1834); *D. (Draco) sumatranus* Schlegel, 1844; *D. (Draco) timorensis* Kuhl, 1820.

SUBGENUS DRACONTOIDES FITZINGER, 1843.

Type species: *Draco lineatus* Daudin, 1802.

Diagnosis: *Macguiredraco* subgen. nov. is comprised of nine Wallacean species (*bourouniensis*, *beccarii*, *biaro*, *caerulhians*, *iskandari*, *rhytisma*, *spilonotus supriatnai*, and *walkerii*), treated by most herpetologists to date as being within the so-called "lineatus group" (subgenus *Dracontoides* Fitzinger, 1843) but phylogenetically quite distinct and divergent from the species *D. lineatus*. *Macguiredraco* subgen. nov. is diagnosed and defined on the basis of the following features: (1) a strong statistical mode of five ribs supporting each wing (versus six), (2) absence of lacrimal bones (versus presence), (3) nostrils oriented laterally (versus posterodorsally), and (4) dewlap scalation small and undifferentiated (versus enlarged distally). The only *Draco*

species that cannot be distinguished from *Macguiredraco subgen. nov.* on the basis of these characters is *D. bimaculatus* of the southern Philippines (herein placed in the monotypic subgenus *Philippinedraco subgen. nov.*) and separated from *Macguiredraco subgen. nov.* below and also *D. lineatus* (placed in the subgenus *Dracontoides* Fitzinger, 1843), herein regarded as a composite species.

Most *Macguiredraco subgen. nov.* species can be distinguished primarily on the basis of adult male coloration because these lizards are characterized by granular squamation that is apparently not conducive either to the evolution or the discovery of heritable species-specific differences.

Philippinedraco subgen. nov. is separated from *Macguiredraco subgen. nov.* by the following suite of characters: an adult snout-vent length of 73 mm; 8-10 supralabials; tympanum usually covered with smooth skin; lateral pouches with slightly enlarged scales; 122-150 dorsals; five ribs in patagium; and the presence of a black, white-centred spot between the tympanum and the corner of the mouth (not seen in *Macguiredraco subgen. nov.*).

Draco lineatus (sensu lato) (treated here as a complex of morphologically similar species) (subgenus *Dracontoides* Fitzinger, 1843), can be distinguished from all other members of *Macguiredraco subgen. nov.*, *Philippinedraco subgen. nov.* and *Engannodraco subgen. nov.* as defined within this paper by the following combination of characteristics: (1) the dorsal patagial coloration of males with five pale brown radial bands on a vivid yellow field, or with several radial bands comprised of pale white or tan spots on a gray field, (2) male dewlap short and rounded distally, (3) dewlap in males bright yellow in coloration, (4) males and females with large yellow spots on a brown field on the side of the neck and base of the dewlap, (5) melanistic interorbital spot usually absent in males, (6) large rhomboidal melanistic nuchal spot usually absent from both sexes, (7) melanistic postnuchal spot usually absent from males, usually present in females, (8) absence of white pigments in association with variably present nuchal and postnuchal spots in both sexes, (8) variable presence in males and absence in females of melanistic 'eye spots' (dark spots with associated white or pale pigments) on the supraorbital semicircles, (9) parietal lens usually present (32 of 34 specimens examined), and (10) tympana at least partially covered with scales (usually completely covered) (adapted from McGuire *et al.* 2007).

Distribution: Indonesia.

Content: *Draco (Dracontoides) lineatus* Daudin, 1802 (monotypic).

SUBGENUS RHACODRACON FITZINGER, 1843.

Type species: *Draco fimbriatus* Kuhl, 1820.

Diagnosis: *Rhacodracon* are readily separated from all other *Draco* by the following suite of characters: Nostril lateral, directed outwards; tympanum naked; the adpressed hind limb reaches at least to halfway between the elbow of the adpressed fore limb and the axil; dorsal scales all smaller than the ventrals.

The species *Draco maculatus* Gray, 1845 (*Spottydraco subgen. nov.*) is readily separated from *Rhacodracon* by the fact that the dorsal scales are a little larger than, or as large as, the ventrals; on each side of the back is a series of enlarged, keeled, distant scales and the male's gular appendage is much longer than the head.

Distribution: Malaysia (Borneo, Pulau Tioman), Indonesia (Sunda Islands, Natuna), Philippines
Southern Thailand.

Content: *Draco (Rhacodracon) fimbriatus* Kuhl, 1820 (type species); *D. (Rhacodracon) abbreviatus* Hardwicke and Gray, 1827; *D. (Rhacodracon) cristatellus* Günther, 1872.

SUBGENUS PTEROSAURUS FITZINGER, 1843.

Type species: *Draco dussumieri* Dumeril and Bibron, 1837.

Diagnosis: *Pterosaurius* is easily the most divergent of the subgenera within *Draco* and of all the subgenera is the single

one for which the argument for full genus status is strongest.

It is separated from all other *Draco* by the following suite of characters: Nostril pierced vertically, directed upwards; Tympanum naked and as large as the eye-opening; the adpressed hind limb does not reach beyond the axil; wing-membranes black above with round light spots, inferiorly with a series of large black spots near the margin.

The subgenus *Pterosaurius* is further defined and diagnosed as follows: Head small; snout constricted, as long as the diameter of the orbit; nostril directed upwards, perfectly vertical; tympanum naked, as large as the eye-opening. Upper head-scales unequal, keeled; a spinose conical scale at the posterior corner of the orbit; nine to twelve upper labials, the last twice or thrice as large as the preceding. The male's gular appendage much longer than the head; male with a slight nuchal fold; dorsal scales scarcely larger than

ventrals, unequal, smooth, or very slightly keeled; on each side of the back a series of small tubercular prominences, each being composed of several small scales. The fore-limb stretched forwards

extends beyond the tip of the snout; the adpressed hind limb reaches the axil or not quite so far. Grey-brown above; a series of more or less distinct dark circles on the back; wing-membranes above

purplish black, enclosing round light spots, inferiorly with a series of large black spots near the margin; throat with irregular dark spots.

Distribution: Southern India (India (Goa, Madras, Malabar coast, Nilghiri Hills, Travancore, Western Ghats & Eastern Ghats, Gujarat).

Content: *Draco (Pterosaurius) dussumieri* Dumeril and Bibron, 1837 (monotypic).

SUBFAMILY DRACONINAE FITZINGER, 1826

The subfamily Draconinae has been recognized for nearly two centuries. The number of genera placed within the subfamily has steadily increased as the phylogenetic relationships of the group have become better known.

With roughly 30 genera now recognized within the subfamily (this paper and excluding defined subgenera), it makes eminent sense to divide them into groups on the basis of relationships to one another at a higher level. In other words the subfamily should be properly divided up into tribes.

The use of tribes in herpetology as a useful identifier of taxon groups has been relatively unusual until the present time, but besides myself, who has made use of tribes in a number of papers from 2012 onwards an increasing number of other herpetologists are making use of this classification level when discussing taxa (e.g. Zaher *et al.* 2009, Grazziotin *et al.* 2012).

Therefore I break up the Draconinae along obvious phylogenetic lines into ten well-defined tribes. Some of these are further divided into six well-defined subtribes.

The key references in terms of most species within the Draconinae have already been given and do not need to be repeated in terms of what has been relied upon in terms of diagnosing and defining the tribes herein.

However for the recognized genera within the subfamily Draconinae not dealt with above, I think it is appropriate that I list the most relevant previously published studies relied upon to establish the relevant positions of the clades within phylogenies and also other relevant information in terms of the classification of the said lizards, this including molecular evidence, morphological evidence and relevant ecological and distributional attributes of the same taxa.

For the genus *Acanthosaura* Gray, 1831, key references include Akeret (2010), Ananjeva *et al.* (2008, 2011b), Barts and Wilms (2003), Bobrov (2013), Bobrov and Semenov (2008), Bonetti (2002), Boulenger (1885, 1890, 1900b), Brygoo (1988), Charnard *et al.* (1999), Cox *et al.* (1998), Cuvier (1829), Denzer *et al.*

(1997), de Rooij (1915), Duméril *et al.* (1937), Geissler (2012), Grismer (2011), Grismer *et al.* (2007, 2010), Grossmann and Tillack (2004a), Günther (1861a, 1861b, 1864), Hallermann (2000b, 2005a, 2005b), Hardwicke and Gray (1827), Hecht *et al.* (2013), Hendrickson (1966), Jestrzanski *et al.* (2013), Kwet (2012), Leong *et al.* (2003), Lim and Ng (1999), LiVigni (2013), Macey *et al.* (2000), Manthey (2008), Manthey and Grossmann (1997), Manthey and Schuster (1999), Mell (1952), Milto and Barabanov (2012), Nabhitabhata *et al.* (2000), Neang *et al.* (2010), Okajima and Kumazawa (2010), Orlov *et al.* (2006), Pauwels *et al.* (2000, 2003), Sang *et al.* (2009), Smith (1935), Smits (2004), Stuart and Emmett (2006), Stuart *et al.* (2006, 2010), Taylor (1963), Vogt (1914), Werner (1904), Wöhrle (1996), Wood *et al.* (2009, 2010), Zhao and Adler (1993), Ziegler (2002) and sources cited therein.

For the genus *Dendragama* Doria, 1888, key references include Ahl (1926), de Rooij (1915), Doria (1888), Hallermann (2005a, 2005b), Manthey and Grossmann (1997), Manthey and Schuster (1999), Mertens (1954) and sources cited therein.

For the monotypic genus *Complicitus* Manthey and Grossmann, 1997, key references include Barts and Wilms (2003), Boulenger (1891a), Das (2004), Das and Lakim (2008), Harikrishnan and Vasudevan (2013), Malkmus (1994), Malkmus *et al.* (2002), Manthey and Grossmann (1997), Ota and Hikida (1991), and sources cited therein.

For the genus *Cophotis* Peters, 1861, key references include Amarasinghe *et al.* (2009b), Bahir and Surasinghe (2005), Barts and Kwet (2007), Barts and Wilms (2003), Boulenger (1885, 1890), De Silva (1990, 1994), Hallermann (2005a, 2005b), Hallermann and Böhme (2007), Janzen *et al.* (2007b), Karunaratna and Amarasinghe (2009), Kästle (1996), Macey *et al.* (2000), Manamendra-Arachchi *et al.* (2006), Manthey and Schuster (1999), Marx (1958), Mohr and Cabrera (2013), Peters (1861a, 1861b), Samarawickrama *et al.* (2006), Schulte *et al.* (2000, 2002), Smith (1935), Somaweera and Somaweera (2009), Taylor (1953), and sources cited therein.

For the genus *Pseudocophotis* Manthey and Grossmann, 1997 key references include Ananjeva *et al.* (2007), Bain *et al.* (2007), Bobrov and Semenov (2008), Boulenger (1885), de Rooij (1915), Hallermann and Böhme (2000), Hallermann and McGuire (2001), Hallermann *et al.* (2010), Hubrecht (1879), Manthey and Grossmann (1997), Manthey and Schuster (1999), Milto *et al.* (2012), Ota (1989c), Sang *et al.* (2009) and sources cited therein.

For the monotypic genus *Lophocalotes* Günther, 1872 key references include Ananjeva *et al.* (2011a), Bleeker (1860), Boulenger (1885, 1887b), de Rooij (1915), Hallermann *et al.* (2004), Manthey and Grossmann (1997), Manthey and Schuster (1999) and sources cited therein.

For the monotypic genus *Lyriocephalus* Merrem, 1820 key references include Amarasinghe *et al.* (2009), Bahir and Surasinghe (2005), Bambaradeniya *et al.* (1997), Bandara (2012), Bartelt (2003), Bartelt and de Bitter (2004, 2005a, 2005b), Barts and Wilms (2003), Boulenger (1885, 1890), Cuvier (1831), Duméril *et al.* (1837), Goonawardene and de Silva (2005), Gray (1835), Hallermann (2005a, 2005b), Janzen (2011), Janzen and Bopage (2011), Janzen *et al.* (2007a, 2007b), Karunaratna and Amarasinghe (2013), Kelaart (1852), Kiehlmann (1980), Linnaeus (1758), Macey *et al.* (2000), Manthey and Schuster (1999), Pachmann (2008), Prinz and Prinz (1986), Pyron *et al.* (2013), Schulte *et al.* (2002), Smith (1935), Somaweera and Somaweera (2009), Taylor (1953) and sources cited therein.

For the genus *Mantheyus* Ananjeva and Stuart, 2001 key references include Ananjeva and Stuart (2001), Ananjeva *et al.* (2011), Barts and Wilms (2003), Hallermann and Böhme (2003), Manthey and Nabhitabhata (1991), Manthey and Manthey (2005a, 2005b), Manthey and Schuster (1999), Schulte *et al.* (2004) and sources cited therein.

For the monotypic genus *Oriocalotes* Günther, 1864 key references include Boulenger (1885, 1890), Gray (1845), Günther (1864), Lenz (2012), Manthey and Schuster (1999), Smith (1935), Venugopal (2010), Zhao and Adler (1993) and sources cited therein.

For the genus *Otocryptis* Wagler, 1830 key references include Amarasinghe *et al.* (2009b), Bahir and de Silva (2005), Bahir and Gabadage (2009), Bahir and Surasinghe (2005), Barts and Wilms (2003), Bauer (1998), Boulenger (1885, 1890), Chandramouli (2009a), Duméril *et al.* (1837), Hallermann (2005a, 2005b), Janzen (2003, 2011), Janzen and Bopage (2011), Janzen *et al.* (2007), Jose *et al.* (2007), Karunaratna and Amarasinghe (2008b, 2011), Macey *et al.* (2000), Manthey and Schuster (1999), Murthy (1990), Pachmann (2012), Pyron *et al.* (2013), Smith (1935), Somaweera and Somaweera (2009), Taylor (1953), Wagler (1830), Wiegmann (1831), Venugopal (2010) and sources cited therein.

For the genus *Salea* Gray, 1845 key references include Barts and Wilms (2003), Beddome (1878), Boulenger (1885, 1890), Duméril and Duméril (1851), Ganesh and Aengals (2011), Gray (1845), Günther (1864), Jerdon (1854), Macey *et al.* (2000), Manthey and Schuster (1999), Murthy (1990, 2010), Smith (1935), Venugopal (2010) and sources cited therein.

For the genus *Sitana* Cuvier, 1829 key references include Amarasinghe *et al.* (2009), Bahir and Surasinghe (2005), Boulenger (1885, 1890), Cuvier (1829), Deraniyagala (1953), Duméril *et al.* (1837), Günther (1864), Hallermann (2005a, 2005b), Janzen *et al.* (2007b), Jerdon (1870), Karunaratna and Amarasinghe (2011a), Kelaart (1854), Macey *et al.* (2000), Manthey and Schuster (1999), Murthy (2010), Pal *et al.* (2010, 2011), Patankar *et al.* (2013), Saikia *et al.* (2007), Schleich and Kästle (1998a, 1998b, 2002), Schleich *et al.* (1998), Smith (1935), Somaweera and Somaweera (2009), Subramanean and Reddy (2012), Tsetan and Ramanibai (2011), Upadhye *et al.* (2012), Venugopal (2010), Vitt and Pianka (2006), Vyas (2007, 2011a, 2011b) Wiegmann (1834a) and sources cited therein.

For the genus *Hypsicalotes* Manthey and Denzer, 2000, key references include Das (2004), Hallermann (2000a), Inger and Lakim (1998), Malkmus (1994), Manthey (1983, 2012), Manthey and Denzer (2000), Moody (1980), Ota and Hikida (1996) and sources cited therein.

As already mentioned earlier, should a later author seek to merge one or more of the tribes described herein, then the order of priority should be that as presented herein.

TRIBE DRACOIINI TRIBE NOV.

(Terminal taxon: *Draco volans* Linnaeus, 1758)

Diagnosis: The tribe Dracoiini *tribe nov.* consists the same species as the genus *Draco* Linnaeus, 1758 in that the tribe is monotypic and therefore the definition for each is one and the same.

Dracoiini *tribe nov.* and *Draco* Linnaeus, 1758 are readily distinguished from all other lizards by the fact that the body is depressed and with a large lateral wing-like membrane, supported by the much-expanded five or six posterior ribs, folding like a fan. A gular appendage and a lateral smaller one on each side. Tympanum distinct or covered with scales. Tail long. No femoral or preanal pores in the true sense of the term.

Distribution: Wetter parts of southern and south-east Asia.

Content: *Draco* Linnaeus, 1758 (including all nine subgenera, namely *Draco* Linnaeus, 1758; *Dracontoides* Fitzinger, 1843; *Rhacodracon* Fitzinger, 1843; *Pterosaurus* Fitzinger, 1843; *Macquiredracon* subgen. nov.; *Philippinedracon* subgen. nov.; *Engannodracon* subgen. nov.; *Somniadracon* subgen. nov. and *Spottydracon* subgen. nov.).

As mentioned elsewhere in this paper, there is a strong argument in favour of elevating all named subgenera within *Draco* as recognized herein to the status of genus, leaving the tribe as defined herein unchanged and consisting of nine genera rather than one.

TRIBE MAXHOSERAGAMAIIINI TRIBE NOV.

(Terminal taxon: *Japalura splendida* Barbour and Dunn, 1919)

Diagnosis: The tribe Maxhoseragamiini *tribe nov.* is best defined by the diagnosis of each of the component genera. The tribe is therefore defined as one or other of the following eight genera defined herein:

1/ *Maxhoseragama gen. nov.* are readily separated from *Japalura* Gray, 1853 (the genus in which it was previously placed) and *Diploderma* Hallowell, 1861 (neither in this tribe) by the following suite of characters: having infracaudal scales larger than ventrals; the third and fourth fingers of equal length; does not have seven upper labials.

Furthermore this genus is separated from *Japalura* by the fact that an enlarged subocular scale row is present and usually prominent, or at least moderately so.

This genus is also identified by the following suite of characters: Tympanum hidden. Body compressed. Dorsal scales heterogeneous. A dorsal crest. Gular pouch small or absent. An oblique fold in front of the shoulder; gular fold present or absent. Tail feebly compressed. No praeanal or femoral pores (as opposed to the callous pore-like swelling of the preanal scales of the males in the genera *Agama* Daudin, 1802, *Uromastix* Merrem, 1820 and *Xenagama* Boulenger, 1895).

Notacalotes gen. nov. monotypic for the species originally described as "*Calotes andamanensis* Boulenger, 1891" is separated from similar species by having enlarged keeled scales on the posterior surface of the thigh, dorsal head scales obtusely keeled, smooth dorsal body scales (upper six rows directed posterodorsally, remainder posteroventrally), and lacking body crest, antehumeral pit present; nuchal crest well developed; 67 scales around midbody; tympanum (11% HL); toe no. 3 shorter than no. 4. Distinguished from *Calotes* (*Tamilnaducales*) *aurantolabium* Krishnan, 2008 in having smooth dorsals, dorsal body scales unequal, upper six scale rows larger, remainder equal in size to ventral scales; three enlarged scales on caudal thigh; dorsal head scales obtusely keeled; parietal ridge raised; enlarged scale between nuchal crest and tympanum; antehumeral pit present; toe 4 longer than 3; stretched hindlimb reaches eye. Distinguished from other *Calotes* subgenera *Ceyloncalotes subgen. nov.* and *Rubercalotes subgen. nov.* as well as *Skrijelus gen. nov.* (species formerly within *Calotes*) by the presence of enlarged keeled scales on caudal surface of thigh. Distinguished from *Calotes* subgenus *Rubercalotes subgen. nov.* in scale orientation: distinguished from *Rubercalotes subgen. nov.* in having an antehumeral pit; distinguished from *Calotes* subgenus *Ghatscalotes subgen. nov.* in having equal size dorsal and ventral scales, toe no. 3 longer than no. 4, scales around midbody 67 (36-43 and 27-35 respectively); distinguished from the *Calotes* subgenus *Calotes* as defined within this paper in lacking flattened spines in the nuchal region. Distinguished from *Skrijelus gen. nov.* (species formerly within *Calotes*) in having an antehumeral pit (instead of folds) and in lacking spines in the nuchal region; or:

2/ *Notacalotes gen. nov.* is distinguished from *Calotes* subgenera *Ceyloncalotes subgen. nov.* and *Laccadivecalotes subgen. nov.* in lacking spines in the nuchal region; distinguished from *Calotes* (*Ceyloncalotes*) *liocephalus* by midbody scale count and body crest scales.

This genus *Notacalotes gen. nov.* is alternatively defined and separated from all other agamidae as follows: relatively long head (hw: hl = 0.59); 56-67 longitudinal scale rows around midbody; dorsals and laterals smooth, sometimes weakly keeled near the sacral region; ventrals strongly keeled; dorsals of 4-7 paravertebral longitudinal rows larger than laterals, of irregular shape, pointing posterodorsally; laterals pointing posteroventrally; laterals and ventrals of similar size; ventrals slightly irregular; a row of enlarged scales between supralabials

and orbit, bordered by one or two smaller scale rows; gular scales smaller than ventrals, weakly keeled; gular pouch present in males; antehumeral fold/pit weakly developed; nuchal crest composed of 11-15 lanceolate spines; dorsal crest a denticulate ridge; enlarged conical lamellae under the leading edge of third toe; 27-30 lamellae under fourth toe; hind limb length 70-75 % of svl; tail length 238-265% of svl, slightly compressed at the base (Harikrishnan and Vasudevan, 2013).

The species within *Notacalotes gen. nov.* can be distinguished from *Pseudocalotes* in having stronger and proportionately longer limbs (proportional antebrachial length not different); nuchal crest vertically directed compressed triangle scales, nuchal crest scales not intermittent as in several *Pseudocalotes*; presence of enlarged keeled scales on posterior surface of thigh. Distinguished from *Bronchocela* Kaup, 1827 and *Calotes* in having enlarged keeled scales on caudal surface of thigh. Distinguished from *Complicitus* Manthey and Grossmann, 1997 in having enlarged keeled scales on caudal face of thigh, lacking a gular pouch or dewlap, and having smaller head length and head height proportions. Distinguished from *Salea* Gray, 1845 in having predominantly uniform dorsal body scales, smooth body scales, lacks body crest, and laterally compressed body (depressed body in *Salea khakiensis*). Distinguished from *Dendragama* Doria, 1888 in lacking a lateral crest on either side of neck; lacking raised parietal ridges; lacking a row of enlarged keeled body scales; lacking keeled dorsal body scales; having flat forehead; or:

3/ *Pseudocalotes* Fitzinger, 1843 (herein treated as including *Paracalotes* Bourret, 1939 and *Mictopholis* Smith, 1935) are readily separated from *Calotes* Cuvier, 1817 in having relatively weak limbs as may be noted in some of the species names, e.g. "*Calotes brevipes* Werner, 1904". *Pseudocalotes* possesses mixed orientation of dorsal scales, and lacks spines on the head. It is distinguished from another morphologically similar genus *Bronchocela* Kaup, 1827 (not in this tribe) in lacking a cheek skin fold and in having short weak limbs. They do not have any enlarged compressed set of scales behind the orbit. Excluding the taxon, "*Calotes andamanensis*", known only from the Andaman Islands and herein treated as within the genus *Notacalotes gen. nov.*, *Pseudocalotes* does not occur west of Sumatra. In turn *Pseudocalotes* and *Calotes* (the latter genus being in tribe Crottyagamaiini *tribe nov.*) are both defined and separated from all other Agamidae (as a pair of genera) as follows: Tympanum distinct. Body compressed, covered with equal-sized scales. A dorso-nuchal crest. A more or less developed gular sac in the male; no transverse gular fold, or a very feebly marked one. Tail round or feebly compressed. No femoral or praeanal pores. The genus *Bronchocela* Kaup, 1827 (in tribe Doongagamaiini *tribe nov.*) is defined and separated from similar agamid genera as follows: No fold in front of the shoulder; lateral scales pointing backwards and downwards; ventral scales larger than latero-dorsals; fourth finger nearly the same length as the fifth toe or rarely longer; (These three genera, namely *Pseudocalotes* Fitzinger, 1843 (herein treated as including *Paracalotes* Bourret, 1939), *Notacalotes gen. nov.* and *Maxhoseragama gen. nov.* form the subtribe Maxhoseragamaiina *subtribe nov.*); or:

4/ The genus *Sitana* Cuvier, 1829 is defined and separated from all other Agamidae as follows: Mouth large; teeth erect in both jaws. Incisors small, conical. No true praeanal or femoral pores (as opposed to the callous pore-like swelling of the preanal scales of the males in the genera *Agama* Daudin, 1802, *Uromastix* Merrem, 1820 and *Xenagama* Boulenger, 1895). No wing-like lateral expansion. Body not depressed and slightly compressed; limbs long and four toes only. Scales all keeled, regular, smallest on the flanks. No dorsal crest. Male with a slight nuchal fold and a large folding gular appendage extending backwards to the belly and covered with large scales. No gular fold. Ear exposed; or:

5/ The genus *Otocryptis* Wagler, 1830 is separated from all

other Agamid genera as follows: Mouth large; teeth erect in both jaws. Incisors small and conical. No true preanal or femoral pores (as opposed to the callous pore-like swelling of the preanal scales of the males in the genera *Agama* Daudin, 1802, *Uromastix* Merrem, 1820 and *Xenagama* Boulenger, 1895). No wing-like lateral expansion. Fifth toe very short, not longer than the first; no dorsal crest. Body compressed, limbs very long. All the scales keeled, the dorsals heterogeneous. No gular fold. Male with a low nuchal crest and a large folding gular appendage extending backwards to the belly, and covered with large scales. Ear concealed; (These two genera namely *Sitana* Cuvier, 1829 and *Otocryptis* Wagler, 1830 form the subtribe *Sitanaiina subtribe nov.*); or:

6/ The genus *Acanthosaura* Gray, 1831 is separated from all other Agamid genera as follows: Mouth large; teeth erect in both jaws. Incisors small and conical. No true preanal or femoral pores (as opposed to the callous pore-like swelling of the preanal scales of the males in the genera *Agama* Daudin, 1802, *Uromastix* Merrem, 1820 and *Xenagama* Boulenger, 1895). No wing-like lateral expansion. Tympanum exposed. No fold across the throat; dorsal scales unequal; no gular pouch. Body generally compressed, limbs more or less elongate. Dorsal scales heterogeneous, small or moderate. A dorsal crest. No gular fold, but a more or less distinct oblique fold in front of the shoulder. No gular sac. The nuchal crest is separated from dorsal crest, or if continuous then there is a spine on each side of the neck and the small dorsal scales are much smaller than the ventrals; or:

7/ The genus *Oriocalotes* Günther, 1864 is separated from all other Agamid genera as follows: Mouth large; teeth erect in both jaws. Incisors small and conical. No true preanal or femoral pores (as opposed to the callous pore-like swelling of the preanal scales of the males in the genera *Agama* Daudin, 1802, *Uromastix* Merrem, 1820 and *Xenagama* Boulenger, 1895). No wing-like lateral expansion. Tympanum exposed. No fold across the throat; dorsal scales unequal; no gular pouch. Body generally compressed, limbs more or less elongate. Dorsal scales heterogeneous, small or moderate. A dorsal crest. No gular fold, but a more or less distinct oblique fold in front of the shoulder. No gular sac. The nuchal crest is continuous and there is a spine on each side of the neck and the smaller dorsal scales are larger than the ventrals: The morphologically similar genus *Calotes* Cuvier, 1817 and all other genera within the tribe *Crottyagamaiini tribe nov.* are separated from both *Acanthosaura* and *Oriocalotes* by having no spine on the side of the neck; (These two genera; namely *Acanthosaura* Gray, 1831 and *Oriocalotes* Günther, 1864 form the subtribe *Acanthosauriina subtribe nov.*); or:

8/ The genus *Salea* Gray, 1845 is separated from all other Agamid genera as follows: Mouth large; teeth erect in both jaws. Incisors small and conical. No true preanal or femoral pores (as opposed to the callous pore-like swelling of the preanal scales of the males in the genera *Agama* Daudin, 1802, *Uromastix* Merrem, 1820 and *Xenagama* Boulenger, 1895). Tympanum distinct. No transverse gular fold; dorsal scales large, unequal; males with a gular pouch; body compressed, covered with unequal-sized

imbricate keeled scales. Male with a dorso-nuchal crest and a gular sac. Tail compressed; (The genus *Salea* Gray, 1845 including the subgenus *Lophosalea* Beddome, 1878 monotypically forms the subtribe *Saleaiina subtribe nov.*).

Distribution: Southern and south-east Asia.

Content: *Maxhoseragama gen. nov.*; *Notacalotes gen. nov.*; *Pseudocalotes* Fitzinger, 1843 (herein treated as including as subgenera *Paracalotes* Bourret, 1939 and *Mictopholis* Smith, 1935) (subtribe *Maxhoseragamaiina subtribe nov.*); *Sitana* Cuvier, 1829; *Otocryptis* Wagler, 1830 (subtribe *Sitanaiina subtribe nov.*); *Acanthosaura* Gray, 1831; *Oriocalotes* Günther 1864 (*Acanthosauriina subtribe nov.*); *Salea* Gray, 1845 (*Saleaiina subtribe nov.*).

SUBTRIBE MAXHOSERAGAMAIINA SUBTRIBE NOV.

(Terminal taxon: *Japalura splendida* Barbour and Dunn, 1919)

Diagnosis: The subtribe *Maxhoseragamaiina subtribe nov.* is best defined by the diagnosis of each of the component genera. The tribe is therefore defined as one or other of the following three genera defined herein:

1/ *Maxhoseragama gen. nov.* are readily separated from *Japalura* Gray, 1853 (the genus in which it was previously placed) and *Diploderma* Hallowell, 1861 (neither in this tribe) by the following suite of characters: having infra-caudal scales larger than ventrals; the third and fourth fingers of equal length; does not have seven upper labials.

Furthermore this genus is separated from *Japalura* by the fact that an enlarged subocular scale row is present and usually prominent, or at least moderately so.

This genus is also identified by the following suite of characters: Tympanum hidden. Body compressed. Dorsal scales heterogeneous. A dorsal crest. Gular pouch small or absent. An oblique fold in front of the shoulder; gular fold present or absent. Tail feebly compressed. No preanal or femoral pores (as opposed to the callous pore-like swelling of the preanal scales of the males in the genera *Agama* Daudin, 1802, *Uromastix* Merrem, 1820 and *Xenagama* Boulenger, 1895); or:

2/ *Notacalotes gen. nov.* monotypic for the species originally described as "*Calotes andamanensis* Boulenger, 1891" is separated from similar species by having enlarged keeled scales on the posterior surface of the thigh, dorsal head scales obtusely keeled, smooth dorsal body scales (upper six rows directed posterodorsally, remainder posteroventrally), and lacking body crest, antehumeral pit present; nuchal crest well developed; 67 scales around midbody; tympanum (11% HL); toe no. 3 shorter than no. 4. Distinguished from *Calotes* (*Tamilnadu-calotes aurantolabium* Krishnan, 2008 in having smooth dorsals, dorsal body scales unequal, upper six scale rows larger, remainder equal in size to ventral scales; three enlarged scales on caudal thigh; dorsal head scales obtusely keeled; parietal ridge raised; enlarged scale between nuchal crest and tympanum; antehumeral pit present; toe 4 longer than 3; stretched hindlimb reaches eye. Distinguished from other *Calotes* subgenera *Ceyloncalotes subgen. nov.* and *Rubercalotes subgen. nov.* as well as *Skrijelus gen. nov.* (species formerly within *Calotes*) by the presence of enlarged keeled scales on caudal surface of thigh. Distinguished from *Calotes* subgenus *Rubercalotes subgen. nov.* in scale orientation: distinguished from *Rubercalotes subgen. nov.* in having an antehumeral pit; distinguished from *Calotes* subgenus *Ghatscalotes subgen. nov.* in having equal size dorsal and ventral scales, toe no. 3 longer than no. 4, scales around midbody 67 (36-43 and 27-35 respectively); distinguished from the *Calotes* subgenus *Calotes* as defined within this paper in lacking flattened spines in the nuchal region. Distinguished from *Skrijelus gen. nov.* (species formerly within *Calotes*) in having an antehumeral pit (instead of folds) and in lacking spines in the nuchal region. *Notacalotes gen. nov.* is distinguished from *Calotes* subgenera *Ceyloncalotes subgen. nov.* and *Laccadivecalotes subgen. nov.* in lacking spines in the nuchal region; distinguished from *Calotes* (*Ceyloncalotes*) *liocephalus* by midbody scale count and body crest scales.

This genus *Notacalotes gen. nov.* is alternatively defined and separated from all other agamidae as follows: relatively long head (hw: hl = 0.59); 56-67 longitudinal scale rows around midbody; dorsals and laterals smooth, sometimes weakly keeled near the sacral region; ventrals strongly keeled; dorsals of 4-7 paravertebral longitudinal rows larger than laterals, of irregular shape, pointing posterodorsally; laterals pointing posteroventrally; laterals and ventrals of similar size; ventrals slightly irregular; a row of enlarged scales between supralabials and orbit, bordered by one or two smaller scale rows; gular scales smaller than ventrals, weakly keeled; gular pouch present

in males; antehumeral fold/pit weakly developed; nuchal crest composed of 11-15 lanceolate spines; dorsal crest a denticulate ridge; enlarged conical lamellae under the leading edge of third toe; 27-30 lamellae under fourth toe; hind limb length 70-75 % of svl; tail length 238-265% of svl, slightly compressed at the base (Harikrishnan and Vasudevan, 2013).

The species within *Notacalotes* gen. nov. can be distinguished from *Pseudocalotes* in having stronger and proportionately longer limbs (proportional antibrachial length not different); nuchal crest vertically directed compressed triangle scales, nuchal crest scales not intermittent as in several *Pseudocalotes*; presence of enlarged keeled scales on posterior surface of thigh. Distinguished from *Bronchocela* Kaup, 1827 and *Calotes* in having enlarged keeled scales on caudal surface of thigh. Distinguished from *Complicitus* Manthey and Grossmann, 1997 in having enlarged keeled scales on caudal face of thigh, lacking a gular pouch or dewlap, and having smaller head length and head height proportions. Distinguished from *Salea* Gray, 1845 in having predominantly uniform dorsal body scales, smooth body scales, lacks body crest, and laterally compressed body (depressed body in *Salea khakienensis*). Distinguished from *Dendragama* Doria, 1888 in lacking a lateral crest on either side of neck; lacking raised parietal ridges; lacking a row of enlarged keeled body scales; lacking keeled dorsal body scales; having flat forehead; or:

3/ *Pseudocalotes* Fitzinger, 1843 (herein treated as including as subgenera *Paracalotes* Bourret, 1939 and *Mictopholis* Smith, 1935) are readily separated from *Calotes* Cuvier, 1817 in having relatively weak limbs as may be noted in some of the species names, e.g. "*Calotes brevipes* Werner, 1904". *Pseudocalotes* possesses mixed orientation of dorsal scales, and lacks spines on the head. It is distinguished from another morphologically similar genus *Bronchocela* Kaup, 1827 (not in this tribe) in lacking a cheek skin fold and in having short weak limbs. They do not have any enlarged compressed set of scales behind the orbit. Excluding the taxon, "*Calotes andamanensis*", known only from the Andaman Islands and herein treated as within the genus *Notacalotes* gen. nov., *Pseudocalotes* does not occur west of Sumatra. In turn *Pseudocalotes* and *Calotes* (the latter genus being in tribe *Crottyagamaiini* tribe nov.) are both defined and separated from all other Agamidae (as a pair of genera) as follows: Tympanum distinct. Body compressed, covered with equal-sized scales. A dorso-nuchal crest. A more or less developed gular sac in the male; no transverse gular fold, or a very feebly marked one. Tail round or feebly compressed. No femoral or preanal pores. The genus *Bronchocela* Kaup, 1827 (in tribe *Doongagamaiini* tribe nov.) is defined and separated from similar agamid genera as follows: No fold in front of the shoulder; lateral scales pointing backwards and downwards; ventral scales larger than latero-dorsals; fourth finger nearly the same length as the fifth toe or rarely longer.

Distribution: South-east Asia.

Content: *Maxhoseragama* gen. nov.; *Pseudocalotes* Fitzinger, 1843 (herein treated as including as subgenera *Paracalotes* Bourret, 1939 and *Mictopholis* Smith, 1935); *Notacalotes* gen. nov..

SUBTRIBE SITANAIIINA SUBTRIBE NOV.

(Terminal taxon: *Sitana ponticeriana* Cuvier, 1829)

Diagnosis: The subtribe *Sitanaia* subtribe nov. is best defined by the diagnosis of each of the component genera. The tribe is therefore defined as one or other of the following two genera defined herein:

1/ The genus *Sitana* Cuvier, 1829 is defined and separated from all other Agamidae as follows: Mouth large; teeth erect in both jaws. Incisors small, conical. No true preanal or femoral pores (as opposed to the callous pore-like swelling of the preanal scales of the males in the genera *Agama* Daudin, 1802, *Uromastix* Merrem, 1820 and *Xenagama* Boulenger, 1895). No wing-like lateral expansion. Body not depressed and slightly

compressed; limbs long and four toes only. Scales all keeled, regular, smallest on the flanks. No dorsal crest. Male with a slight nuchal fold and a large folding gular appendage extending backwards to the belly and covered with large scales. No gular fold. Ear exposed; or:

2/ The genus *Otocryptis* Wagler, 1830 is separated from all other Agamid genera as follows: Mouth large; teeth erect in both jaws. Incisors small and conical. No true preanal or femoral pores (as opposed to the callous pore-like swelling of the preanal scales of the males in the genera *Agama* Daudin, 1802, *Uromastix* Merrem, 1820 and *Xenagama* Boulenger, 1895). No wing-like lateral expansion. Fifth toe very short, not longer than the first; no dorsal crest. Body compressed, limbs very long. All the scales keeled, the dorsals heterogeneous. No gular fold. Male with a low nuchal crest and a large folding gular appendage extending backwards to the belly, and covered with large scales. Ear concealed.

Distribution: The region encompassing the Indian subcontinent.

Content: *Sitana* Cuvier, 1829; *Otocryptis* Wagler, 1830.

SUBTRIBE ACANTHOSAURIINA SUBTRIBE NOV.

(Terminal taxon: *Agama armata* Gray, 1827)

Diagnosis: The subtribe *Acanthosauriina* subtribe nov. is best defined by the diagnosis of each of the component genera. The tribe is therefore defined as one or other of the following two genera defined herein:

1/ The genus *Acanthosaura* Gray, 1831 is separated from all other Agamid genera as follows: Mouth large; teeth erect in both jaws. Incisors small and conical. No true preanal or femoral pores (as opposed to the callous pore-like swelling of the preanal scales of the males in the genera *Agama* Daudin, 1802, *Uromastix* Merrem, 1820 and *Xenagama* Boulenger, 1895). No wing-like lateral expansion. Tympanum exposed. No fold across the throat; dorsal scales unequal; no gular pouch. Body generally compressed, limbs more or less elongate. Dorsal scales heterogeneous, small or moderate. A dorsal crest. No gular fold, but a more or less distinct oblique fold in front of the shoulder. No gular sac. The nuchal crest is separated from dorsal crest, or if continuous then there is a spine on each side of the neck and the small dorsal scales are much smaller than the ventrals; or:

2/ The genus *Oriocalotes* Günther, 1864 is separated from all other Agamid genera as follows: Mouth large; teeth erect in both jaws. Incisors small and conical. No true preanal or femoral pores (as opposed to the callous pore-like swelling of the preanal scales of the males in the genera *Agama* Daudin, 1802, *Uromastix* Merrem, 1820 and *Xenagama* Boulenger, 1895). No wing-like lateral expansion. Tympanum exposed. No fold across the throat; dorsal scales unequal; no gular pouch. Body generally compressed, limbs more or less elongate. Dorsal scales heterogeneous, small or moderate. A dorsal crest. No gular fold, but a more or less distinct oblique fold in front of the shoulder. No gular sac. The nuchal crest is continuous and there is a spine on each side of the neck and the smaller dorsal scales are larger than the ventrals.

Note that the morphologically similar genus *Calotes* Cuvier, 1817 and all other genera within the tribe *Crottyagamaiini* tribe nov.) are separated from both *Acanthosaura* and *Oriocalotes* by having no spine on the side of the neck.

Distribution: South-east Asia.

Content: *Acanthosaura* Gray, 1831; *Oriocalotes* Günther, 1864.

SUBTRIBE SALEAIIINA SUBTRIBE NOV.

(Terminal taxon: *Salea horsfieldii* Gray, 1845)

Diagnosis: The subtribe *Saleaiina* subtribe nov. is best defined by the diagnosis of the single genus within the monotypic tribe. This tribe and the genus *Salea* Gray, 1845 are separated from all other Agamid genera as follows: Mouth large; teeth erect in both jaws. Incisors small and conical. No true preanal or femoral pores (as opposed to the callous pore-like swelling of the

preanal scales of the males in the genera *Agama* Daudin, 1802, *Uromastix* Merrem, 1820 and *Xenagama* Boulenger, 1895). Tympanum distinct. No transverse gular fold; dorsal scales large, unequal; males with a gular pouch; body compressed, covered with unequal-sized imbricate keeled scales. Male with a dorso-nuchal crest and a gular sac. Tail compressed.

The subgenus *Lophosalea* Beddome, 1878 is included in this diagnosis.

Distribution: Southern India.

Content: *Salea* Gray, 1845.

TRIBE CROTTYAGAMAIINI TRIBE NOV.

(Terminal taxon: *Calotes mystaceus* Duméri and Bibron, 1837)

Diagnosis: The tribe Crottyagamiini *tribe nov.* is best defined by the diagnosis of each of the component genera. The tribe is therefore defined as one or other of the following three genera defined herein:

1/ *Calotes* Daudin, 1802 is herein defined as follows: Tympanum distinct; body compressed, covered with equal-sized scales; a dorso-nuchal crest; a more or less developed gular sac in the male; no transverse gular fold, or a very feebly marked one. Tail round or feebly compressed. No femoral or preanal pores.

There is no long transversal fold in front of the shoulder extending across the throat or two slender spines on the back of the head as seen in *Skrijelus gen. nov.* which comprises the species formerly known as *Calotes rouxii* Duméri and Bibron, 1837 and *Calotes ellioti* Günther, 1864; or:

2/ *Crottyagama gen. nov.* formally described within this paper is separated from *Calotes* Cuvier, 1817 and *Skrijelus gen. nov.* by the following characters: An oblique fold or triangle pit in front of shoulder covered with small scales, not extending across the throat and dorsal scales larger than ventrals and keeled. In addition to this, each of the relevant subgenera within *Crottyagama gen. nov.* are further diagnosed and separated from the other genera by one or other of: A/ No post orbital spine present; fourth toe much longer than the third; relatively large specimens up to about 140 mm snout-vent length; pit in front of the shoulders light brown or not coloured; white or yellow stripe above lip extending to the shoulder (subgenus *Crottyagama gen. nov.*); or B/ A post orbital spine present (*Freudcalotes subgen. nov.*); or C/ Two parallel rows of compressed spines above the tympanum and a dorsal colour of green in life (*Khasicalotes subgen. nov.*); or D/ Pit in front of shoulders is black, no white or yellow stripe is above the lip (*Amboncalotes subgen. nov.*); or:

3/ *Skrijelus gen. nov.* formally described below is readily separated from both *Calotes* and *Crottyagama gen. nov.* by the presence of a long transversal fold in front of the shoulder extending across the throat and two slender spines on the back of the head.

Distribution: South and south-east Asia.

Content: *Crottyagama gen. nov.*; *Calotes* Daudin, 1802; *Skrijelus gen. nov.*

TRIBE DARANINAGAMAIINI TRIBE NOV.

(Terminal taxon: *Gonyocephalus robinsonii* Boulenger, 1908)

Referred to now as *Daraninagama robinsonii* (Boulenger, 1908).

Diagnosis: The tribe Daraninagamaiini *tribe nov.* is best defined by diagnosis of the two component genera. Hence the tribe is defined as being one or other of:

1/ *Daraninagama gen. nov.* is separated from all other *Gonocephalus* Kaup, 1825 species by karyotypic differences. In *Daraninagama gen. nov.* there are $2n=32$ chromosomes. Of the diploid chromosomes, 12 (pairs 1-6) are metacentric macrochromosomes, whereas the remaining 20 (pairs 7-16) were microchromosomes. Therefore, the arm number in

macrochromosomes of this karyotype equaled 24.

By contrast for all species of *Gonocephalus* the configuration is $2n=42$ chromosomes, including 22

macrochromosomes (pairs 1-11) and 20 microchromosomes (pairs 12-21).

Doongagama gen. nov. (defined herein and formerly included in *Gonocephalus*) are separated from all species of *Gonocephalus* and *Daraninagama gen. nov.* as defined herein by the unique presence of two parallel longitudinal gular folds, a trait seen in none of the other species as well as the other diagnostic characters outlined in the description below.

Daraninagama gen. nov. and *Doongagama gen. nov.* are both separated from *Gonocephalus* by their enlarged gular fold.

Daraninagama gen. nov. is readily identifiable and separated from all other *Gonocephalus* and *Doongagama gen. nov.* by the unique combination of having a distinctive white lower jaw and greatly enlarged gular fold.

Daraninagama gen. nov., *Doongagama gen. nov.* and *Gonocephalus* Kaup, 1825 are separated from all other agamids by the following suite of characters: Tympanum distinct. Body compressed. Dorsal scales small, uniform or intermixed with enlarged ones. A dorsal crest, A strong transverse gular fold. Males with a gular sac. No praeanal or femoral pores.

2/ The genus *Diploderma* Hallowell, 1861 is diagnosed as follows: As for *Japalura* Gray, 1853, this genus has the following suite of characters: Body compressed. Dorsal scales heterogeneous. A dorsal crest. Gular pouch small or absent. An oblique fold in front of the shoulder; gular fold present or absent. Tail feebly compressed. No praeanal or femoral pores. This genus has a hidden tympanum.

Diploderma is separated from *Japalura* by having the third and fourth fingers of equal length and only seven upper labials.

Maxhoseragama gen. nov. are readily separated from *Japalura* (the genus in which it was previously placed) and *Diploderma* by the following suite of characters: having infra-caudal scales larger than ventrals; the third and fourth fingers of equal length; does not have seven upper labials.

Distribution: The highlands of West Malaysia (*Daraninagama gen. nov.*) and Japan (Ryukyu Archipelago) and China (North Taiwan only) (*Diploderma* Hallowell, 1861).

Content: *Daraninagama gen. nov.*; *Diploderma* Hallowell, 1861.

TRIBE PETHIYAGODAIINI TRIBE NOV.

(Terminal taxon: *Ceratophora aspera* Günther, 1864)

Herein referred to as: *Pethiyagoda aspera* (Günther, 1864).

Diagnosis: This tribe is best defined by diagnosis of the component genera. Hence the tribe consists of one or other of the following 13 suites of characters as defined below:

1/ The genus *Ceratophora* Gray 1835 is diagnosed herein as follows: Tympanum hidden. Body more or less compressed, covered with unequal scales. No dorsal crest; a nuchal crest may be present or absent. No gular sac; no gular fold. A large rostral appendage, at least in the males. No femoral or praeanal pores. The gular scales larger than the ventrals, smooth or feebly keeled; lateral scales are large, equal or unequal; rostral appendage is either scaleless or scaly; or:

2/ *Pethiyagoda gen. nov.* is readily separated from *Ceratophora* (both subgenera) (as defined above) and *Manamendraarachchius gen. nov.* as defined within this paper by having gular scales smaller than the ventrals, strongly keeled; lateral scales small; rostral appendage scaly. It is separated from all other *Ceratophora sensu lato* by the presence of a visible and palpable squamosal process (absent in all *Ceratophora sensu lato* other species); or:

3/ *Manamendraarachchius gen. nov.* (type species being *M. karu* Pethiyagoda and Manamendra-Arachchi, 1988) are separated from all other *Ceratophora sensu lato* (as defined above) (except *C. (Jamesschulteus) tennentii* and

Pethiyagoda aspera) by the rostral appendage being complex, comprising more scales than rostral scale alone (vs. rostral appendage restricted to rostral scale alone in *C. erdeleni* and *C. stoddartii*). It is distinguished from *C. (Jamesschultheus) tennentii* by the presence of prominent superciliary scales, versus absent in *C. (Jamesschultheus) tennentii* and from *Pethiyagoda aspera* by the absence of a palpable squamosal process versus squamosal process present in *P. Aspera*; or:

4/ *Cophotis* Peters, 1861 is defined by the following suite of characters: Mouth large; teeth erect in both jaws. Incisors small and conical. No praeanal or femoral pores (as opposed to the callous pore-like swelling of the preanal scales of the males in the genera *Agama* Daudin, 1802, *Uromastix* Merrem, 1820 and *Xenagama* Boulenger, 1895); tympanum hidden. Five toes. A dorsal crest: tail prehensile. Body compressed, covered with large subequal irregular scales. A very small gular sac in both sexes; a slight transverse gular fold; or:

5/ *Pseudocophotis* Manthey and Grossmann, 1997 is defined as for the genus *Cophotis* Peters, 1861 (diagnosed above), but separated from it by the following suite of characters: A rostral appendage consisting of a small pointed horn-like scale; a fringe of elongated scales along the supraciliary edge. Nuchal crest composed of nine larger and several smaller lobes; dorsal crest composed of eighteen lobes; the crest extends on more than three fourths of the length of the tail. Caudal scales as large ventrally as dorsally. Body and tail with broad brown cross bands. Head marmorated with brown, or:

6/ *Lyriocephalus* Merrem, 1820 is defined by the following suite of characters: Mouth large; teeth erect in both jaws. Incisors small and conical. No praeanal or femoral pores (as opposed to the callous pore-like swelling of the preanal scales of the males in the genera *Agama* Daudin, 1802, *Uromastix* Merrem, 1820 and *Xenagama* Boulenger, 1895); tympanum hidden. Five toes. A dorsal crest; a V-shaped gular fold; a bony supraorbital arch. Body compressed, covered with small scales intermixed with enlarged ones. A nuchal and a dorsal crest. A gular sac and a V-shaped gular fold. Adult with a globular hump on the nose.

Pre and post-orbital bones forming an arch limiting a supraorbital fossa. (These six genera namely *Ceratophora* Gray 1835, *Pethiyagoda gen. nov.*, *Manamendraarachchius gen. nov.*, *Cophotis* Peters, 1861, *Pseudocophotis* Manthey and Grossmann, 1997 and *Lyriocephalus* Merrem, 1820 form the subtribe *Pethiyagodaia subtribe nov.*); or:

7/ *Gonocephalus* Kaup, 1825 is defined as follows: Tympanum distinct. Body compressed. Dorsal scales small, uniform or intermixed with enlarged ones. A dorsal crest, A strong transverse gular fold. Males with a gular sac. No praeanal or femoral pores. Basal scales are adjacent to the nuchal and dorsal crests, a transverse gular fold, a sharp canthus rostralis and an "angled" supraciliary ridge; or:

8/ *Doongagama gen. nov.* (monotypic for the species *Doongagama mjobergi* Smith, 1925), are separated from all species of *Gonocephalus* and *Daraninagama gen. nov.* (within the tribe *Daraninagamaiini tribe nov.*) as defined within this paper by the unique presence of two parallel longitudinal gular folds, a trait seen in none of the other species.

In more detail the genus *Doongagama gen. nov.* is defined as follows: A feature clearly distinguishing *D. mjobergi* from all other *Gonocephalus* is the possession of enlarged dorsolateral scales forming oblique rows. The first row is located in the shoulder region and two distinct rows across the can be seen. Dorsolaterally enlarged scales are present in some species of *Gonocephalus* from Sumatra, in particular *G. megalepis* (Bleeker, 1860), *G. klossi* Boulenger, 1920 and *G. lacunosus* Manthey and Denzer, 1991 but never in such a geometrical arrangement as can be found in the type specimen of *D. mjobergi*. Another feature in *D. mjobergi* is the possession of an enlarged platelike scale below the tympanum which is separated from the tympanum by two rows of small scales.

As already mentioned, *D. mjobergi* possesses two parallel

longitudinal gular folds. No other *Gonocephalus* species possesses this particular character.

Both folds start on the distal part of the gular region approximately bordering the serrated edge in the middle line of the pouch. The outer one runs nearly parallel to the centre line of the gular pouch and continues onto the anterior part of the chest. It partially conceals the *Gonocephalus*-type typical transverse fold as seen in these lizards. The inner folds are shorter and curve inside towards the centre line. This feature is very similar to the longitudinal gular folds known from species of the genera *Ptyctolaemus* Peters, 1864 and *Mantheyus* Ananjeva and Stuart, 2001. Ananjeva and Stuart (2001) depict the gular region of the latter two genera. The arrangement and colouration in *D. mjobergi* is rather comparable to that in *Ptyctolaemus*. It consists of two rows (grayish white in alcohol) with dark colouration of the skin in between. This indicates that this character independently developed in *Ptyctolaemus*, *Mantheyus* and *D. mjobergi* and seems to be an autapomorphy. All three also lack a transverse gular fold present in *Gonocephalus*. However, *D. mjobergi* is by no means congeneric with either *Ptyctolaemus* or *Mantheyus*. Both genera *Ptyctolaemus* and *Mantheyus* have scaled tympani as opposed to *D. mjobergi*; additionally, *Mantheyus* has femoral pores. Within this cluster of genera (each of different tribe) only *D. mjobergi* shows oblique rows of enlarged dorsolateral scales. Because of the type specimen of *D. mjobergi* is a female it has been hypothesized that the gular sac will be even larger in male specimens which would distinguish it further from the genera discussed here with the exception of *Daraninagama robinsonii*; or:

9/ The genus *Bronchocela* Kaup, 1827 (including subgenus *Ferebronchocela subgen. nov.*) is defined and separated from other agamid genera as follows: No fold in front of the shoulder; lateral scales pointing backwards and downwards; ventral scales larger than latero-dorsals; fourth finger nearly the same length as the fifth toe or rarely longer; or:

10/ The genus *Complicitus* Manthey and Grossmann, 1997 includes a single species formerly placed within *Bronchocela* Kaup, 1827, but now monotypic in a separate genus. *Complicitus* is separated from *Bronchocela* by having a unique combination of lateral gular sac pockets and almost granular scutellation of the gular sac; or:

11/ The genus *Hypsicalotes* Manthey and Denzer, 2000 was defined by these authors in detail. The following diagnosis is adapted from their paper and is produced herein, noting that the placement of this genus within this tribe (and defined subtribe) is tentative. The monotypic genus *Hypsicalotes* Manthey and Denzer, 2000, known only from three specimens taken at Mt. Kinabalu, Sabah, Malaysia is thus defined as follows: A large species (up to 145 mm SVL), morphometrically similar to *Calotes* with medium sized hindlimbs (44% SVL) and tail (230% SVL); dorsal scales heterogeneous in size, form and arrangement, larger than ventrals; side of head below tympanum covered with an extremely enlarged plate approximately same size as orbit of eye (see Fig. 1 in Manthey and Denzer 2000); nuchal and dorsal crest clearly separated, present at least in males and continuing on tail; males with well developed gular pouch with long lanceolate scales along anterior edge (see Fig. 2 in Manthey and Denzer 2000); scales on gular pouch minute, heterogeneous in shape (oval to rhombic) and size; scalation on lower surface of head extremely heterogeneous in shape and size. Tail swollen behind base, posterior part strongly compressed.

Hypsicalotes differs from all other agamid genera (except *Hypsilurus* Peters, 1867) by the possession of large plates on both sides of head, heterogeneous, partly very large scalation on lower surface of head, by distinctive, lanceolate scales along midline of gular pouch of males as well as minute, mainly oval gular pouch scalation. It is expected that the plate on the sides of the head will be smaller in females as this is true for similar species such as *Hypsicalotes schultzei*. Additionally to

all these characteristics it differs from the following genera as follows:

Calotes Cuvier, 1817 by heterogeneous, somewhat irregularly arranged dorsal scalation (vs homogeneous, regularly arranged dorsal scalation) and the separation of nuchal and dorsal crest in males (vs a continued vertebral crest);

Bronchocela Kaup, 1827 by absent lateral skinfolds on both sides of neck supported by hyoid apparatus (vs present), inhomogeneous, somewhat irregularly arranged dorsal scalation (vs homogeneous, regularly arranged dorsal scalation) and ventrals smaller than dorsals (vs ventrals larger than dorsals);

Complicitus Manthey and Grossmann, 1997 by absent lateral pockets on the gular pouch (vs present);

Dendragama Doria, 1888 by absent bony ridges on occipital region (vs present);

Lophocalotes Günther, 1872 by keeled subdigital scales (vs smooth subdigital scales);

Pseudocalotes Fitzinger, 1843 by the possession of a strongly developed nuchal crest consisting of scales in close neighbourhood (vs single standing scales or a poorly developed nuchal crest);

Pseudocophotis Manthey and Grossmann, 1997 by a present tympanum (vs absent), an absent prehensile tail (vs present), and absent erected superciliary scales (vs present);

As regards the large plates on both sides of head and throat, as well as lanceolate scales along midline of gular pouch

Hypsicalotes reveals similarities to *Hypsilurus* Peters, 1867.

In *Hypsilurus schultzei* (Urban, 1999) from Papua New Guinea, plates on both sides of head and on ventral surface of head are also present (see Figs. 3-4 in Manthey and Denzer 2000). With the exception of tail length, additional morphometric data are similar to those of *Hypsicalotes*. Other undescribed and described *Hypsilurus* species only possess strongly enlarged scales on both sides of the head (e.g., *H. papuensis*) or lanceolate scales along median line of gular pouch are present (e.g., *H. dilophus* and *H. boydii*).

The development of the latter two characters are clearly evolutionary convergence in *Hypsicalotes* and *Hypsilurus*.

Hypsicalotes differs from *Hypsilurus* by the possession of hair-like sense organs (vs lens-like sense organs); a significantly larger dorsal scalation; an absent oblique fold when the gular pouch is adpressed (vs present, see fig. 4 in Manthey and Denzer 2000); equally sized scalation in the anterior and posterior part of the gular pouch (vs a different scalation in the anterior and posterior part of the gular pouch); or:

12/ The genus *Coryphophylax* Fitzinger, 1869 is separated from all other agamids by the following suite of characters:

Tympanum distinct. Body compressed. Dorsal scales small, uniform or intermixed with enlarged ones. A dorsal crest, A strong transverse gular fold. Males with a gular sac. No praeanal or femoral pores. Supraciliary border normal. Enlarged scales scattered among the dorsals. Nuchal and dorsal crests subcontinuous. Limbs above with subequal scales; or:

13/ The genus *Aphaniotis* Peters, 1864 (including the subgenus *Proboscisagama* subgen. nov.) is defined by the following unique suite of characters: Body compressed, limbs very long and slender. Fifth toe much longer than first. All the scales keeled; the dorsals heterogeneous. A dorsal crest. Probably a gular pouch in the male. No gular fold. Ear concealed. No praeanal or femoral pores. (These seven genera namely *Gonocephalus* Kaup, 1825, *Doongagama* gen. nov., *Bronchocela* Kaup, 1827 (including subgenus *Ferebronchocela* subgen. nov.), *Complicitus* Manthey and Grossmann, 1997, *Hypsicalotes* Manthey and Denzer, 2000, *Coryphophylax* Fitzinger, 1869 and *Aphaniotis* Peters, 1864 (including the subgenus *Proboscisagama* subgen. nov.) form the subtribe *Doongagamaiina* subtribe nov.).

Distribution: Southern and south-east Asia.

Content: *Pethiyagoda* gen. nov.; *Ceratophora* Gray 1835; *Manamendraarachchius* gen. nov.; *Cophotis* Peters, 1861; *Pseudocophotis* Manthey and Grossmann, 1997; *Lyriocephalus* Merrem, 1820 (subtribe *Pethiyagodaiina* subtribe nov.); *Doongagama* gen. nov.; *Gonocephalus* Kaup, 1825; *Bronchocela* Kaup, 1827 (including subgenus *Ferebronchocela* subgen. nov.); *Complicitus* Manthey and Grossmann, 1997; *Hypsicalotes* Manthey and Denzer, 2000; *Coryphophylax* Fitzinger, 1869; *Aphaniotis* Peters, 1864 (including the subgenus *Proboscisagama* subgen. nov.) (subtribe *Doongagamaiina* subtribe nov.).

SUBTRIBE PETHIYAGODAIINA SUBTRIBE NOV.

(Terminal taxon: *Ceratophora aspera* Günther, 1864)

Herein referred to as: *Pethiyagoda aspera* Günther, 1864)

Diagnosis: This subtribe is best defined by diagnosis of the component genera. Hence the subtribe consists of one or other of the following six:

1/ The genus *Ceratophora* Gray 1835 is diagnosed herein as follows: Tympanum hidden. Body more or less compressed, covered with unequal scales. No dorsal crest; a nuchal crest may be present or absent. No gular sac; no gular fold. A large rostral appendage, at least in the males. No femoral or praeanal pores. The gular scales larger than the ventrals, smooth or feebly keeled; lateral scales are large, equal or unequal; rostral appendage is either scaleless or scaly; or:

2/ *Pethiyagoda* gen. nov. is readily separated from *Ceratophora* (as defined above) and *Manamendraarachchius* gen. nov. as defined within this paper by having gular scales smaller than the ventrals, strongly keeled; lateral scales small; rostral appendage scaly. It is separated from all other *Ceratophora sensu lato* by the presence of a visible and palpable squamosal process (absent in all *Ceratophora sensu lato* other species); or:

3/ *Manamendraarachchius* gen. nov. (type species being *M. karu* Pethiyagoda and Manamendra-Arachchi, 1988) are separated from all other *Ceratophora sensu lato* (as defined above) (except *C. (Jamesschulteus) tennentii* and *Pethiyagoda aspera*) by the rostral appendage being complex, comprising more scales than rostral scale alone (vs. rostral appendage restricted to rostral scale alone in *C. erdeleni* and *C. stoddarti*). It is distinguished from *C. (Jamesschulteus) tennentii* by the presence of prominent superciliary scales, versus absent in *C. (Jamesschulteus) tennentii* and from *Pethiyagoda aspera* by the absence of a palpable squamosal process versus squamosal process present in *P. Aspera*; or:

4/ *Cophotis* Peters, 1861 is defined by the following suite of characters: Mouth large; teeth erect in both jaws. Incisors small and conical. No praeanal or femoral pores (as opposed to the callous pore-like swelling of the preanal scales of the males in the genera *Agama* Daudin, 1802, *Uromastix* Merrem, 1820 and *Xenagama* Boulenger, 1895); tympanum hidden. Five toes. A dorsal crest: tail prehensile. Body compressed, covered with large subequal irregular scales. A very small gular sac in both sexes; a slight transverse gular fold; or:

5/ *Pseudocophotis* Manthey and Grossmann, 1997 is defined as for the genus *Cophotis* Peters, 1861 (diagnosed above), but separated from it by the following suite of characters: A rostral appendage consisting of a small pointed horn-like scale; a fringe of elongated scales along the supraciliary edge. Nuchal crest composed of nine larger and several smaller lobes; dorsal crest composed of eighteen lobes; the crest extends on more than three fourths of the length of the tail. Caudal scales as large ventrally as dorsally. Body and tail with broad brown cross bands. Head marmorated with brown, or:

6/ *Lyriocephalus* Merrem, 1820 is defined by the following suite of characters: Mouth large; teeth erect in both jaws. Incisors small and conical. No praeanal or femoral pores (as opposed to the callous pore-like swelling of the preanal scales of the males in the genera *Agama* Daudin, 1802, *Uromastix* Merrem, 1820

and *Xenagama* Boulenger, 1895); tympanum hidden. Five toes. A dorsal crest; a V-shaped gular fold; a bony supraorbital arch. Body compressed, covered with small scales intermixed with enlarged ones. A nuchal and a dorsal crest. A gular sac and a V-shaped gular fold. Adult with a globular hump on the nose.

Pre and post-orbital bones forming an arch limiting a supraorbital fossa.

Distribution: Southern and South-east Asia.

Content: *Pethiyagodus gen. nov.*; *Ceratophora* Gray 1835; *Manamendraarachchius gen. nov.*; *Cophotis* Peters, 1861; *Pseudocophotis* Manthey and Grossmann, 1997; *Lyriocephalus* Merrem, 1820.

SUBTRIBE DOONGAGAMAIIINA SUBTRIBE NOV.

(Terminal taxon: *Gonocephalus mjobergi* Smith, 1925)

Identified herein as *Doongagama mjobergi* (Smith, 1925)

Diagnosis: This subtribe is best defined by diagnosis of the seven component genera. Hence the subtribe consists of one or other of the following seven:

1/ *Gonocephalus* Kaup, 1825 is defined as follows: Tympanum distinct. Body compressed. Dorsal scales small, uniform or intermixed with enlarged ones. A dorsal crest, A strong transverse gular fold. Males with a gular sac. No praeanal or femoral pores. Basal scales are adjacent to the nuchal and dorsal crests, a transverse gular fold, a sharp canthus rostralis and an "angled" supraciliary ridge; or:

2/ *Doongagama gen. nov.* (monotypic for the species *Doongagama mjobergi* Smith, 1925), are separated from all species of *Gonocephalus* and *Darainagama gen. nov.* (within the tribe *Darainagamaiini tribe nov.*) as defined within this paper by the unique presence of two parallel longitudinal gular folds, a trait seen in none of the other species.

In more detail the genus *Doongagama gen. nov.* is defined as follows: A feature clearly distinguishing *D. mjobergi* from all other *Gonocephalus* is the possession of enlarged dorsolateral scales forming oblique rows. The first row is located in the shoulder region and two distinct rows across the can be seen. Dorsolaterally enlarged scales are present in some species of *Gonocephalus* from Sumatra, in particular *G. megalipsis* (Bleeker, 1860), *G. klossi* Boulenger, 1920 and *G. lacunosus* Manthey and Denzer, 1991 but never in such a geometrical arrangement as can be found in the type specimen of *D. mjobergi*. Another feature in *D. mjobergi* is the possession of an enlarged platelike scale below the tympanum which is separated from the tympanum by two rows of small scales.

As already mentioned, *D. mjobergi* possesses two parallel longitudinal gular folds. No other *Gonocephalus* species possesses this particular character.

Both folds start on the distal part of the gular region approximately bordering the serrated edge in the middle line of the pouch. The outer one runs nearly parallel to the centre line of the gular pouch and continues onto the anterior part of the chest. It partially conceals the *Gonocephalus*-type typical transverse fold as seen in these lizards. The inner folds are shorter and curve inside towards the centre line. This feature is very similar to the longitudinal gular folds known from species of the genera *Ptyctolaemus* Peters, 1864 and *Mantheyus* Ananjeva and Stuart, 2001. Ananjeva and Stuart (2001) depict the gular region of the latter two genera. The arrangement and colouration in *D. mjobergi* is rather comparable to that in *Ptyctolaemus*. It consists of two rows (grayish white in alcohol) with dark colouration of the skin in between. This indicates that this character independently developed in *Ptyctolaemus*, *Mantheyus* and *D. mjobergi* and seems to be an autapomorphy. All three also lack a transverse gular fold present in *Gonocephalus*. However, *D. mjobergi* is by no means congeneric with either *Ptyctolaemus* or *Mantheyus*. Both genera *Ptyctolaemus* and *Mantheyus* have scaled tympani as opposed to *D. mjobergi*; additionally, *Mantheyus* has femoral pores. Within this cluster of genera (each of different tribe) only *D. mjobergi* shows oblique

rows of enlarged dorsolateral scales. Because of the type specimen of *D. mjobergi* is a female it has been hypothesized that the gular sac will be even larger in male specimens which would distinguish it further from the genera discussed here with the exception of *Darainagama robinsonii*; or:

3/ The genus *Bronchocela* Kaup, 1827 (including subgenus *Ferebronchocela subgen. nov.*) is defined and separated from other agamid genera as follows: No fold in front of the shoulder; lateral scales pointing backwards and downwards; ventral scales larger than latero-dorsals; fourth finger nearly the same length as the fifth toe or rarely longer; or:

4/ The genus *Complicitus* Manthey and Grossmann, 1997 includes a single species formerly placed within *Bronchocela* Kaup, 1827, but now monotypic in a separate genus. *Complicitus* is separated from *Bronchocela* by having a unique combination of lateral gular sac pockets and almost granular scutellation of the gular sac; or:

5/ The genus *Hypsicalotes* Manthey and Denzer, 2000 was defined by these authors in detail. The following diagnosis is adapted from their paper and is produced herein, noting that the placement of this genus within this tribe (and defined subtribe) is tentative. The monotypic genus *Hypsicalotes* Manthey and Denzer, 2000, known only from three specimens taken at Mt. Kinabalu, Sabah, Malaysia is thus defined as follows: A large species (up to 145 mm SVL), morphometrically similar to *Calotes* with medium sized hindlimbs (44% SVL) and tail (230% SVL); dorsal scales heterogeneous in size, form and arrangement, larger than ventrals; side of head below tympanum covered with an extremely enlarged plate approximately same size as orbit of eye (see Fig. 1 in Manthey and Denzer 2000); nuchal and dorsal crest clearly separated, present at least in males and continuing on tail; males with well developed gular pouch with long lanceolate scales along anterior edge (see Fig. 2 in Manthey and Denzer 2000); scales on gular pouch minute, heterogeneous in shape (oval to rhombic) and size; scalation on lower surface of head extremely heterogeneous in shape and size. Tail swollen behind base, posterior part strongly compressed.

Hypsicalotes differs from all other agamid genera (except *Hypsilurus* Peters, 1867) by the possession of large plates on both sides of head, heterogeneous, partly very large scalation on lower surface of head, by distinctive, lanceolate scales along midline of gular pouch of males as well as minute, mainly oval gular pouch scalation. It is expected that the plate on the sides of the head will be smaller in females as this is true for similar species such as *Hypsicalotes schultzei*. Additionally to all these characteristics it differs from the following genera as follows:

Calotes Cuvier, 1817 by heterogeneous, somewhat irregularly arranged dorsal scalation (vs homogeneous, regularly arranged dorsal scalation) and the separation of nuchal and dorsal crest in males (vs a continued vertebral crest).

Bronchocela Kaup, 1827 by absent lateral skinfolds on both sides of neck supported by hyoid apparatus

(vs present), inhomogeneous, somewhat irregularly arranged dorsal scalation (vs homogeneous, regularly arranged dorsal scalation) and ventrals smaller than dorsals (vs ventrals larger than dorsals).

Complicitus Manthey and Grossmann, 1997 by absent lateral pockets on the gular pouch (vs present).

Dendragama Doria, 1888 by absent bony ridges on occipital region (vs present).

Lophocalotes Günther, 1872 by keeled subdigital scales (vs smooth subdigital scales).

Pseudocalotes Fitzinger, 1843 by the possession of a strongly developed nuchal crest consisting of scales in close neighbourhood (vs single standing scales or a poorly developed nuchal crest).

Pseudocophotis Manthey and Grossmann, 1997 by a present

tympanum (vs absent), an absent prehensile tail (vs present), and absent erected superciliary scales (vs present).

As regards the large plates on both sides of head and throat, as well as lanceolate scales along midline of gular pouch *Hypsicalotes* reveals similarities to *Hypsilurus* Peters, 1867.

In *Hypsilurus schultzei* (Urban, 1999) from Papua New Guinea, plates on both sides of head and on ventral surface of head are also present (see Figs. 3-4 in Manthey and Denzer 2000). With the exception of tail length, additional morphometric data are similar to those of *Hypsicalotes*. Other undescribed and described *Hypsilurus* species only possess strongly enlarged scales on both sides of

the head (e.g., *H. papuensis*) or lanceolate scales along median line of gular pouch are present

(e.g., *H. dilophus* and *H. boydii*).

The development of the latter two characters are clearly evolutionary convergence in *Hypsicalotes* and *Hypsilurus*.

Hypsicalotes differs from *Hypsilurus* by the possession of hair-like sense organs (vs lens-like sense organs); a significantly larger dorsal scalation; an absent oblique fold when the gular pouch is adpressed (vs present, see fig. 4 in Manthey and Denzer 2000); equally sized scalation in the anterior and posterior part of the gular pouch (vs a different scalation in the anterior and posterior part of the gular pouch); or:

6/ The genus *Coryphophylax* Fitzinger, 1869 is separated from all other agamids by the following suite of characters: Tympanum distinct. Body compressed. Dorsal scales small, uniform or intermixed with enlarged ones. A dorsal crest, A strong transverse gular fold. Males with a gular sac. No preanal or femoral pores. Supraciliary border normal. Enlarged scales scattered among the dorsals. Nuchal and dorsal crests subcontinuous. Limbs above with subequal scales; or:

7/ The genus *Aphaniotis* Peters, 1864 (including the subgenus *Proboscisagama* subgen. nov.) is defined by the following unique suite of characters: Body compressed, limbs very long and slender. Fifth toe much longer than first. All the scales keeled; the dorsals heterogeneous. A dorsal crest. Probably a gular pouch in the male. No gular fold. Ear concealed. No preanal or femoral pores.

Distribution: Mainly south-east Asia.

Content: *Doongagama* gen. nov.; *Gonocephalus* Kaup, 1825; *Bronchocela* Kaup, 1827 (including subgenus *Ferebronchocela* subgen. nov.); *Complicitus* Manthey and Grossmann, 1997; *Hypsicalotes* Manthey and Denzer, 2000; *Coryphophylax* Fitzinger, 1869; *Aphaniotis* Peters, 1864 (including the subgenus *Proboscisagama* subgen. nov.).

TRIBE JAPALURAIINI TRIBE NOV.

(Terminal taxon: *Japalura variegata* Gray, 1853)

Diagnosis: The tribe Japaluraiini *tribe nov.* is best defined by way of diagnosis of the two component genera. In other words the tribe consists of one of other of:

1/ *Japalura* Gray, 1853 (including the subgenus *Oriotiaris* Günther, 1864) is herein defined as follows: Tympanum hidden or unhidden. Body compressed. Dorsal scales heterogeneous. A dorsal crest. Gular pouch small or absent. An oblique fold in front of the shoulder; gular fold present or absent. Tail feebly compressed. No preanal or femoral pores. An enlarged subocular scale row is absent, or if present is not large enough to cover the space between the supralabials and orbit, thus a moderately enlarged row and one or two smaller rows are present. *Diploderma* Hallowell, 1861 is separated from *Japalura* by having the third and fourth fingers of equal length and only seven upper labials. *Maxhoseragama* gen. nov. are readily separated from *Japalura* (the genus in which it was previously placed) and *Diploderma* by the following suite of characters: having infracaudal scales larger than ventrals; the third and fourth fingers of equal length; does not have seven upper

labials. Furthermore this genus is separated from *Japalura* by the fact that an enlarged subocular scale row is present and prominent or at least moderately so; or:

2/ The genus *Ptyctolaemus* Peters, 1864 (including the subgenus *Mindatagama* subgen. nov.) is diagnosed as follows: The most obvious character that distinguishes the genus *Ptyctolaemus* from other genera of the subfamily Draconinae (*sensu* Macey *et al.* 2000) or Group V agamids (*sensu* Moody 1980) of mainland southeast Asia is that the male *Ptyctolaemus* have longitudinal gular folds on either side of the midline with the posterior portion of the folds curving medially on each side of the throat. However, these folds are only evident when the gular pouch is in a relaxed position (as seen in preserved specimens). The folds are formed when the gular pouch is relaxed in an accordion-like fashion, the folds become more pronounced because the scales within the folds are darkly pigmented.

The only other southeast Asian genus with gular folds is *Mantheyus* Ananjeva and Stuart, 2001; however it has rounded "U"-shaped folds encompassing the gular sac.

Mantheyus, monotypic for *M. phuwuanensis* also differs from *Ptyctolaemus* and all other draconines by the presence of femoral pores (Ananjeva and Stuart 2001).

The species *Ptyctolaemus collicristatus* Schulte and Vindum, 2004 (subgenus *Mindatagama* subgen. nov.) can be distinguished from *P. gularis* (subgenus *Ptyctolaemus*) by having a more prominent nuchal crest comprised of larger, flattened, triangular, scales, consisting of fewer scales in adult males (15-16 versus 17-30 scales); a shorter tail, with an average Tail length:SVL ratio of 1.99 versus 2.24; stouter and shorter limbs; and more heterogeneity among dorsal and lateral scales.

Distribution: Southern Asia.

Content: *Japalura* Gray, 1853; *Ptyctolaemus* Peters, 1864.

TRIBE LOPHOCALOTESIINI TRIBE NOV.

(Terminal taxon: *Calotes ludekingi* Bleeker, 1860)

(Now usually referred to as: *Lophocalotes ludekingi* (Bleeker, 1860))

Diagnosis: The tribe is monotypic for the genus *Lophocalotes* Günther, 1872, which is in turn monotypic for the species *Lophocalotes ludekingi* (Bleeker, 1860). All three are readily separated from all other agamids by the following suite of characters: Mouth large; teeth erect in both jaws. Incisors small and conical. No true preanal or femoral pores (as opposed to the callous pore-like swelling of the preanal scales of the males in the genera *Agama* Daudin, 1802, *Uromastix* Merrem, 1820 and *Xenagama* Boulenger, 1895). Tympanum large and distinct. Body compressed, covered with large subequal keeled scales. A dorsal crest. No gular sac; a transverse gular fold. Digits are not keeled inferiorly, these being smooth subdigital lamellae on fingers and toes. The head is large and expanded below the ears.

Distribution: Highlands of central west Sumatra.

Content: *Lophocalotes* Günther, 1872.

TRIBE PHOXOPHRYIINI TRIBE NOV.

(Terminal taxon: *Phoxophrys tuberculata* Hubrecht, 1881)

Diagnosis: *Phoxophryiini* *tribe nov.* are separated from all other agamids by the following unique suite of characters: Mouth large; teeth erect in both jaws. Incisors small and conical. No true preanal or femoral pores. No dorsal crest; tympanum hidden. Back and sides covered with small smooth scales, intermixed with larger keeled ones, and with very large multicarinate conical tubercles. A row of longer crest-scales above the eye. Upper surface of head covered with conical tubercles.

Distribution: Sumatra and Borneo only.

Content: *Phoxophrys* Hubrecht, 1881 (including the three subgenera as identified within this paper).

TRIBE MANTHEYIINI TRIBE NOV.

(Terminal taxon: *Ptyctolaemus phuwanensis* Manthey and Nabhitabhata, 1991)

Diagnosis: Mantheyiini *tribe nov.* monotypic for the genus *Mantheyus* Ananjeva and Stuart, 2001 and monotypic for the species *M. phuwanensis* Manthey and Nabhitabhata, 1991 differs from the morphologically similar *Ptyctolaemus* Peters, 1864 and all other draconines by the presence of femoral pores, and from all other agamids by having the combination of femoral pores and haired skin sense organs.

Distribution: North eastern Thailand and west central Laos.

Content: *Mantheyus* Ananjeva and Stuart, 2001.

TRIBE DENDRAGAMAIIINI TRIBE NOV.

(Terminal taxon *Dendragama boulengeri* Doria, 1888)

Diagnosis: *Dendragama* *tribe nov.* is defined as follows: Body compressed; covered with equal or slightly unequal scales. Tympanum distinct; a slight dorsal crest; a gular sac; no fold across the throat; no fold in front of the shoulder; no preanal or femoral pores. Further details of the diagnostics of the relevant species within the genus (as an added part of this diagnosis) are in de Rooij (1915) available on the internet.

Distribution: Java and Sumatra (Indonesia) only.

Content: *Dendragama* Doria, 1888.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

This author reports no conflict of interest in terms of any material within this paper.

Species list for the Subfamily Draconinae Fitzinger, 1826.

Tribe Dracoini *tribe nov.*

Genus *Draco* Linnaeus, 1758.

Subgenus *Draco* Linnaeus, 1758.

Draco (Draco) volans Linnaeus, 1758 (type species);

D. (Draco) affinis Bartlett, 1895;

D. (Draco) boschmai Hennig, 1936;

D. (Draco) cornutus Günther, 1864;

D. (Draco) cyanopterus Peters, 1867;

D. (Draco) guentheri Boulenger, 1885;

D. (Draco) jareckii Lazell, 1992;

D. (Draco) ornatus (Gray, 1845);

D. (Draco) palawanensis McGuire and Alcalá, 2000;

D. (Draco) quadrasi Boettger, 1893;

D. (Draco) reticulatus Günther, 1864;

D. (Draco) spilopterus (Wiegmann, 1834);

D. (Draco) sumatranus Schlegel, 1844;

D. (Draco) timorensis Kuhl, 1820.

Subgenus *Macquiredraco subgen. nov.*

Draco (Macquiredraco) bourouniensis Lesson, 1834 (type species);

D. (Macquiredraco) beccarii Peters and Doria, 1878;

D. (Macquiredraco) biaro Lazell, 1987;

D. (Macquiredraco) caerulhians Lazell, 1992;

D. (Macquiredraco) iskandari McGuire, Brown, Mumpini, Riyanto and Andayani, 2007;

D. (Macquiredraco) rhytisma Musters, 1983;

D. (Macquiredraco) spilonotus Günther, 1872;

D. (Macquiredraco) supriatnai McGuire, Brown, Mumpini, Riyanto and Andayani, 2007;

D. (Macquiredraco) walkeri Boulenger, 1891.

Subgenus *Somniadraco subgen. nov.*

Draco (Somniadraco) blanfordii Blanford, 1878 (type species);

D. (Somniadraco) formosus Boulenger, 1900;

D. (Somniadraco) haematopogon Gray, 1831;

D. (Somniadraco) indochinensis Smith, 1928;

D. (Somniadraco) obscurus Boulenger, 1887;

D. (Somniadraco) maximus Boulenger, 1893;

D. (Somniadraco) melanopogon Boulenger, 1887;

D. (Somniadraco) mindanensis Stejneger, 1908;

D. (Somniadraco) norvillii Alcock, 1895;

D. (Somniadraco) quinquefasciatus Hardwicke and Gray, 1827;

D. (Somniadraco) taeniopterus Günther, 1861.

Subgenus *Rhacodracon* Fitzinger, 1843.

Draco (Rhacodracon) fimbriatus Kuhl, 1820 (type species);

D. (Rhacodracon) abbreviatus Hardwicke and Gray, 1827;

D. (Rhacodracon) cristatellus Günther, 1872.

Subgenus *Dracontoides* Fitzinger, 1843.

Draco (Dracontoides) lineatus Daudin, 1802 (monotypic).

Subgenus *Pterosaurus* Fitzinger, 1843.

Draco (Pterosaurus) dussumieri Duméril and Bibron, 1837 (monotypic).

Subgenus *Philippinedraco subgen. nov.*

Draco (Philippinedraco) bimaculatus Günther, 1864 (monotypic).

Subgenus *Engannodraco subgen. nov.*

Draco (Engannodraco) modiglianii Vinciguerra, 1892 (monotypic).

Subgenus *Spottydraco subgen. nov.*

Draco (Spottydraco) maculatus (Gray, 1845) (type species);

D. (Spottydraco) divergens Taylor, 1934;

D. (Spottydraco) haasei Boettger, 1893;

D. (Spottydraco) whiteheadi Boulenger, 1900.

Tribe Maxhoseragamiini *tribe nov.*

Subtribe Maxhoseragamiina *subtribe nov.*

Genus *Maxhoseragama gen. nov.*

Subgenus *Maxhoseragama subgen. nov.*

Maxhoseragama (Maxhoseragama) splendida (Barbour and Dunn, 1919) (type species);

M. (Maxhoseragama) batangensis (Li, Deng, Wu and Wang, 2001);

M. (Maxhoseragama) brevicauda (Manthey, Denzer, Hou and Wang, 2012);

M. (Maxhoseragama) chapaensis (Bourret, 1937);

M. (Maxhoseragama) dymondi (Boulenger, 1906);

M. (Maxhoseragama) fasciata (Mertens, 1926);

M. (Maxhoseragama) flaviceps (Barbour and Dunn, 1919);

M. (Maxhoseragama) grahami (Stejneger, 1924);

M. (Maxhoseragama) hamptoni (Smith, 1935);

M. (Maxhoseragama) micangshanensis (Song, 1987);

M. (Maxhoseragama) varcoae (Boulenger, 1918);
M. (Maxhoseragama) yulongensis (Manthey, Denzer, Hou and Wang, 2012);
M. (Maxhoseragama) yunnanensis (Anderson, 1878);
M. (Maxhoseragama) zhaoermii (Goa and Hou, 2002).
Subgenus Eksteinagama subgen. nov.
Maxhoseragama (Eksteinagama) swinhonis (Günther, 1864) (type species);
M. (Eksteinagama) brevipes (Gressitt, 1936);
M. (Eksteinagama) luei (Ota, Chen and Shang, 1998);
M. (Eksteinagama) makii (Ota, 1989).
Genus Notacalotes gen. nov.
Notacalotes andamanensis (Boulenger, 1891) (monotypic).
Genus Pseudocalotes Fitzinger, 1843.
Subgenus Pseudocalotes Fitzinger, 1843.
Pseudocalotes (Pseudocalotes) tympanistriga (Gray, 1831) (type species);
P. (Pseudocalotes) dringi Hallermann and Böhme, 2000;
P. (Pseudocalotes) flavigula (Smith, 1924);
P. (Pseudocalotes) larutensis Hallermann and McGuire, 2001;
P. (Pseudocalotes) saravacensis Inger and Stuebing, 1994;
P. (Pseudocalotes) sumatrana (Hubrecht, 1879).
Subgenus Paracalotes Bourret, 1939.
Pseudocalotes (Paracalotes) poilani (Bourret, 1939) (type species);
P. (Paracalotes) brevipes (Werner, 1904);
P. (Paracalotes) floweri (Boulenger, 1912);
P. (Paracalotes) kakhienensis (Anderson, 1879);
P. (Paracalotes) khaonanensis Chan-ard, Cota, Makchai and Laoteow, 2008;
P. (Paracalotes) kingdonwardi (Smith, 1935);
P. (Paracalotes) microlepis (Boulenger, 1888);
P. (Paracalotes) poilani (Bourret, 1939);
P. (Paracalotes) zieglerei Hallermann, Truong, Orlov and Ananjeva, 2010.
Subgenus Mictopholis Smith, 1935.
Pseudocalotes (Mictopholis) austeniana (Annandale, 1908).
Subtribe Sitanaiina subtribe nov.
Genus Sitana Cuvier, 1829.
Sitana ponticeriana Cuvier, 1829 (type species);
S. fusca Schleich and Kästle, 1998;
S. schleichi Anders and Kästle, 2002;
S. sivalensis Schleich, Kästle and Shah, 1998.
Genus Otocryptis Wagler, 1830.
Otocryptis wiegmanni Wagler, 1830 (monotypic).
Subtribe Acanthosauriina subtribe nov.
Genus Acanthosaura Gray, 1831.
Acanthosaura armata (Gray, 1827) (type species);
A. bintangensis Wood, Grismer, Grismer, Ahmad, Onn and Bauer, 2009;
A. brachypoda Ananjeva, Orlov, Nguyen and Ryabov, 2011;
A. capra Günther, 1861;
A. cardamomensis Wood, Grismer, Grismer, Neang, Chav and Holden, 2010;
A. coronata Günther, 1861;
A. crucigera Boulenger, 1885;
A. lepidogaster (Cuvier, 1829);
A. nataliae Orlov, Truong and Sang, 2006;

A. titiwangsaensis Wood, Grismer, Grismer, Ahmad, Onn and Bauer, 2009.
Genus Oriocalotes Günther 1864.
Oriocalotes paulus Smith, 1935 (monotypic).
Subtribe Saleaiina subtribe nov.
Genus Salea Gray, 1845.
Subgenus Salea Gray, 1845.
Salea horsfieldii Gray, 1845 (type species);
S. gularis Blyth, 1854 (added tentatively).
Subgenus Lophosalea Beddome, 1878.
Salea (Salea) anamallayana (Beddome, 1878) (monotypic).
Tribe Crottyagamiini tribe nov.
Genus Crottyagama gen. nov.
Subgenus Crottyagama gen. nov.
Crottyagama (Crottyagama) mystaceus (Duméri and Bibron, 1837) (type species);
C. (Crottyagama) bachae (Hartmann, Geissler, Poyarkov, Ihlow, Galoyan, Rodder and Bohme, 2013).
Subgenus Freudcalotes subgen. nov.
Crottyagama (Freudcalotes) emma (Gray, 1845) (type species);
C. (Freudcalotes) chincollium (Vindum, 2003).
Subgenus Khasicalotes subgen. nov.
Crottyagama (Khasicalotes) jerdoni (Günther, 1870) (monotypic).
Subgenus Amboncalotes subgen. nov.
Crottyagama (Amboncalotes) nigriplicatus (Hallermann, 2000) (monotypic).
Genus Skrijelus gen. nov.
Skrijelus rouxii (Duméri and Bibron, 1837) (type species);
S. ellioti (Günther, 1864).
Genus Calotes Daudin, 1802.
Subgenus Calotes Daudin, 1802.
Calotes (Calotes) calotes (Linnaeus, 1758) (type species);
C. (Calotes) htunwini Zug and Vindum, 2006.
Subgenus Rubercalotes subgen. nov.
Calotes (Rubercalotes) versicolor (Daudin, 1802) (type species);
C. (Rubercalotes) bhutanensis Biswas, 1975;
C. (Rubercalotes) irawadi Zug, Brown, Schulte and Vindum, 2006;
C. (Rubercalotes) maria Gray, 1845;
C. (Rubercalotes) medogensis Zhao and Li, 1984.
Subgenus Ghatscalotes subgen. nov.
Calotes (Ghatscalotes) nemoricola Jerdon, 1853 (type species);
C. (Ghatscalotes) grandisquamis Günther, 1875.
Subgenus Laccadivecalotes subgen. nov.
Calotes (Laccadivecalotes) nigrilabris Peters, 1860 (type);
C. (Laccadivecalotes) desilvai Bahir and Maduwage, 2005;
C. (Laccadivecalotes) liolepis Boulenger, 1885.
Subgenus Ceyloncalotes subgen. nov.
Calotes (Ceyloncalotes) liocephalus Günther, 1872 (type species);
C. (Ceyloncalotes) ceylonensis Müller, 1887;
C. (Ceyloncalotes) pethiyagodai Amarasinghe, Karunaratna and Hallermann, 2014.
Subgenus Tamilnaduicalotes subgen. nov.
Calotes (Tamilnaduicalotes) aurantolabium Krishnan, 2008 (monotypic).

Tribe Daraninagamaiini tribe nov.**Genus Daraninagama gen. nov.***Daraninagama robinsonii* (Boulenger, 1908) (monotypic).**Genus Diploderma Hallowell, 1861.***Diploderma polygonatum* Hallowell, 1861 (monotypic).**Tribe Pethiyagodaiini tribe nov.****Subtribe Pethiyagodaiina subtribe nov.****Genus Pethiyagodaus gen. nov.***Pethiyagodaus aspera* (Günther, 1864) (monotypic).**Genus Ceratophora Gray 1835.****Subgenus Ceratophora Gray 1835.***Ceratophora (Ceratophora) stoddartii* Gray, 1834 (type);
C. (Ceratophora) erdeleni Pethiyagoda and Manamendra-Arachchi, 1998.**Subgenus Jamesschulteus subgen. nov.***Ceratophora (Jamesschulteus) tennentii* Günther, 1861 (monotypic).**Genus Manamendraarachchius gen. nov.***Manamendraarachchius karu* (Pethiyagoda and Manamendra-Arachchi, 1988) (monotypic).**Genus: Cophotis Peters, 1861.***Cophotis ceylanica* Peters, 1861 (type species);*Cophotis dumbara* Samarawickrama, Ranawana, Rajapaksha, Ananjeva, Orlov, Ranasinghe and Samarawickrama, 2006.**Genus Pseudocophotis Manthey and Grossmann, 1997.***Pseudocophotis sumatrana* (Hubrecht, 1879) (type species);
Pseudocophotis kontumensis Ananjeva, Orlov, Truong and Nazarov, 2007.**Genus Lyriocephalus Merrem, 1820.***Lyriocephalus scutatus* (Linnaeus, 1758) (monotypic).**Subtribe Doongagamaiina subtribe nov.****Genus Doongagama gen. nov.***Doongagama mjobergi* Smith, 1925 (monotypic).**Genus Gonocephalus Kaup, 1825.****Subgenus Gonocephalus Kaup, 1825.***Gonocephalus chamaeleontinus* (Laurenti, 1768) (type);*Gonocephalus abbotti* Cochran, 1922;*Gonocephalus doriae* (Peters, 1871);*Gonocephalus kuhlii* (Schlegel, 1848).**Subgenus Dilophyrus Gray, 1845.***Gonocephalus (Dilophyrus) grandis* (Gray, 1845) (monotypic).**Subgenus Honlamagama subgen. nov.***Gonocephalus (Honlamagama) sophiae* (Gray, 1845) (type species);*G. (Honlamagama) interruptus* (Boulenger, 1885);*G. (Honlamagama) semperi* (Peters, 1867).**Subgenus Mantheyagama subgen. nov.***Gonocephalus bornensis* (Schlegel, 1848) (type species);*Gonocephalus beyschlagi* (Boettger, 1892);*Gonocephalus bellii* (Duméril and Bibron, 1837);*Gonocephalus liogaster* (Günther, 1872).**Subgenus Denzeragama subgen. nov.***Gonocephalus (Denzeragama) megalepis* (Bleeker, 1860) (type species);*G. (Denzeragama) klossi* (Boulenger, 1920);*G. (Denzeragama) lacunosus* Manthey and Denzer, 1991.**Genus Bronchocela Kaup, 1827.****Subgenus Bronchocela Kaup, 1827.***Bronchocela cristatella* (Kuhl, 1820) (type species);*Bronchocela celebensis* Gray, 1845;*Bronchocela danieli* (Tiwari and Biswas, 1973);*Bronchocela harradineus* sp. nov.;*Bronchocela hayeki* (Müller, 1928);*Bronchocela marmorata* Gray, 1845;*Bronchocela rubrigularis* Hallermann, 2009;*Bronchocela smaragdina* Günther, 1864;*Bronchocela vietnamensis* Hallermann and Orlov, 2005.**Subgenus Ferebronchocela subgen. nov.***Bronchocela (Ferebronchocela) jubata* (Duméril and Bibron, 1837) (type species);*B. (Ferebronchocela) orlovi* Hallermann, 2004.**Genus Complicitus Manthey and Grossmann, 1997.***Complicitus nigrigularis* (Ota and Hikida, 1991) (monotypic).**Genus Hypsicalotes Manthey and Denzer, 2000.***Hypsicalotes kinabaluensis* (De Grijis, 1937) (monotypic).**Genus Coryphophylax Fitzinger, 1869.***Coryphophylax subcristatus* (Blyth, 1860) (type species);*Coryphophylax brevicaudus* Harikrishnan, Vasudevan, Chandramouli, Choudhury, Dutta and Das, 2012.**Genus Aphaniotis Peters, 1864.****Subgenus Aphaniotis Peters, 1864.***Aphaniotis fusca* (Peters, 1864) (type species);*A. acutirostris* Modigliani, 1889.**Subgenus Proboscisagama subgen. nov.***Aphaniotis (Proboscisagama) ornata* (Lidth de Juede, 1893) (monotypic).**Tribe Japaluraiini tribe nov.****Genus Japalura Gray, 1853.****Subgenus Japalura Gray, 1853.***Japalura variegata* Gray, 1853 (type for subgenus);*J. andersoniana* Annandale, 1905;*J. otai* Mahony, 2009;*J. planidorsata* Jerdon, 1870;*J. sagittifera* Smith, 1940.**Subgenus Oriotiaris Günther, 1864.***Japalura (Oriotiaris) tricarinata* (Blyth, 1853) (type species);*J. (Oriotiaris) dasi* (Shah and Kästle, 2002);*J. (Oriotiaris) kumaonensis* (Annandale, 1907);*J. (Oriotiaris) major* (Jerdon, 1870).**Genus Ptyctolaemus Peters, 1864.****Subgenus Ptyctolaemus Peters, 1864.***Ptyctolaemus gularis* (Peters, 1864) (monotypic).**Subgenus Mindatagama subgen. nov.***Ptyctolaemus (Mindatagama) collicristatus* Schulte and Vindum, 2004 (monotypic).**Tribe Lophocalotesiini tribe nov.***Lophocalotes ludekingi* (Bleeker, 1860) (monotypic).**Tribe Phoxophryiini tribe nov.****Genus Phoxophrys Hubrecht, 1881.****Subgenus Phoxophrys Hubrecht, 1881.***Phoxophrys (Phoxophrys) tuberculata* Hubrecht, 1881 (monotypic).**Subgenus Pelturagonia Mocquard, 1890.***Phoxophrys (Pelturagonia) cephalum* (Mocquard, 1890) (type)*P. (Pelturagonia) borneensis* Inger, 1960;*P. (Pelturagonia) nigrilabris* (Peters, 1864).**Subgenus Olorenshawagama subgen. nov.***Phoxophrys (Olorenshawagama) spiniceps* Smith, 1925 (monotypic).**Tribe Mantheyiini tribe nov.****Genus Mantheyus Ananjeva and Stuart, 2001.***Mantheyus phuwuanensis* Manthey and Nabhitabhata, 1991 (monotypic).**Tribe Dendragamaiini tribe nov.****Genus Dendragama Doria, 1888.***Dendragama boulengeri* Doria, 1888.

REFERENCES CITED

- Ahl, E. 1926. Neue Eidechsen und Amphibien. *Zool. Anz.* 67:186-192.
- Ahsan, M. F., Asmat, G. S. M. and Chakma, S. 2008. A Second Record of *Ptyctolaemus gularis* (Peters, 1864) from Bangladesh. *Asiatic Herpetological Research* 11:10-12.
- Akeret, B. 2010. Kletterpflanzen und Epiphyten: Charaktergewächse im Wladterarium. *Draco* 11(41):54-63.
- Alcala, A. C. 1986. *Guide to Philippine flora and fauna. Vol. X. Amphibians and reptiles.* Manila, Natural Resources Management Center, Ministry of Natural Resources, University of the Philippines: i-xiv+1-195.
- Alcock, A. 1895. *J. Asiatic Soc. Bengal* 64(1):14.
- Amarasinghe, A. A. T. and Karunarathna, D. M. S. S. 2007. Beobachtungen zum Eiablageverhalten der Indischen Schönechse *Calotes versicolor* (DAUDIN 1802) (Reptilia: Agamidae) in einem anthropogenen Biotop in Sri Lanka. *Sauria* 29(3):27-30.
- Amarasinghe, A. A. T. and Karunarathna, D. M. S. S. 2008. Observations on the Ovipositional Behavior of the Crest-less Lizard *Calotes liocephalus* (Reptilia: Agamidae) in the Knuckles Forest Region of Sri Lanka. *Asiatic Herpetological Research* 11:13-16.
- Amarasinghe, A. A. T., Karunarathna, D. M. S. S. and Warakagoda, D. 2007. The endangered Sri Lanka Whistling Thrush *Myophonus blighi* eats an endangered lizard. *Birding ASIA* 7:83-84.
- Amarasinghe, A. A. T., Karunarathna, D. M. S. S. and Gabadage, D. E. 2009a. Current status of *Calotes liocephalus* Günther, 1872 (Reptilia: Agamidae) of Sri Lanka. *Journal of Threatened Taxa* 1(11):553-557.
- Amarasinghe, A. A. T., Manthey, U., Stöckli, E., Ineich, I., Kullander, S. O., Tiedemann, F. and McCarthy, C. 2009b. The original descriptions and figures of Sri Lankan agamid lizards (Squamata: Agamidae) of the 18th and 19th centuries. *Taprobanica* 1(1):2-15.
- Amarasinghe, A. A. T., Tiedemann, F. and Karunarathna, D. M. S. S. 2011. *Calotes nigrilabris* Peters, 1860 (Reptilia: Agamidae: Draconinae): a threatened highland agamid lizard in Sri Lanka. *Amphibian and Reptile Conservation* 5(2):33-43(e32).
- Amarasinghe, A. A. T., Suranjan Karunarathna, D. M. S., Hallermann, J. and Junichi Fujinuma, H. 2014. A new species of the genus *Calotes* (Squamata: Agamidae) from high elevations of the Knuckles Massif of Sri Lanka. *Zootaxa* 3785(1):59-78.
- Ananjeva, N. B. and Stuart, B. L. 2001. The agamid lizard *Ptyctolaemus phuwuanensis* MANTHEY AND NABHITABHATA, 1991 from Thailand and Laos represents a new genus. *Russ. J. Herpetol.* 8(3):165-170.
- Ananjeva, N. B., Orlov, N. L., Nguyen, Q. T. and Nazarov, R. A. 2007. A new species of *Pseudocophotis* (Agamidae: Acrodonta: Lacertilia: Reptilia) from central Vietnam. *Russian Journal of Herpetology* 14(2):153-160.
- Ananjeva, N. B., Orlov, N. L. and Kalyabina-Hauf, S. A. 2008. Species of *Acanthosaura* Gray, 1831 (Agamidae: Sauria, Reptilia) of Vietnam: Results of molecular and morphological study. *Biology Bulletin (MAIK Nauka)* 35(2):178-186.
- Ananjeva, N. B., Guo, X. and Wang, Y. 2011a. Taxonomic Diversity of Agamid Lizards (Reptilia, Sauria, Acrodonta, Agamidae) from China: A Comparative Analysis. *Asian Herpetological Research* 2(3):117-128.
- Ananjeva, N. B., Orlov, N. L., Nguyen, T. T. and Ryabov, S. A. 2011b. A New Species of *Acanthosaura* (Agamidae, Sauria) from Northwest Vietnam. *Russian Journal of Herpetology* 18(3):195-202.
- Anderson, J. 1879. Reptilia and Amphibia. pp. 705-860 in: Quarich, B. (ed.) *Anatomical and Zoological Researches: Comprising an Account of the Zoological Results of the Two Expeditions to Western Yunnan in 1868 and 1875.* London.
- Andersson, L. G. 1900. Catalogue of Linnean type-specimens of Linnaeus's Reptilia in the Royal Museum in Stockholm. *Bihang till Kongliga Svenska Vetenskaps-Akademiens. Handlingar.* Stockholm. (4)26(1):1-29.
- Andrews, R. M., Brandley, M. C. and Greene, V. W. 2013. Developmental sequences of squamate reptiles are taxon specific. *Evolution and Development*, 15:326-343. doi: 10.1111/ede.12042.
- Annandale, N. 1905. Contributions to Oriental Herpetology. Suppl. II. Notes on the Oriental lizards in the Indian Museum, with a list of the species recorded from British India and Ceylon. *J. Proc. Asiat. Soc. Bengal* (2)1:81-93.
- Annandale, N. 1908. Description of a new Species of Lizard of the Genus *Salea* from Assam. *Records of the Indian Museum* 2:97:37-38.
- Annandale, N. 1909. Report on a small collection of lizards from Travancore. *Records of the Indian Museum* 3:253-257.
- Annandale, N. 1912. Zoological results of the Abor Expedition, 1911-1912. *Records of the Indian Museum* 8(1):7-59 [Reptilia, pages 37-59] (supplement in same journal, 8(4):357-358 (1914).
- Asana, J. 1931. The natural history of *Calotes versicolor*, the common blood sucker. *J. Bombay Nat. Hist. Soc.* 34:1041-1047.
- Asela, M. D. C. et al. 2007. Some notes on egg laying of *Calotes liolepis* Boulenger, 1885 (Reptilia: Agamidae) observed in two wet zone forests of Sri Lanka. *Zoos* 22(12):2941-2942.
- Asela, M. D. C. et al. 2012. Natural history and current distribution patterns of *Calotes liolepis* Boulenger, 1885 (Reptilia: Agamidae: Draconinae) in Sri Lanka. *Herpetotropicos* 8(1-2):39-48.
- Athreya, R. 2006. *Eaglenest Biodiversity Project (2003-2006): Conservation resources for Eaglenest wildlife sanctuary.* Kaati Trust, Pune:196 pp.
- Auffenberg, W. 1980. The herpetofauna of Komodo, with notes on adjacent areas. *Bulletin of the Florida State Museum* 25(2):39-156.
- Auffenberg, W. and Rehman, H. 1993. Studies on Pakistan reptiles. Pt. 3. *Calotes versicolor*. *Asiatic Herpetological Research* 5:14-30.
- Auffenberg, W. and Rehman, H. 1995. *Calotes versicolor nigrigularis* Auffenberg and Rehman 1993 a junior primary homonym. *Asiatic Herpetological Research* 6:27.
- Auliya, M. 2006. *Taxonomy, Life History, and conservation of giant reptiles in west Kalimantan.* Natur und Tier Verlag, Münster:432 pp.
- Bahir, M. M. and de Silva, A. 2005. *Otocryptis nigristigma*, a new species of agamid lizard from Sri Lanka. *Raffles Bull. Zool., Suppl. No. 12*:393-406.
- Bahir, M. M. and Gabadage, D. E. 2009. Taxonomic and scientific inaccuracies in a consultancy report on biodiversity: a cautionary note. *Journal of Threatened Taxa* 1(6):317-322.
- Bahir, M. M. and Maduwage, K. P. 2005. *Calotes desilvai*, a new species of agamid lizard from Morningside Forest, Sri Lanka. *Raffles Bull. Zool., Suppl. No. 12*:381-392.
- Bahir, M. M. and Surasinghe, T. 2005. A conservation assessment of the Sri Lankan Agamidae (Reptilia: Sauria). *Raffles Bull. Zool., Suppl. No. 12*:407-412.
- Baier, F. 2005. Herpetologische Reiseeindrücke aus Sri Lanka. *Elaphe* 13(4):59-64.
- Baig, K. J., Masroor, R. and Arshad, M. 2008. Biodiversity and ecology of the herpetofauna of Cholistan Desert, Pakistan. *Russian Journal of Herpetology* 15(3):193-205.
- Baig, K. J. et al. 2012. A morphology-based taxonomic revision of *Laudakia* Gray, 1845 (Squamata: Agamidae). *Vertebrate Zoology* 62(2):213-260 (6 July 2012) (Received by *Zoological Record* on 29 November 2012).

- Bain, R. H., Truong, N. Q. and van Kien, D. 2007. New herpetofaunal records from Vietnam. *Herpetological Review* 38(1):107-117.
- Bambaradeniya, C. N. B., Samarawickrema, P. K. and Ranawana, K. B. 1997. Some observations on the natural history of *Lyriocephalus scutatus* (Linnaeus, 1776) (Reptilia: Agamidae). *Lyriocephalus* 3(1):25-28.
- Bandara, I. N. 2012. Territorial and site fidelity behavior of *Lyriocephalus scutatus* (Agamidae: Draconinae) in Sri Lanka. *Amphibian and Reptile Conservation* 5(2):101-113(e56).
- Barbour, T. 1909. Notes on Amphibia and Reptilia from Eastern Asia. *Proc. New England zool. Club* 4:53-78, 2 plates.
- Barbour, T. 1912. A Contribution to the Zoögeography of the East Indian Islands. *Memoirs of the Museum of Comparative Zoölogy* 44(1):1-203.
- Barbour, T. and Dunn, E. R. 1919. Two new Chinese *Japaluras*. *Proc. New England zool. Club* 7:15-19.
- Bartelt, U. 1996. *Ceratophora stoddartii*. Ein "Nashorn" im Terrarium. *DATZ* 49(6):376-379.
- Bartelt, U. 2003. Anmerkungen zur Haltung und Nachzucht der Lyrakopfgame, *Lyriocephalus scutatus* (LINNAEUS 1758). *Draco* 4(14):64-69.
- Bartelt, U., de Bitter, H. and de Bitter, M. 2004. *Lyriocephalus scutatus*: Namkweek tot F3. *Lacerta* 62(1):21-31.
- Bartelt, U., de Bitter, H. and de Bitter, M. 2005. Die Lyrakopfgame (*Lyriocephalus scutatus*). *Reptilia* (Münster) 10(51):41-49.
- Bartelt, U., de Bitter, H. and de Bitter, M. 2005. *Lyriocephalus scutatus* - The Lyrehead Lizard. *Reptilia* (GB) (42):29-36.
- Bartelt, U. and Janzen, P. 2007. Die Hornagame *Ceratophora stoddartii* im Biotop und im Terrarium. *Draco* 7(30):34-37.
- Bartlett, E. 1895. The crocodiles and lizards of Borneo in the Sarawak Museum, with descriptions of supposed new species, and the variation of colours in the several species during life. *Journal of the Straits Branch Royal Asiatic Society Singapore*, 28:73-96.
- Barts, M. and Kwet, A. 2007. Wettlauf um eine Baumagame - *Cophotis dumbara* oder *Cophotis dumbarae*? *Draco* 7(30):91-92.
- Barts, M. and Wilms, T. 2003. Die Agamen der Welt. *Draco* 4(14):4-23.
- Bates, M. F. et al. 2013. A molecular phylogeny of the African plated lizards, genus *Gerrhosaurus* Wiegmann, 1828 (Squamata: Gerrhosauridae), with the description of two new genera. *Zootaxa* 3750 (5): 465-493 (23 December 2013).
- Bauer, A. M. 1998. South Asian Herpetological specimens of historical note in the Zoological Museum, Berlin. *Hamadryad* 23(2):133-149 [1999].
- Bauer, A. M. and Günther, R. 1992. A preliminary report on the reptile fauna of the Kingdom of Bhutan with the description of a new species of scincid lizard (Reptilia: Scincidae). *Asiatic Herpetological Research* 4:23-36.
- Bauer, A. M., Günther, R. and Klipfel, M. 1995. The herpetological contributions of Wilhelm C. H. Peters (1815-1883). *SSAR Facsimile Reprints in Herpetology*:714 pp.
- Baumann, E. 1913. Reptilien und Batrachier des Berner Naturhistorischen Museums aus dem Battak-Gebirge von West-Sumatra. *Zool. Jb. Syst., Jena*, 34:257-278.
- Beddome, R. H. 1878. Description of a new genus of tree-lizard from the higher ranges of the Anamallays. *Proc. Zool. Soc. London* 1877:153.
- Beolens, B., Watkins, M. and Grayson, M. 2011. *The Eponym Dictionary of Reptiles*. Johns Hopkins University Press, Baltimore, USA.
- Berg, M. van den et al. 2013. Traumterrarien. *Reptilia* (Münster) 18(100):16-27.
- Bergmann, P. J., Hobbs, A. M., Kavalanch, M. L. and Russell, A. P. 2004. Modulated but conserved segmental growth of the original tail in *Callisaurus draconoides* (Phrynosomatidae) and *Calotes versicolor* (Agamidae). *Herpetologica* 60(1):62-74.
- Berthold, A. A. 1840. Ueber verschiedene neue oder seltene Amphibienarten. *Abh. Ges. Wiss. Göttingen* 1:47-72.
- Beukema, W. 2011. Herpetofauna of disturbed forest fragments on the lower Mt. Kitanglad Range, Mindanao Island, Philippines. *Salamandra* 47(2):90-98.
- Bhosale, H., Das, A. and Manthey, U. 2013. Neue Fundorte und Farbvariationen von *Japalura andersoniana* ANNANDALE, 1905 (Sauria: Agamidae: Draconinae). *Sauria* 35(3):55-60.
- Biswas, S. 1975. Reptilia from Bhutan with description of a new species of *Calotes Rafinesque*. *J. Bombay Nat. Hist. Soc.* 72:774-777.
- Biswas, S. 1984. Some notes on the reptiles of the Andaman and Nicobar Islands. *J. Bombay Nat. Hist. Society*, 81(2):476-481.
- Blanford, W. T. 1878. Notes on some Reptilia from the Himalayas and Burma. *J. Asiat. Soc. Bengal* (2)xlvi: 125-131.
- Bleeker, P. 1860. Reptilien van Agam. *Natuurkundig Tijdschrift voor Nederlandsch Indie, Batavia*, 20:325-329.
- Blyth, E. 1852. Of reptiles, Dr. Kelaart has forwarded. *J. Asiat. Soc. Bengal* xxi:353-356.
- Blyth, E. 1854. Notices and descriptions of various reptiles, new or little-known. Part I. *J. Asiat. Soc. Bengal* 22[1853]:639-655.
- Blyth, E. 1860. Of reptiles ... [In: Report of the curator, Zoological department, pp. 87-115]. *J. Asiatic Soc. Bengal* 29:107-111.
- Bobrov, V. V. 1995. Checklist and bibliography of lizards of Vietnam. *Smithsonian Herp. Inf. Serv.* (105):1-28.
- Bobrov, V. V. 2013. *Acanthosaura cardamomensis* (Reptilia, Sauria, Agamidae) as a lizard species new for the Vietnam Fauna. *Modern Herpetology* 13(1/2):64-66.
- Bobrov, V. V. and Semenov, D. V. 2008. Lizards of Vietnam [in Russian]. Moscow:236 pp.
- Boettger, O. 1885. Materialien zur herpetologischen Fauna von China I. *Berichte Offenb. Ver. Naturk.*, 24/25:115-170.
- Boettger, O. 1892a. Listen von Kriechtieren und Lurchen aus dem tropischen Asien und aus Papuasien. *Ber. Tät. Offenb. Ver. Nat., Offenbach*, 29-32:65-164.
- Boettger, O. 1892b. *Katalog der Batrachier-Sammlung im Museum der Senckenbergischen Naturforschenden Gesellschaft in Frankfurt/M.* Frankfurt/M (Gebr. Knauer), 73 S.
- Boettger, O. 1893a. *Katalog der Reptilien-Sammlung im Museum der Senckenbergischen Naturforschenden Gesellschaft in Frankfurt am Main. I. Teil (Rhynchocephalen, Schildkröten, Krokodile, Eidechsen, Chamäleons)*. Gebrüder Knauer, Frankfurt a. M., x+140 pp.
- Boettger, O. 1893b. Ein neuer Drache (*Draco*) aus Siam. *Zool. Anz.* 16:429-430.
- Boettger, O. 1893c. Neue Reptilien und Batrachier aus West-Java. *Zool. Anz.* 16:334-340.
- Boettger, O. 1904. Museums-Bericht. I. Zoologische Sammlung. 4. Die Reptilien- und Batrachiersammlung. *Ber. Senckenb. Naturforsch. Ges.* Frankfurt am Main 1904:126-131.
- Böhme, W. 2012. About the Collection of and the Research on Agamid Lizards at the Zoologisches Forschungsmuseum Alexander Koenig (ZFMK) in Bonn, Germany. *Russ. J. Herpetol.* 19(2):101-107.
- Boistel, R., Herrel, A., Lebrun, R., Daghdou, G., Tafforeau, P. and Losos, J. B. 2011. Shake Rattle and Roll: The Bony Labyrinth and Aerial Descent in Squamates. *Integrative and Comparative Biology*, doi:10.1093/icb/acr034
- Bonetti, M. 2002. *100 Sauri*. Mondadori (Milano):192 pp.
- Bong Heang, K. 1987. An annotated checklist of the herpetofauna of Ulu Endau, Johore, Malaysia. *Malayan Nature*

J. 41(2-3):413-423.

Botejue, W., Madhava, S. and Wattavidanage, J. 2012.

Herpetofaunal diversity and distribution in Kalugala proposed forest reserve, Western province of Sri Lanka. *Amphibian and Reptile Conservation* 5 (2):65-80(e38).

Boulenger, G. A. 1883. Report on a collection of reptiles and batrachians from the Timor Laut islands formed by Mr. H. O. Forbes. *Ann. Mag. Nat. Hist.*:386.

Boulenger, G. A. 1885. *Catalogue of the Lizards in the British Museum (Nat. Hist.) I. Geckonidae, Eublepharidae, Uroplatae, Pygopodidae, Agamidae*. London:450 pp.

Boulenger, G. A. 1887a. On new reptiles and batrachians from North Borneo. *Ann. Mag. Nat. Hist.*, (5)20:95-97.

Boulenger, G. A. 1887b. Note on some reptiles from Sumatra described by BLEEKER in 1860. *Ann. Mag. Nat. Hist.* (5)20:152.

Boulenger, G. A. 1887c. *Catalogue of the Lizards in the British Museum (Nat. Hist.) III. Lacertidae, Gerrhosauridae, Scincidae, Anelytropsidae, Dibamidae, Chamaeleontidae*. London:575pp.

Boulenger, G. A. 1887d. An account of the reptiles and batrachians obtained in Tenasserim by M. L. Fea, of the Genova Civic Museum. *Ann. Mus. civ. stor. nat. Genova*, 2. Ser. 5:474-486.

Boulenger, G. A. 1890. *The Fauna of British India, Including Ceylon and Burma. Reptilia and Batrachia*. Taylor and Francis, London, xviii+541 pp.

Boulenger, G. A. 1891a. On new or little known Indian and Malayan reptiles and batrachians. *Ann. Mag. nat. Hist.* (6)8:288-292.

Boulenger, G. A. 1891b. Description of new oriental reptiles and batrachians. *Ann. Mag. Nat. Hist.* (7)6:279-283.

Boulenger, G. A. 1891c. Remarks on the herpetological fauna of Mount Kina baloo, North Borneo. *Ann. Mag. nat. Hist.* (6)7:341-345.

Boulenger, G. A. 1893. Description of new reptiles and batrachians obtained in Borneo by Mr. C. Hose and Mr. A. Everett. *Proc. Zool. Soc. London* 1893:522-528.

Boulenger, G. A. 1897. A catalogue of the Reptiles and Batrachians of Celebes, with special reference to the Collections made by Drs. P. and F. Sarasin in 1893-1896. *Proceedings of the Zoological Society of London* 1897:193-237.

Boulenger, G. A. 1900a. Descriptions of new batrachians and reptiles from the Larut Hills, Perak. *Ann. Mag. Nat. Hist.*, ser. 7, 6:186-193.

Boulenger, G. A. 1900b. On the reptiles, batrachians (and fishes) collected by the late Mr. John Whitehead in the interior of Hainan. *Proc. Zool. Soc. London* 1899:956-959.

Boulenger, G. A. 1906. Descriptions of new reptiles from Yunnan. *Ann. Mag. nat. Hist.* (7)17(102):567-568.

Boulenger, G. A. 1908. Report on the Gunong Tahan expedition, May-Sept. 1905. 111. Fishes, batrachians and reptiles. *J. Fed. Malay St. Mus.*, Singapore, 3:61-69.

Boulenger, G. A. 1912. *A vertebrate fauna of the Malay Peninsula from the Isthmus of Kra to Singapore incl. the adjacent islands. Reptilia and Amphibia*. London (Taylor and Francis), xiii+298 S.

Boulenger, G. A. 1918. Description of a new lizard of the genus *Acanthosaura* from Yunnan. *Ann. Mag. nat. Hist.* (9)2:162.

Boulenger, G. A. 1920. Results of an expedition to Korinchi Peak 12,400 ft, Sumatra. III. Reptiles and batrachians collected in Korinchi, West Sumatra by Messrs. H. C. Robinson and C. B. Kloss. *J. Fed. Malay. St. Mus.*, Singapore, 8:285-296.

Boulenger, G. A., Annandale, N., Wall, F. and Regan, C.T. 1907. Reports on a collection of batrachia, reptiles and fish from Nepal and the western Himalayas. *Lacertilia. Records of the Indian Museum* 1:149-155.

Bourret, R. 1937. Notes herpétologiques sur l'Indochine

française. XV. Lézards et serpents reçu au laboratoire des Sciences Naturelles de l'Université au cours de l'année 1937. Descriptions de deux espèces et de deux variétés nouvelles. *Bulletin Générale de l'Instruction Publique* 5. Gouvernement Général de l'Indochine:57-82.

Bourret, R. 1939. Notes herpétologiques sur l'Indochine française. XVIII. Reptiles et batraciens reçus au Laboratoire des Sciences Naturelles de l'Université au cours de l'année 1939. Descriptions de quatre espèces et d'une variété nouvelles. *Bulletin Général de l'Instruction Publique*. Hanoi. 19(4, décembre):5-39.

Brongersma, L. D. 1948. Lizards from the island of Morotai (Moluccas). *Proc. Koninkl. Ned. Akad. Wet. Ser. C*. 51:486-495.

Brown, R. M., Ferner, J. W., Sison, R. V., Gonzales, P. C. and Kennedy, R. S. 1996. Amphibians and reptiles of the Zambales Mountains of Luzon Island, Republic of the Philippines. *Herpetological Natural History* 4(1):1-22.

Brygoo, É. 1988. Les types d'Agamidés reptiles, sauriens du Muséum National d'Histoire Naturelle. *Catalogue critique. Bulletin du Muséum National d'Histoire Naturelle* 10:1-56.

Burden, W. D. 1927. Staking the Dragon Lizard on the Island of Komodo. *National Geographic* 52(2):216-232.

Campbell, P. et al. 2011. FHF snapshot. *HerpNation* 2.4(7):18-22.

Cantor, T. E. 1847. Catalogue of reptiles inhabiting the Malayan Peninsula and Islands. *J. Asiat. Soc.*, Bengal, Calcutta. 16(2):607-656, 897-952, 1026-1078.

Capocaccia, L. 1961. Catalogo dei tipi di rettili del Museo Civico di Storia Naturale di Genova. *Annali del Museo Civico di Storia Naturale "Giacomo Doria"* 72:86-111.

Chan-ard, T., Grossmann, W., Gumprecht, A. and Schulz, K. D. 1999. Amphibians and reptiles of peninsular Malaysia and Thailand - an illustrated checklist. Bushmaster Publications, Würselen, Germany:240 pp.

Chan-ard, T., Cota, M., Mekchai, S. and Laoteow, S. 2008. A new species of the genus *Pseudocalotes* (Squamata: Agamidae) from peninsular Thailand. *The Thailand Natural History Museum Journal* 3(1):25-31.

Chandramouli, S. R. 2009a. Status and microhabitat preferences of *Otocryptis beddomii* Boulenger, 1885 (Reptilia: Agamidae) in Ponmudi hills, Western Ghats, Kerala, India. *Taprobanica* 1(2):107-110.

Chandramouli, S. R. 2009b. An aberrant specimen of *Calotes grandisquamis* Günther, 1875 (Reptilia: Agamidae) with comments on its altitudinal distribution. *Taprobanica* 1(2):111-114.

Chou, L. M. 1994. *Calotes versicolor* (Indian tree lizard). Singapore: Kent Ridge. *Herpetological Review* 25(2):75-76.

Clark, R. J., Clark, E. D., Anderson, S. C. and Leviton, A. E. 1969. Report on a collection of amphibians and reptiles from Afghanistan. *Proc. Cal. Acad. Sci.* (ser. 4) 36:279-316.

Cochran, D. M. 1922. Description of a new species of agamid lizard from the Malay Peninsula: Division of reptiles and batrachians. *Proc. United States Nat. Mus.* 60(47):1-3.

Colbert, E. H. 1967. Adaptations for gliding in the lizard *Draco*. *American Museum Novitates* (2283):1-20.

Constable, J. D. 1949. Reptiles from the Indian Peninsula in the Museum of Comparative Zoology. *Bull. Mus. Comp. Zool.* Harvard 103:59-160.

Cox, M. J., Van Dijk, P. P., Nabhitabhata, J. and Thirakhupt, K. 1998. *A Photographic Guide to Snakes and Other Reptiles of Peninsular Malaysia, Singapore and Thailand*. Ralph Curtis Publishing:144 pp.

Cuvier, G. J. L. N. F. D. 1829. *Le Règne Animal Distribué, d'après son Organisation, pur servir de base à l'Histoire naturelle des Animaux et d'introduction à l'Anatomie Comparée*. Nouvelle Edition [second edition]. Vol. 2. Les Reptiles.

- Déterville, Paris, i-xvi, 1-406.
- Cuvier, G. 1831. *The Animal Kingdom arranged in conformity with its organization, by the Baron Cuvier, ... with additional descriptions of all the species hitherto named, and of many not before noticed, by Edward Griffith... and others.* Vol. 9. Whittaker, Treacher and Co., London:481 pp.
- Daan, S. and Hillenius, D. 1966. Catalogue of the type specimens of amphibians and reptiles in the Zoological Museum, Amsterdam. *Beaufortia* 13:117-144.
- Das, A., Saikia, U., Murthy, B. H. C. K., Dey, S. and Dutta, S. K. 2009. A herpetofaunal inventory of Barail Wildlife Sanctuary and adjacent regions, Assam, north-eastern India. *Hamadryad* 34(1):117-134.
- Das, I. 1993. *Jour. Sarawak Mus.*, 44(65):128.
- Das, I. 1999. Biogeography of the amphibians and reptiles of the Andaman and Nicobar Islands, India. pp. 43-77 in: Ota, H. (ed.) *Tropical Island herpetofauna: Origin, Current Diversity and Conservation.* Elsevier.
- Das, I. 2004. *Lizards of Borneo.* Natural History Publications, Kota Kinabalu, Borneo.
- Das, I. 2006. Crocker Range National Park, Sabah, as a refuge for Borneo's montane herpetofauna. *Amphibian and Reptile Conservation* 4(1):3-11.
- Das, I. 2013. *Bronchocela cristatella* (crested green lizard) attempted prey. *Herpetological Review* 44(2):319-320.
- Das, A. and Das, I. 2007. Rediscovery of *Mictopholis austeniana* (Annandale, 1908) (Squamata: Agamidae). *Curr. Herpetol.* 26(1):45-47.
- Das, I. and Gemel, R. 2000. Nomenclatural status of Fitzinger's (1861) *Pseudocalotes archiducissae*, and confirmation of *Bronchocela cristatella* (Kuhl, 1820) from the Nicobar Archipelago (Squamata: Sauria: Agamidae). *Herpetozoa* 13(1/2):55-58.
- Das, I. and Lakim, M. 2008. A second specimen of *Complicitus nigrigularis* (Ota and Hikida, 1991). *Hamadryad* 32(1):71-73.
- Das, I. and Lim, K. K. P. 2001. Catalogue of herpetological types in the collection of the Raffles Museum of Biodiversity Research, National University of Singapore. *Raffles Bull. Zool.* 49(1):7-11.
- Das, I. and Palden, J. 2000. A herpetological collection from Bhutan, with new country records. *Herpetological Review* 31(4):256-258.
- Das, I. and Yaakob, N. 2007. Status of knowledge of the Malaysian herpetofauna. in Status of biological diversity in Malaysia and threat assessment of plant species in Malaysia. pp. 31-81 in: Chua, L. S. L., Kirton, L. G. and Saw, L. G. (eds.), *Status of biological diversity in Malaysia and threat assessment of plant species in Malaysia.* Forest Research Institute Malaysia, Kepong.
- Das, I., Charles, J. K. and Edwards, D. S. 2008. *Calotes versicolor* (Squamata: Agamidae): A New Invasive Squamate for Borneo. *Current Herpetology* 27(2):109-112.
- Daudin, F. M. 1802. *Histoire Naturelle, générale et particulière des reptiles, ouvrage faisant suite, à l'histoire naturelle, générale et particulière composée par LECLERC DE BUFFON, et redigée par C. S. SONNINI, vol. 3.* F. Dufart, Paris.
- de Jong, J. K. 1926. Fauna buruana: reptiles. *Treubia, Buitenzorg*, 7:85-96.
- de Jong, J. K. 1930. Notes on some reptiles from Dutch-East Indies. *Treubia, Bogor* 12(4):115-119.
- Deng, Q. 1988. Notes on *Japalura dymondi*. *Acta Herp. Sinica* 149-150.
- Denzer, W. 1996. Reptilien und Amphibien der Santubong Halbinsel, Sarawak, Borneo. *Sauria* 18(4):35-42.
- Denzer, W. and Manthey, U. 1991. A nominal checklist of the lizards inhabiting Peninsular Malaysia and Singapore. *Raffles Bull. Zool.*, 39(2):309-322.
- Denzer, W. and Manthey, U. 2009. Remarks on the type specimen of *Gonocephalus mjobergi* Smith, 1925 (Sauria: Agamidae). *Bonner zoologische Beiträge* 56(4):255-258.
- Denzer, W., Günther, R. and Manthey, U. 1997. Annotated type catalogue of the Agamid lizards (Reptilia: Squamata: Agamidae) in the Museum für Naturkunde der Humbolt-Universität zu Berlin (former Zoological Museum Berlin). *Mitt. Zool. Mus. Berlin* 73(2):309-332.
- De Queiroz, K. 1998. The general lineage concept of species, species criteria, and the process of speciation: A conceptual unification and terminological recommendations. pp. 57-75. in Howard, D. J. and Berlocher, S. H. (eds.), *Endless Forms: Species and Speciation.* Oxford University Press, Oxford, U. K.
- Deraniyagala, P. E. P. 1953. *A coloured atlas of some vertebrates from Ceylon. Vol. 2. Tetrapod Reptilia.* Govt. Press, Colombo:101 pp.
- de Rooij, N. 1915. *The Reptiles of the Indo-Australian Archipelago. I. Lacertilia, Chelonia, Emydosauria.* Leiden (E. J. Brill), xiv + 384 pp.
- de Silva, A. 1990. Observations on some vanishing relict lizards of Sri Lanka. *Hamadryad* 15:34-35.
- de Silva, A. 1994. An introduction to the herpetofauna of Sri Lanka. *Lyriocephalus*, 1(1-2):3-19.
- de Silva, A., Bauer, A., Goonewardene, S., Drake, J., Nathanael, S. and Chandrara, W. P. R. 2005. Status of the agamids in the knuckles massif with special reference to *Calotes liocephalus* Günther, 1872 and *Cophotis ceylanica* Peters, 1861. *Lyriocephalus* (Special Issue) 6(1-2):43-52.
- Despax, R. 1912. Sur trois collections de reptiles et de batraciens provenant de l'Archipel Malais. *Bull. Mus. natl. Hist. nat. Paris* 18:198-205.
- Devasahayam, S. and Devasahayam, A. 1989. A peculiar food habit of the garden lizard *Calotes versicolor* (Daudin). *J. Bombay Nat. Hist. Soc.* 86:253.
- de Witte, G. F. 1933. Liste de batraciens et des reptiles d'extreme orient et des Indes Orientales recueilli, en 1932, (part.) S. A. R. le Prince Leopold de Belgique. *Bulletin du Musée Royale d'Histoire Naturelle de Belgique* 9:1-8.
- Dieckmann, M. 2011. *Ceratophora stoddartii* GRAY, 1834. *Iguana Rundschreiben* 24(2):17-22.
- Dieckmann, M. 2013. *Gonocephalus grandis* (Gray, 1845). *Iguana* 26(1):17-21.
- Dieckmann, M. and Dieckmann, K. 2011. Naturbeobachtungen an der Blutsaugeragame, *Calotes versicolor* (DAUDIN, 1802), auf den Malediven. *Iguana-Rundschreiben* 23(2):28-30.
- Diong, C. H. and Soon, S. Y. T. 1999. Size and Shape Description of Oviductal Eggs of *Draco obscurus formosus* (Squamata: Agamidae). *Asiatic Herpetological Research* 8:25-28.
- Diong, C. H., Low, M., Tan, E., Yong, H., Hikida, T. and Ota, H. 2000. On the Monophyly of the Agamid Genus *Gonocephalus* Kaup, 1825 (Reptilia: Squamata). A Chromosomal Perspective. *Current herpetology* 19(2):71-79.
- Diong, C. U. and Lirn, S. S. L. 1998. Taxonomic review and morphometric description of *Bronchocela cristatella* (Kuhl, 1820) (Squamata: Agamidae) with notes on other species in the genus. *The Raffles Bulletin of Zoology* (University of Singapore) 46(2):345-359.
- Doria, G. 1888. Note Erpetologica - Alcuni nuovi Sauri raccolti in Sumatra dal Dr. O. Beccari. *Ann. Mus. civ. stor. nat. Genova* 2 (ser. 6):646-652.
- Dring, J. C. M. 1979. Amphibians and reptiles from northern Trengganu, Malaysia, with descriptions of two new geckos: *Cnemaspis* and *Cyrtodactylus*. *Bulletin of the British Museum* (Natural History) Zoology 34(5)1979:181-241.
- Duméril, A. M. C. and Duméril A. H. A. 1851. *Catalogue méthodique de la collection des reptiles du Muséum d'Histoire*

- Naturelle de Paris*. Gide et Baudry/Roret, Paris:224 pp.
- Duméril, A. M. C., Bibron, G. and Duméril, A. 1837. *Erpétologie générale ou Histoire naturelle complète des reptiles*. Paris, France Librairie Encyclopédique de Roret.
- Dunn, E. R. 1927. Results of the Douglas Burden Expedition to the Island of Komodo. III.- Lizards from the East Indies. *American Museum Novitates* (288):1-13.
- Engstrom, T. N., Bradley Shaffer, H. and McCord, W. P. 2002. Phylogenetic Diversity of Endangered and Critically Endangered Southeast Asian Softshell Turtles (Trionychidae: *Chitra*). *Biological Conservation* 104(2):173-179.
- Erdelen, W. 1978. Distributional patterns of the genus *Calotes* (Sauria, Agamidae) in Sri Lanka. *Loris* 14(6):350-356.
- Erdelen, W. 1984. The genus *Calotes* (Sauria: Agamidae) in Sri Lanka: distribution patterns. *J. Biogeogr.* 11:515-525.
- Evans, B. J., Brown, R. M., McGuire, J. A., Supriatna, J., Andayani, N., Diesmos, A., Melnick, D. J. and Cannatella, D. C. 2003. Phylogenetics of fanged frogs: testing biogeographical hypotheses at the interface of the Asian and Australian faunal zones. *Systematic Biology* 52:1-29.
- Evers, M. 2010. Winkelkopffagamen. *Gonocephalus belli*, *G. chamaeleontinus*, *G. doriae abbotti*. Natur und Tier Verlag:64 pp.
- Evers, M. 2013. Der Traum vom Urwald im Wohnzimmer. Winkelkopffagamen (*Gonocephalus*) im Terrarium. *Reptilia* (Münster)18(102):50-59.
- Ferner, J. W., Brown, R. M., Sison, R. V. and Kennedy, R. S. 2000. The amphibians and reptiles of Panay Island, Philippines. *Asiatic Herpetological Research* 9:1-37.
- Fischer, J. G. 1886. Ueber eine Kollektion Reptilien und Amphibien von der Insel Nias und über eine zweite Art der Gattung *Anniella* Gray. *Abh. Naturw. Ver. Hamburg* 19(1):3-10.
- Fitzinger, L. J. 1843. *Systema Reptilium. Fasciculus Primus. Amblyglossae. Vindobonae, Braumüller et Seidel Bibliopolas*. Wien, Germany.
- Fitzinger, L. J. 1861. Die Ausbeute der österreichischen Naturforscher an Säugethieren und Reptilien während der Weltumsegelung Sr. Majestät Fregatte Novara. *Sitzungsberichte der Mathematisch-Naturwissenschaftlichen Klasse der Kaiserlichen Akademie der Wissenschaften, Wien* 42:383-416.
- Flower, S. S. 1896. Notes on a collection of reptiles and batrachians made in the Malay Peninsula in 1895-96; with a list of the species recorded from that region. *Proc. Zool. Soc. London*, 1896:856-916.
- Flower, S. S. 1899. Notes on a second collection of reptiles made in the Malay Peninsula and Siam, from November 1896 to September 1898, with a list of the species recorded from those countries. *Proc. zool. Soc. London* 1899:600-697.
- Gabadage, D. E., Amarasinghe, A. A. T. and Bahir, M. M. 2009. Notes on the ovipositional behaviour of *Calotes calotes* (Linnaeus, 1758) (Reptilia: Agamidae) in Sri Lanka. *Herpetotropicos* 5(1):21-24.
- Ganesh, S. R. and Aengals, R. 2011. Eine Neubewertung von *Salea horsfieldii* GRAY, 1845 (Reptilia: Agamidae) in den Palni Hills der Western Ghats, Süd-Indien. *Sauria* 33(3):55-58.
- Ganesh, S. R. and Chandramouli, S. R. 2013. Identification of Two Similar Indian Agamid Lizards *Calotes nemoricola* Jerdon, 1853 and *C. grandisquamis* Günther, 1875. *Russ. J. Herpetol.* 20(1):33-35.
- Ganesh, S. R., Mohanty, J. and Raman, R. 1997. Male-biased distribution of the human Y chromosomal genes SRY and ZFY in the lizard *Calotes versicolor*, which lacks sex chromosomes and temperature-dependent sex determination. *Chromosome Research* 5(6):413-419.
- Gao, X., Wu, H., Zhao, S., Fu, M. and Li, Z. 2006. Analysis of pachyten chromosome karyotype and micro-chromosomes of *Japalura splendida*. *Sichuan Journal of Zoology* 25(2):237-240. [In Chinese]
- Gao, Z. 2001. *Japalura micangshanensis*: a new record of reptile in Sichuan. *Sichuan Journal of Zoology* 21(1):27.
- Gaulke, M. 1999. Die Herpetofauna von Calauti Island (Calamianes-Inseln, Provinz Palawan, Philippinen) (Amphibia et Reptilia). *Faun. Abh. Staatl. Mus. Tierk. Dresden* 21(19).
- Gaulke, M. 2001. Die Herpetofauna von Sibaliw (Panay), einem der letzten Tieflandregenwaldgebiete der West-Visayas, Philippinen. Teil III. Echsen und Diskussion. *Herpetofauna* 23(132):5-18.
- Gaulke, M. 2011. *The herpetofauna of Panay Island, Philippines*. Edition Chimaira:390 pp.
- Gaulke, M. and Demegillo, A. D. 2005a. Biology and variability of the Negros Forest Dragon *Gonocephalus sophiae*. *Reptilia* (GB) (42):16-23.
- Gaulke, M. and Demegillo, A. D. 2005b. Zur Variabilität und Biologie der philippinischen Winkelkopffagame, *Gonocephalus sophiae*. *Reptilia* (Münster) 10(51):32-40.
- Gaulke, M. and Demegillo, A. D. 2008. Der Mabitang (*Varanus mabitang*), ein Früchte fressender Grosswaran von den Philippinen. *Reptilia* (Münster) 13(71):67-74.
- Geissler, P. 2012. Neues aus Indochina - die Amphibien und Reptilien des Cat-Tien-Nationalparks in Südvietnam. *Terraria Elaphe* 2012(5):72-77.
- Geissler, P. G. 2013. Eine neue Schönechse aus Indochina. *Terraria Elaphe* 2013(5):46.
- Geissler, P. G., Krohn, A. R. and Rennert, D. 2011. Herpetofaunal records in coastal dune areas, Binh Thuan Province, Southern Vietnam, with the rediscovery of *Oligodon macrurus* Angel, 1927. *Russian Journal of Herpetology* 18(4):317-324.
- Goa, Z. -F. and Hou, M. 2002. Description of a new *Japalura* species from western Sichuan Province, China [In Chinese]. *Sichuan Journal of Zoology* 21(2):3-5.
- Goin, C. J., Goin, O. B. and Zug, G. R. 1978. *Introduction to Herpetology*, Third Edition. San Francisco: W. H. Freeman and Company. xi + 378 pp. ISBN 0-7167-0020-4. (Genus *Draco*, pp. 41, 86, 112, 279, 288).
- Goonawardene, S. and de Silva, A. 2005. Observations on the Lyre Head Dragon: *Lyriocephalus scutatus* (Linnaeus, 1758) (Reptilia: Agamidae) in the central province. *Lyriocephalus* (Special Issue) 6(1-2):313-323.
- Goris, R. C. and Maeda, N. 2004. *Guide to the Amphibians and Reptiles of Japan*. Krieger, Malabar, Florida:285 pp.
- Grandison, A. G. C. 1972. The Gunong Benom Expedition 1967. 5. Reptiles and amphibians of Gunong Benom with a description of a new species of *Macrocalamus*. *Bull. Br. Mus. nat. Hist. (Zool.)*, London, 23:45-101.
- Gray, J. E. 1831. A synopsis of the species of Class Reptilia. in: Griffith, E. and E. Pidgeon: *The animal kingdom arranged in conformity with its organisation by the Baron Cuvier with additional descriptions of all the species hither named, and of many before noticed*. [V Whittaker, Treacher and Co., London: 481+110 pp. [1830].
- Gray, J. E. 1835. *Illustrations of Indian Zoology, chiefly selected from the collection of Major - General Hardwicke. [Coluber bengalensis] Vol. 2. London (1833-1834):263 pp.+95 plates*.
- Gray, J. E. 1845. *Catalogue of the specimens of lizards in the collection of the British Museum*. Trustees of die British Museum/Edward Newman, London: xxvii+289 pp.
- Gray, J. E. 1846. Descriptions of some new species of Indian Lizards. *Ann. Mag. Nat. Hist.* (1)18:429-430.
- Gray, J. E. 1853. Descriptions of some undescribed species of reptiles collected by Dr. Joseph Hooker in the Khassia Mountains, East Bengal, and Sikkim Himalaya. *Annals and Magazine of Natural History*, 12(2):386-392.
- Grazziotin, F. G., Zaher, H., Murphy, R. W., Scrocchi, G., Benavides, M. A., Zhang, Y. and Bonatto, S. N. 2012. Molecular

- phylogeny of the New World Dipsadidae (Serpentes: Colubroidea): a reappraisal. *Cladistics* 28(5):437-459.
- Griseitt, J. L. 1936. New reptiles from Formosa and Hainan. *Proc. Biol. Soc. Washington* 49:117-121.
- Grismer, L. L. 2008. A new species of insular skink (Genus *Sphenomorphus* Fitzinger, 1843) from the Langkawi Archipelago, Kedah, West Malaysia with the first report of the herpetofauna of Pulau Singa Besar and an updated checklist of the herpetofauna of Pulau Langkawi. *Zootaxa* 1691:53-66.
- Grismer, L. L. 2011. *Lizards of Peninsular Malaysia, Singapore and their adjacent archipelagos*. Edition Chimaira, Frankfurt:728 pp.
- Grismer, L. L., Youmans, T. M., Wood, P. L. and Grismer, J. L. 2006. Checklist of the herpetofauna of the Seribu Archipelago, West Malaysia with comments on biogeography, natural history, and adaptive types. *Raffles Bull. Zool.* 54(1):157-180.
- Grismer, L. L. et al. 2007. The Herpetofauna of the Phnom Aural Mountain Sanctuary and checklist of the herpetofauna of the Cardamom Mountains, Cambodia. *Hamadryad* 31(2):216-241.
- Grismer, L. L., Neang, T., Chav, T., Wood, Jr., P. L., Oaks, J. R., Holden, J. and Gr, J. L. 2008. Additional amphibians and reptiles from the Phnom Samkos Wildlife Sanctuary in Northwestern Cardamom Mountains, Cambodia, with comments on their taxonomy and the discovery of three new species. *The Raffles Bulletin of Zoology* 56(1):161-175
- Grismer, L. L., Chan, K. O., Grismer, J. L., Wood, P. L. Jr., and Norhayati, A. 2010. A checklist of the herpetofauna of the Banjaran Bintang, Peninsula Malaysia. *Russian Journal of Herpetology* 17(2):147-160.
- Grossmann, W. 2008. *Calotes mystaceus* DUMÉRIL & BIBRON 1837. *Sauria* 30(1):2.
- Grossmann, W. 2009. The Change of Colour and Pattern in a Marbled Slender Agama, *Bronchocela marmorata* Gray, 1845. *Sauria* 31(1):23-27.
- Grossmann, W. 2011. Anmerkungen zur Prachtfärbung und zum Farbwechsel während Balz und Paarung bei einer Verschiedenfarbigen Schönechse (*Calotes versicolor*-Komplex) in Nordthailand. *Sauria* 33(3):43-49.
- Grossmann, W. and Tillack, F. 2004a. Pulau Tioman - Perle im Südchinesischen Meer, Teil 1. *Reptilia* (Münster) 9(50):42-49.
- Grossmann, W. and Tillack, F. 2004b. *Gonocephalus doriae abbotti* COCHRAN 1922. *Sauria*, Berlin 26(3):2.
- Gruber, U. 1975. Agame *Japalura tricarinata* aus dem zentralen Nepal-Himalaya. *Das Aquarium* 1975(77):502-505.
- Günther, A. 1860. Contributions to a knowledge of the reptiles of the Himalaya mountains. - I. Descriptions of the new species. II. List of Himalayan reptiles, with remarks on their horizontal distribution. *Proc. Zool. Soc. London* 1860:148-175.
- Günther, A. 1861a. Second list of Siamese reptiles. *Proc. Zool. Soc. London* 1861:187-189.
- Günther, A. 1861b. Second list of Siamese reptiles. *Ann. Mag. Nat. Hist.* (3)8:266-268.
- Günther, A. 1864. *The Reptiles of British India*. London (Taylor and Francis), xxvii+452 pp.
- Günther, A. 1868. *Zool. Rec.* (Amph. Rept.) 1867:136.
- Günther, A. 1869. Report on two collections of Indian reptiles. *Proc. Zool. Soc. London* 1869:500-507.
- Günther, A. 1870. Descriptions of a new Indian lizard of the genus *Calotes*. *Proc. Zool. Soc. London* 1870:778-779.
- Günther, A. 1872a. On the reptiles and amphibians of Borneo. *Proc. Zool. Soc. London* 1872:586-600.
- Günther, A. 1872b. Descriptions of some Ceylonese Reptiles and Batrachians. *Ann. Mag. nat. Hist.* (4)9:85-88.
- Günther, A. 1873. Notes on some reptiles and batrachians obtained by Dr. Bernhard Meyer in Celebes and the Philippine Islands. *Proc. Zool. Soc. London* 1873:165-172.
- Günther, A. 1875. Second report on collections of Indian Reptiles obtained by the British Museum. *Proc. Zool. Soc. London* 1875:224-234.
- Hairston, N. G. 1957. Observations on the behavior of *Draco volans* in the Philippines. *Copeia*, 1957:262-265.
- Hallermann, J. 1998. Annotated catalogue of the type specimens of the herpetological collection in the Zoological Museum of the University of Hamburg. *Mitteilungen aus dem Hamburgischen Zoologischen Museum und Institut* 95:197-223.
- Hallermann, J. 2000a. A new species of *Calotes* from the Moluccas (Indonesia) with notes on the biogeography of the genus (Sauria: Agamidae). *Bonn. Zool. Beitr.* 49(1-2):155-163.
- Hallermann, J. 2000b. The taxonomic status of *Acanthosaura fruhstorferi* Werner, 1904 and *Calotes brevipes* Werner, 1904 (Squamata: Agamidae). *Mitt. Mus. Naturk. Berl., Zool. Reihe*, 76(1):143-150.
- Hallermann, J. 2004. A new species of the genus *Bronchocela* from the tropical rain forest of southern Vietnam. *Russ. J. Herpetol.* 11(1):30-34.
- Hallermann, J. 2005a. The bizarre arboreal agamids. *Reptilia* (GB) (42):8-15.
- Hallermann, J. 2005b. Mit Hörnern, Kämmen und Gleithäuten - die bizarren Baumagamen. *Reptilia* (Münster) 10(51):18-25.
- Hallermann, J. 2005c. A taxonomic review of the genus *Bronchocela* (Squamata: Agamidae) with description of a new species from Vietnam. *Russ. J. Herpetol.* 12(3):167-182.
- Hallermann, J. 2009. A new species of *Bronchocela* (Squamata: Agamidae) from Nicobar Island. *Bonner zoologische Beiträge* 56(4):279-284.
- Hallermann, J. and Böhme, W. 2000. A review of the genus *Pseudocalotes* (Squamata: Agamidae), with description of a new species from West Malaysia. *Amphibia-Reptilia* 21(2):193-210.
- Hallermann, J. and Böhme, W. 2003. A reinterpretation of the agamid genus *Mantheyus* Ananjeva and Stuart, 2001, as a junior synonym of *Ptyctolaemus* Peters, 1864, with comments on the problem of monotypic genera. *Hamadryad* 27(2):262-265.
- Hallermann, J. and Böhme, W. 2007. On the validity of two recently described species of the endemic Sri Lankan genus *Cophotis* Peters, 1861 (Squamata: Agamidae) from the Knuckles Forest Range. *Salamandra* 43(3):187-190.
- Hallermann, J. and McGuire, J. A. 2001. A new species of *Pseudocalotes* (Squamata: Agamidae) from Bukit Larut, West Malaysia. *Herpetologica* 57(3):255-265.
- Hallermann, J., Orlov, N. and Ananjeva, N. 2004. Notes on distribution and colour pattern of the rare agamid lizard *Lophocalotes ludekingii* (BLEEKER 1860) in Sumatra (Indonesia). *Salamandra* 40(3/4):303-306.
- Hallermann, J., Truong, N. Q., Orlov, N. and Ananjeva, N. 2010. A new species of the genus *Pseudocalotes* (Squamata: Agamidae) from Vietnam. *Russian Journal of Herpetology* 17(1):31-40.
- Hallowell, E. 1861. Report upon the Reptilia of the North Pacific Exploring Expedition, under command of Capt. John Rogers, U. S. N. *Proceedings of the Academy of Natural Sciences of Philadelphia*, 12[1860]:480-510.
- Hardwicke, T. and Gray, J. E. 1827. A synopsis of the species of saurian reptiles, collected in India by Major-General Hardwicke. *Zool. J. London* 3:214-229.
- Harikrishnan, S. and Vasudevan, K. 2013. Rediscovery of *Calotes andamanensis* Boulenger, 1891, and assessment of its generic allocation (squamata: sauria: Agamidae). *Herpetozoa* 26(1/2):3-13.
- Harikrishnan, S., Karthikeyan, V., Chandramouli, S. R., Choudhury, B. C., Dutta, S. K. and Dutta, I. 2012. A new species of *Coryphophylax* Fitzinger in: Steindachner, 1867 (Sauria: Iguania: Agamidae) from the Andaman Islands, India. *Zootaxa* 3451:31-45.

- Harlan, R. 1824. Description of a new species of *Agama*. *J. Acad. Nat. Sci. Philadelphia* 4:296-305.
- Hartmann, T. 2012. Unter Forschern. Vielfalt zwischen Tempeln und Landminen: Herpetologische Feldarbeit in Kambodscha. *Terraria Elaphe* 2012(3):42-45.
- Hartmann, T., Geissler, P., Poyarkov, N. A. J., Ihlow, F., Galoyan, E. A. and Rödde, D. 2013a. A new species of the genus *Calotes* Cuvier, 1817 (Squamata: Agamidae) from southern Vietnam. *Zootaxa* 3599 (3):246-260.
- Hartmann, T., Ihlow, F., Edwards, S., Sovath, S., Handschuh, M. and Böhme, W. 2013b. A Preliminary Annotated Checklist of the Amphibians and Reptiles of the Kulen Promtep Wildlife Sanctuary in Northern Cambodia. *Asian Herpetological Research* 4(1):36-55.
- Heang, K. B. 1987. An annotated checklist of the herpetofauna of Ulu Endau, Johore, Malaysia. *Malayan Nature J.* 41(2-3):413-423.
- Hecht, V. L., Cuong, T. P., Nguyen, T. T., Nguyen, T. Q., Bonkowski, M. and Ziegler, T. 2013. First report on the herpetofauna of Tay Yen Tu Nature Reserve, northeastern Vietnam. *Biodiversity Journal* 4(4):507-552.
- Hedges, S. B., Marion, A. B., Lipp, K. M., Marin, J. and Vidal, N. 2014. A taxonomic framework for typhlopod snakes from the Caribbean and other regions (Reptilia, Squamata). *Caribbean Herpetology* 49:1-61.
- Hendrickson, J. R. 1966. Observations on the fauna of Pulau Tioman and Pulau Tulai. 5. The Reptiles. *Bull. Nat. Mus. Singapore* 34:53-71.
- Hennig, W. 1936. Revision der gattung *Draco* (Agamidae). *Temminckia* 1:154-220.
- Hien, P., Grossmann, W. and Schäfer, C. 2001. Beitrag zur Kenntnis der landbewohnenden Reptilienfauna von Pulau Tioman, West-Malaysia. *Sauria* 23(4):11-28.
- Hoffmann, P. 1998. Mount Kinabalu: Skizze eines Lebensraumes. *Elaphe* 6(2):83-90.
- Hölssig, C. 2012. Zur Haltung und Vermehrung von *Gonocephalus doriae abbotti* COCHRAN, 1922. *Sauria* 34(1):43-50.
- Honda, M., Ota, H., Kobayashi, M., Nabhitabhata, J., Yong, S. and Hikida, T. 1999. Phylogenetic relationships of the flying lizards, genus *Draco* (Reptilia: Agamidae). *Zoological Science* 16:535-549.
- Honda, M., Ota, H., Sengoku, S. and Hikida, T. 2000. Phylogenetic position of *Draco fimbriatus*, with a molecular perspective on the historical biogeography of the genus *Draco* (Reptilia: Agamidae). *Current Herpetology* 19(2):43-55.
- Hoser, R. T. 1989. *Australian Reptiles and Frogs*. Pierson Publishing, Mosman, NSW, Australia:238 pp.
- Hoser, R. T. 1999. *Victoria Police Corruption - 2: Including what the media didn't tell you!* Kotabi Pty Ltd, Doncaster, Victoria, Australia:800 pp.
- Hoser, R. T. 2000a. A revision of the Australasian Pythons. *Ophidia Review*, 1(1) October:7-21.
- Hoser, R. T. 2000b. A new snake from Irian Jaya. *Litteratura Serpentiaria*, December 20(6):78-186.
- Hoser, R. T. 2009. A reclassification of the rattlesnakes; species formerly exclusively referred to the genera *Crotalus* and *Sistrurus*. *Australasian Journal of Herpetology* 3:1-21.
- Hoser, R. T. 2012. A classification of the rattlesnakes; species formerly exclusively referred to the genera *Crotalus* and *Sistrurus* and a division of the Elapid genus *Micrurus*. *Australasian Journal of Herpetology* 11:2-24.
- Hoser, R. T. 2013. The science of herpetology is built on evidence, ethics, quality publications and strict compliance with the rules of nomenclature. *Australasian Journal of Herpetology* 18:2-79.
- Hu, S. 1966. Three new species of reptiles from Szechuan. *Acta Zootaxon. Sinica*, Peking, 3(2):158-164.
- Huang, Y. -Z. 1980. A new generic record of chinese lizard from Xizang (Tibet) - *Ptyctolaemus gularis* Peters. *Acta Zootaxonomica Sinica* 5:336.
- Huang, Y. -Z., Xianguang G., Ho, S. Y. W., Shi, H., Li, J., Li, J., Cai, B. and Wang, Y. 2013. Diversification and Demography of the Oriental Garden Lizard (*Calotes versicolor*) on Hainan Island and the Adjacent Mainland. *PLoS ONE* 8(6):e64754. doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0064754.
- Hubrecht, A. A. W. 1879. Contributions to the herpetology of Sumatra. *Notes from the Leyden Museum* 1:243-245.
- Hubrecht, A. A. W. 1881. On a new genus and species of Agamidae from Sumatra. *Notes from the Leyden Museum* 3:51-52.
- Hunter, S. et al. 2006. Online petition to shut down Raymond Hoser, published at: <http://www.aussiereptileclassifieds.com/phpPETITION>
- Inger, R. F. 1960. A review of the agamid lizards of the genus *Phoxophrys* HUBRECHT. *Copeia* 1960(3):221-225.
- Inger, R. F. 1983. Morphological and ecological variation in the flying lizards (Genus *Draco*). *Fieldiana Zoology, New Series*, 18 (Chicago: Field Museum of Natural History):33 pp.
- Inger, R. F. and Lakim, M. 1998. Rediscovery of the agamid lizard *Calotes kinabaluensis* de Grijis (Lacertilia: Agamidae) in Borneo, with notes on its habitat. *Herpetological Review* 29(3):143-144.
- Inger, R. F. and Stuebing, R. B. 1994. First record of the lizard genus *Pseudocalotes* (Lacertilia: Agamidae) in Borneo, with description of a new species. *Raffl. Bull. Zool., Singapore*, 42(4):961-965.
- Ishwar, N. M. and Das, I. 1998. Rediscovery of *Calotes andamanensis* Boulenger 1891, and a reassessment of the type locality. *J. Bombay Nat. Hist. Soc.* 95:513-514.
- Iskandar, D. T. and Erdelen, W. R. 2006. Conservation of amphibians and reptiles in Indonesia: issues and problems. *Amphibian and Reptile Conservation* 4(1):60-87.
- Iskandar, D. T. and Tjan, K. N. 1996. The amphibians and reptiles of Sulawesi, with notes on the distribution and chromosomal number of frogs. pp. 39-46. in Kitchener, D. J. and Suyanto, A. (eds.), *Proceedings of the First International Conference on Eastern Indonesian-Australian Vertebrate Fauna*. Manado, Indonesia.
- Islam, M. and Saikia, P. K. 2013. Inventory and Natural History of Lizards in Jeypore Reserve Forest, Assam. *Reptile Rap* (15):16-26.
- Jamdar, N. 1985. A Note on the Habits and Breeding of the Lizard *Japalura major*. *J. Bombay Nat. Hist. Soc.* 82:420-421.
- Janzen, P. 2003. Sinharaja - Der Regenwald des Löwenkönigs. *Draco* 4(15):26-33.
- Janzen, P. 2011. Morningside, ein abgelegener Hotspot in Sri Lanka. *Iguana Rundschreiben* 24(1):5-11.
- Janzen, P. and Bopage, M. 2011. The herpetofauna of a small and unprotected patch of tropical rainforest in Morningside, Sri Lanka. *Amphibian and Reptile Conservation* 5(2):1-13.
- Janzen, P., Klaas, P. and Ziesmann, S. 2007a. Adams Zufluchtsort: Sri Lanka. *Draco* 7(30):4-17.
- Janzen, P., Klaas, P. and Ziesmann, S. 2007b. Die Agamen der Insel [Sri Lanka]. *Draco* 7(30):24-33.
- Jaquemont, 1844. *Voy. dans l'Inde, Zool. Atlas* 2, pl. xi
- Jerdon, T. C. 1854. Catalogue of the Reptiles inhabiting the Peninsula of India. Part 1. *J. Asiat. Soc. Bengal* xxii [1853]:462-479.
- Jerdon, T. C. 1870. Notes on Indian Herpetology. *Proc. Asiat. Soc. Bengal* March 1870:66-85.
- Jestrzemski, D., Schu'tz, S., Nguyen, T. Q. and Ziegler, T. 2013. A survey of amphibians and reptiles in Chu Mom Ray National

- Park, Vietnam, with implications for herpetofaunal conservation. *Asian Journal of Conservation Biology*, 2(2):88-110.
- Ji, X., Qiu, Q. -B. and Diong, C. H. 2002. Sexual dimorphism and female reproductive characteristics in the Oriental Garden Lizard, *Calotes versicolor*, from Hainan, Southern China. *Journal of Herpetology* 36 (1):1-8.
- Jong, J. K. 1926. Fauna Buruana. Reptiles. *Treubia* 12:85-96.
- Jono, T., Kawamura, T. and Koda, R. 2013. Invasion of Yakushima Island, Japan, by the Subtropical Lizard *Japalura polygonata polygonata* (Squamata: Agamidae). *Current Herpetology* Aug 2013, 32 (2):142-149.
- Jose, J., Ramachandran, K. K. and Nair, P. V. 2007. A rare and little known lizard, *Otocryptis beddomi*, From the Myristica swamps of southern Kerala, India. *Herpetological Bulletin* 101:27-31.
- Kahl, B., Gaupp, P. and Schmidt, G. 1980. *Das Terrarium*. Falken Verlag, Niederhausen (Germany):336 pp.
- Kaiser, H. 2012a. SPAM email sent out to numerous recipients on 5 June 2012.
- Kaiser, H. 2012b. Point of view. Hate article sent as attachment with SPAM email sent out on 5 June 2012.
- Kaiser, H. 2013. The Taxon Filter, a novel mechanism designed to facilitate the relationship between taxonomy and nomenclature, vis-à-vis the utility of the Code's Article 81 (the Commission's plenary power). *Bulletin of Zoological Nomenclature* 70(4) December 2013:293-302.
- Kaiser, H. 2014. Comments on *Spracklandus* Hoser, 2009 (Reptilia, Serpentes, ELAPIDAE): request for confirmation of the availability of the generic name and for the nomenclatural validation of the journal in which it was published (Case 3601; see BZN 70: 234-237). *Bulletin of Zoological Nomenclature* 71(1):30-35.
- Kaiser, H., Crother, B. L., Kelly, C. M. R., Luiselli, L., O'Shea, M., Ota, H., Passos, P., Schleip, W. D. and Wüster, W. 2013. Best practices: In the 21st Century, Taxonomic Decisions in Herpetology are Acceptable Only When supported by a body of Evidence and Published via Peer-Review. *Herpetological Review* 44(1):8-23 (published in Hoser 2013).
- Karthikeyan, S., Athreya, R. M. and Prasad, J. N. 1993. A range extension of *Calotes nemoricola* from the Anaimalais, Western Ghats. *Hamadryad* 18:45-46.
- Karunaratna, D. M. S. S. and Amarasinghe, A. A. T. 2008a. Behavior, Habitat, Ecology and Biology of *Calotes ceylonensis* Müller, 1887 (Reptilia: Agamidae) from Sri Lanka. *International symposium of Agamids 2008*, Zoologisches Forschungsmuseum A. Koenig, Bonn, Germany:20.
- Karunaratna, D. M. S. S. and Amarasinghe, A. A. T. 2008b. Notes on the territorial behaviour of *Otocryptis wiegmanni* Wagler, 1830 (Reptilia: Agamidae: Draconinae). *Herpetotropicos* 4(2):79-83.
- Karunaratna, D. M. S. S. and Amarasinghe, A. A. T. 2009. Beobachtung einer Dickschnabelkrähe (Aves: Corvidae) beim Erbeuten von Ceylon-Taubagamen, *Cophotis ceylanica* (Reptilia: Agamidae), im Horton Plains Nationalpark auf Sri Lanka. *Sauria* 30(4):59-62[2008].
- Karunaratna, D. M. S. S., and Amarasinghe, A. A. T. 2013. Behavioral Ecology and Microhabitat Use by *Lyriocephalus scutatus* (Linnaeus, 1758): a Monotypic Genus in Sri Lanka (Reptilia: Agamidae: Draconinae) with Notes on the Taxonomy *Russ. J. Herpetol.* 20 (1): 1-15
- Karunaratna, D. M. S. S., Amarasinghe, A. A. T. and Stöckli, E. 2009a. Taxonomic and biological study on *Calotes ceylonensis* Müller, 1887 (Reptilia: Agamidae) of Sri Lanka. *Bonn. Zool. Beitr.* 56(4):229-238.
- Karunaratna, D. M. S. S., Bandara, I. N. and Chanaka, A. W. A. 2009b. The ovipositional behaviour of the endemic whistling lizard *Calotes liolepis* Boulenger, 1885 (Reptilia: Agamidae) in the Knuckles forest region of Sri Lanka. *Acta Herpetologica* 4(1):47-56.
- Karunaratna, D. M. S. S., Thasun Amarasinghe, D. M. S. and Thasun Amarasinghe, A. A. T. 2011a. A preliminary survey of the reptile fauna in Nilgala Forest and its vicinity, Monaragala District, Sri Lanka. *Taprobanica* 3 (2):69-76.
- Karunaratna, D. M. S., Suranjan, W. A. A. D. G. P., Peabotuwage, P. I. K. and de Silva, M. C. 2011b. First report on the ovipositional behavior of *Calotes nigrilabris* Peters, 1860 (Reptilia: Sauria: Agamidae) from the Central Massif of Sri Lanka. *Russian Journal of Herpetology* 18(2):111-118.
- Kästle, W. 1966. Beobachtungen an ceylonesischen Taubagamen (*Cophotis ceylanica*). *Salamandra* 2 (3):78-87.
- Kästle, W. 1998. Studies on the ecology and behaviour of *Sitana sivalensis* spec. nov. pp: 121-206 in: Contributions to the herpetology of south-Asia (Nepal, India). pp: 121-206 in Schleich, H. H. and Kästle, W. (eds.) *Contributions to the herpetology of south-Asia* (Nepal, India). Fuhlrott-Museum, Wuppertal.
- Kästle, W. and Schleich, H. H. 1998. Contributions to the biology of *Japalura kumaonensis* (Annandale, 1907). pp: 247-268. in: Schleich, H. H. and Kästle, W. (eds.) *Contributions to the herpetology of south-Asia* (Nepal, India). Fuhlrott-Museum, Wuppertal.
- Kelaart, E. E. 1852. Ceylon Reptiles. pp. 143-187 in: *Prodomus Faunae Zeylanicae*. Vol. 1. Part 3. Colombo.
- Kelaart, E. F. 1854. Catalogue of reptiles collected in Ceylon. *Ann. Mag. Nat. Hist.* (2)13:137-140.
- Kiehlmann, D. 1980. Über die Lyrakopfagamen, *Lyriocephalus scutatus* (LINNAEUS 1758). *Herpetofauna* 2(8):12-20.
- Kober, I. 2003. Erfahrungen mit der Blaukehl-Winkelkopffagame (*Gonocephalus bellii*) im Terrarium. *Draco* 4(14):80-86.
- Koch, A. 2011. The Amphibians and Reptiles of Sulawesi: Underestimated Diversity in a Dynamic Environment. pp. 383-404 in: Zachos, F. E. and Habel, J. C. (eds.), *Biodiversity Hotspots*. Springer, Berlin, Germany.
- Koch, A., Arida, E. A. and Böhme, W. 2007. Zwischenbericht über die Herpetofauna Sulawesi unter besonderer Berücksichtigung der Gattung *Varanus*: phylogeographische Beziehungen zu angrenzenden Gebieten. *Elaphe* 15(3):42-52.
- Koch, A., Arida, E., Riyanto, A. and Böhme, W. 2009. Islands between the realms: A revised checklist of the herpetofauna of the Talaud archipelago, Indonesia, with a discussion about its biogeographic affinities. *Bonner zoologische Beiträge* 56(1/2):107-129. [2007]
- Kopstein, F. 1927. Die Reptilienfauna der Sula-Inseln. *Treubia* 9:437-446.
- Kopstein, F. 1938. Ein Beitrag zur Eierkunde und zur Fortpflanzung der Malaiischen Reptilien. *Bull. Raffl. Mus.* 14:81-167.
- Koshikawa, A. 1982. Three new species of reptiles from Hainan Island, Guangdong Province [English translation of the original paper by Hu *et al.* 1975]. *Smithsonian Herp. Inf. Serv.* (53):1-9.
- Kramer, E. 1979. Typenkatalog der Echsen im Naturhistorischen Museum Basel (BM), Stand 1978. *Revue Suisse de Zoologie* 86 (1):159-166.
- Krishnan, S. 2008. New Species of *Calotes* (Reptilia: Squamata: Agamidae) from the Southern Western Ghats, India. *Journal of Herpetology* 42(3):530-535.
- Kuhl, H. 1820. *Beiträge zur Zoologie und vergleichenden Anatomie*. Hermannsche Buchhandlung, Frankfurt:152 pp.
- Kunte, K. and Manthey, U. 2009. Rediscovery of *Japalura sagittifera* (Sauria: Agamidae) from the Eastern Himalayas, Arunachal Pradesh: An addition to the Indian herpetofauna. *Sauria*, 39(2):42-55.
- Kunz, K. 2012. Bild schön!? Fotografie von Terrarientieren. *Reptilia* (Münster) 17(94):20-26.

- Kwet, A. 2012. Liste der im Jahr 2011 neu beschriebenen Reptilien Terraria. *Elaphe* 2012(3):46-57.
- Lagat, R. D. 2009. A Taxonomic account of lizards along established trails in Mts. Palay-Palay Mataas-NaGulod Protected Landscape, Luzon Island, Philippines. *Philippine Journal of Systematic Biology* 3:17-28.
- Laue, E. 2005. Zur Haltung und Vermehrung der Chinesischen Bergagame *Japalura splendida* BARBOUR AND DUNN 1919. *Elaphe* 13(1):20-30.
- Laue, E. 2009a. Erfahrungen mit Krankheiten und Verlusten bei der langjährigen Pflege und Nachzucht der Chinesischen Bergagame *Japalura splendida*, veranschaulicht durch drei Fallbeispiele. *Iguana-Rundschreiben* 22(1):21-30.
- Laue, E. 2009b. *Die chinesische Bergagame*. Vivaria Verlag:96 pp.
- Laue, E. 2011. Zur Haltung und Vermehrung von *Japalura swinhonis* GÜNTHER, 1864 im Terrarium. *Sauria*, Berlin 33(1):13-20.
- Laurenti, J. N. 1768. *Specimen medicum, exhibens synopsis reptilium emendatam cum experimentis circa venena et antidota reptilium austracorum, quod auctoritate et consensu*. Vienna, Joan. Thomae:217 pp.
- Lazell, J. D. 1987. A new flying lizard from the Sangihe Archipelago, Indonesia. *Breviora* 488:1-9.
- Lazell, J. D. 1992. New flying lizards and predictive biogeography of two Asian archipelagos. *Bulletin of the Museum of Comparative Zoology* 1529:475-505.
- Lenz, N. 2012. *Von Schmetterlingen und Donnerdrachen - Natur und Kultur in Bhutan*. Karlsruher Naturhefte 4, Naturkundemuseum Karlsruhe:124 pp.
- Leong, T. M., Chan-ard, T. and Chuaynkern, Y. 2003. Additional Anuran and Saurian Records for Phuket, South Thailand. *The Natural History Journal of Chulalongkorn University* 3(1):17-21.
- Lesson, R. P. 1834. *Illustrations de Zoologie, ou Recueil de figures d'animaux peintes d'après nature*. Paris, France. 13 (1-7):1-16+pls.1-60.
- Leviton, A. E., Gibbs, R. H., Heal, E. and Dawson, C. E. 1985. Standards in herpetology and ichthyology: Part 1. Standard symbolic codes for institutional resource collections in herpetology and ichthyology. *Copeia* 1985:802-832.
- Li, C. 2001. A New Species of *Japalura* From Sichuan (Agamidae Gray. *Japalura*). *Journal of China West Normal University (Natural Science)*, 22(4):7-9.
- Li, C., Deng, Q., Wu, Y. and Wang, Y. 2001. A New Species of *Japalura* From Sichuan (Agamidae Gray. *Japalura*). *Journal of China West Normal University (Natural Science)* 22(4):329-331/ *Journal of Sichuan Teachers College* 22(4):329-331.
- Li, S., Wang, Y. and Wang, R. 1981. A Karyotypical Study of *Japalura varcoae*. *Zool. Research* 3(2):223-228.
- Li, S., Li, C. and Wang, Y. 2000. *Japalura micangshanensis*: A new agamid record in Gansu Province. *Sichuan Journal of Zoology* 19(3):156.
- Li, Y. -X., Xue, X. -X., Li, X. -C. and Liu, H. -J. 2003. Additional notes of the [tooth] characteristics of some modern lizards. *Acta Zool. Sinica* 49(4):547-550.
- Lidde de Jeude, T. W. v. 1893. On reptiles from North Borneo. *Notes from the Leyden Museum* 15(3):250-257.
- Lim, K. K. P. and Ng, H. H. 1999. The terrestrial herpetofauna of Pulau Tioman, Peninsular Malaysia. *Raffles Bull. Zool., Suppl.* 6:131-155.
- Lin, J. and Lu, K. 1982. Population ecology of the lizard *Japalura swinhonis formosensis* (Sauria: Agamidae) in Taiwan. *Copeia* 1982(2):425-434.
- Linnaeus, C. 1758. *Systema naturae per regna tria naturae, secundum classes, ordines, genera, species, cum characteribus, differentiis, synonymis, locis. Tomus I. Editio decima, reformata. Laurentii Salvii, Holmiae*. 10th Ed.:824 pp.
- Liu-Yu, M. C. 1970. Studies on Taiwan lizards. *Biol. Bull. Taiwan Ni. Univ.* 5:51-93.
- LiVigni, F. (ed.) 2013. *A Life for Reptiles and Amphibians, Volume 1*. Chimaira, Frankfurt:495 pp.
- Lönnberg, E. 1896. Linnean type-specimens of birds, reptiles, batrachians, and fishes in the Zoological Museum of the Royal University of Upsala. *Bihang till Kongliga Svenska Vetenskaps-Akademiens. Handlingar*, Stockholm 22(4)1:1-45.
- Macey, J. R., Larson, A., Ananjeva, N. B. and Papenfuss, T. J. 1997. Replication slippage may cause parallel evolution in the secondary structures of mitochondrial transfer RNAs. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* 14(1):30-39.
- Macey, J. R., Schulte II, J. A., Larson, A., Ananjeva, N. B., Wang, Y., Pethiyagoda, R. and Rastegar-Pouy, N. 2000. Evaluating trans-Tethys migration: an example using acrodont lizard phylogenetics. *Systematic Biology* 49(2):233-256.
- Mägdefrau, K. 1991. Haltung, Verhaltensbeobachtungen und Zuchtversuche von *Draco spilopterus* (Wiegmann, 1834). *Herpetofauna* 13(74):29-34.
- Mahony, S. 2009. A new species of *Japalura* (Reptilia: Agamidae) from northeast India with a discussion of the similar species *Japalura sagittifera* Smith, 1940 and *Japalura planidorsata* Jerdon, 1870. *Zootaxa* 2212:41-61.
- Mahony, S. 2010. Systematic and taxonomic reevaluation of four little known Asian agamid species, *Calotes kingdonwardi* Smith, 1935, *Japalura kaulbacki* Smith, 1937, *Salea kakhienensis* Anderson, 1879 and the monotypic genus *Mictopholis* Smith, 1935 (Reptilia: Agamidae). *Zootaxa* 2514:1-23.
- Mahony, S. and Ali Reza, A. H. M. 2007. A herpetological collection from the Chittagong Hill Tracts, Bangladesh, with two new species records for the country. *Hamadryad* 32(1):45-56.
- Mahony, S., Hasan, K., Kabir, M., Ahmed, M. and Hossain, K. 2009. A catalogue of amphibians and reptiles in the collection of Jahangirnagar University, Dhaka, Bangladesh. *Hamadryad* 34(1):80-94.
- Malkmus, R. 1994. Herpetologische Beobachtungen am Mt. Kinabalu, Nord-Borneo. IV. *Mitt. Zool. Mus. Berlin* 70:217-249.
- Malkmus, R. 2000. Herpetologische Beobachtungen auf Sulawesi. *Sauria* 22(2):11-17.
- Malkmus, R. and Kunkel, M. 1992. Amphibien und Reptilien aus dem Leuser-Nationalpark/Nordsumatra. *Herpetofauna* 14(76):27.
- Malkmus, R., Manthey, U., Vogel, G., Hoffmann, P. and Kosuch, J. 2002. *Amphibians and reptiles of Mount Kinabalu* (North Borneo). A.R.G. Gantner Verlag, Rugell:404 pp.
- Manamendra-Arachchi, K., de Silva, A. and Amarasinghe, T. 2006. Description of a second species of *Cophotis* (Reptilia: Agamidae) from the highlands of Sri Lanka. *Lyriocephalus* 6(Suppl. 1):1-8.
- Manthey, S. 2011. Agamen-Tagung 2010. *Iguana Rundschreiben* 24(1):28-32.
- Manthey, S. 2013. *Agamentagung 2012*. *Iguana* 26(1):8-13.
- Manthey, U. 1983. Exkursion am Mt. Kinabalu (4101 m), Nordborneo, Teil 3: Checkliste der Herpetofauna oberhalb 600 m ü. NN. *Herpetofauna* 5(23):20-31.
- Manthey, U. 1991. *Gonocephalus denzeri* sp. n. (Sauria: Agamidae) aus Sarawak, Borneo. *Salamandra* 27(3)1991:152-157.
- Manthey, U. 2008. *Agamid lizards of Southern Asia, Draconinae 1. Terralog* 7:160 pp.
- Manthey, U. 2012. *Hypsicalotes kinabaluensis* (DE GRIJS) [Agamidae: Draconinae]. *Sauria* 34(1):2.
- Manthey, U. and Denzer, W. 1982. Exkursion am Mt. Kinabalu (4101 m), Nordborneo, Teil 2: Herpetologische Eindrücke. *Herpetofauna* 4 (21):11-19.
- Manthey, U. and Denzer, W. 1991. Die Echten Winkelkopfgagamen der Gattung *Gonocephalus* Kaup (Sauria:

- Agamidae). 1. Die *megalepis*-Gruppe mit *Gonocephalus lacunosus* sp. n. aus Nord-Sumatra. *Sauria* 13(1):3-10.
- Manthey, U. and Denzer, W. 1992. Die Echten Winkelkopfgagamen der Gattung *Gonocephalus* KAUP (Sauria: Agamidae) IV *Gonocephalus mjobergi* SMITH, 1925 und *Gonocephalus robinsonii* BOULENGER, 1908. *Sauria* 14(1):15-19.
- Manthey, U. and Denzer, W. 1993. Die Echten Winkelkopfgagamen der Gattung *Gonocephalus* Kaup (Sauria: Agamidae) VI. Die chamaeleontinus-Gruppe. *Sauria* 15(2):23-38.
- Manthey, U. and W. Denzer 2000. Description of a new genus, *Hypsicalotes* gen. nov. (Sauria: Agamidae) from Mt. Kinabalu, North Borneo, with remarks on the generic identity of *Gonocephalus schultzei* Urban, 1999. *Hamadryad* 25(1):13-20.
- Manthey, U. and Denzer, W. 2006. A revision of the Melanesian-Australian angle head lizards of the genus *Hypsilurus* (Sauria: Agamidae: Amphibolurinae), with description of four new species and one new subspecies. *Hamadryad* 30 (1/2):1-40.
- Manthey, U. and Denzer, W. 2009. Remarks on the type specimen of *Gonocephalus mjobergi* Smith, 1925 (Sauria: Agamidae). *Bonner zoologische Beiträge* 56:255-258.
- Manthey, U. and Denzer, W. 2012. Bemerkungen zur Verbreitung von *Japalura yunnanensis* ANDERSON, 1878 (Squamata: Agamidae: Draconinae). *Sauria* 34(2):35-40.
- Manthey, U. and Grossmann, W. 1997. *Amphibien und Reptilien Südasiens*. Natur und Tier Verlag (Münster):512 pp.
- Manthey, S. and Manthey, U. 2005a. *Mantheyus phuwuanensis* (MANTHEY AND NABHITABHATA 1991) - vom Fang bis zur Nachzucht im Terrarium. *Reptilia* (Münster) 10(51):26-31.
- Manthey, S. and Manthey, U. 2005b. *Mantheyus phuwuanensis* - from capture to captive breeding. *Reptilia* (GB) (42):24-28.
- Manthey, U. and Nabhitabhata, J. 1991. Eine neue Agame, *Ptyctolaemus phuwuanensis* sp.n. (Sauria: Agamidae), aus Nordost-Thailand. *Sauria* 13(4):3-6.
- Manthey, U. and Schuster, N. 1999. *Agamen*, 2. Aufl. Natur und Tier Verlag (Münster):120 pp.
- Manthey, U., Denzer, W., Mian, H. and Xiaohe, W. 2012. Discovered in historical collections: Two new *Japalura* species (Squamata: Sauria: Agamidae) from Yulong Snow Mountains, Lijiang Prefecture, Yunnan, PR China. *Zootaxa* 3200:27-48.
- Marx, H. 1958. Catalogue of type specimens of reptiles and amphibians in Chicago Natural History Museum. *Fieldiana Zool.* 36:407-496.
- Mathew, R. 2004. On an abnormal specimen of *Calotes emma* and notes on *Japalura planidorsata*. *Hamadryad*, 28 (1-2):127-128.
- Mathew, R. 2006. On the occurrence of *Japalura variegata* Gray (Reptilia: Sauria: Agamidae) in Mizoram, North East India. *Cobra* 64:14-15.
- McGuire, J. A. and Alcalá, A. C. 2000. A taxonomic revision of the flying lizards (Iguania: Agamidae: *Draco*) of the Philippine Islands, with a description of a new species. *Herpetological Monographs* 14:81-138.
- McGuire, J. A. and Dudley, R. 2011. The Biology of Gliding in Flying Lizards (Genus *Draco*) and their Fossil and Extant Analogs. *Integrative and Comparative Biology* 51(6):983-990. doi:10.1093/icb/acr090. PMID 21798987.
- McGuire, J. A. and Heang, K. B. 2001. Phylogenetic systematics of Southeast Asian flying lizards (Iguania: Agamidae: *Draco*) as inferred from mitochondrial DNA sequence data. *Biological Journal of the Linnean Society* 72:203-229.
- McGuire, J. A. and Kiew, B. -H. 2001. Phylogenetic systematics of Southeast Asian flying lizards (Iguania: Agamidae: *Draco*) as inferred from mitochondrial DNA sequence data. *Biological Journal of the Linnean Society*, 72:203-229.
- McGuire, J. A., Brown, R. M., Mumpini, Riyanto, A. and Andayani, N. 2007. The flying lizards of the *Draco lineatus* group (Squamata: Iguania: Agamidae): A taxonomic revision with descriptions of two new species. *Herpetological Monographs* 21(1):180-213.
- McLeod, D. S., Siler, C. D., Diesmos, A. C., Diesmos, M. L., Garcia, V. S. and Arko, A. O. 2011. Amphibians and Reptiles of Luzon Island, V: The Herpetofauna of Angat Dam Watershed, Bulacan Province, Luzon Island, Philippines. *Asian Herpetological Research* 2(4):177-198.
- Mell, R. 1952. Bodenrenner im subtropischen Bergwald. Der Nackenstachler (*Gonocephalus lepidogaster*). *Die Aquar. Terrar. Z.* (DATZ), Stuttgart, 5(6):160-163.
- Merrem, B. 1820. *Versuch eines Systems der Amphibien I (Tentamen Systematis Amphibiorum)*. J. C. Kriegeri, Marburg:191 pp.
- Mertens, R. 1926. Herpetologische Mitteilungen. - X. Eine neue *Japalura*-Art. *Senckenbergiana* 8(3-4):146-149.
- Mertens, R. 1930. Die Amphibien und Reptilien der Inseln Bali, Lombok, Sumbawa und Flores. *Senck. Naturf. Gesell., Frankfurt am Main, Abhandl.* 42(3):117-344.
- Mertens, R. 1954. Über die javanische Eidechse *Dendragama fruhstorferi* und die Gattung *Dendragama*. *Senckenbergiana* 34(4/6):185-186.
- Meshaka Jr., W. E. 2011. A Runaway train in the making: The exotic amphibians, reptiles, turtles and crocodylians of Florida. *Herp. Cons. Biol.* 6 (Monograph 1):1-101.
- Milto, K. D. and Barabanov, A. V. 2012. A Catalogue of the Agamid and Chamaeleonid Types in the Collection of the Zoological Institute, Russian Academy of Sciences, St. Petersburg. *Russ. J. Herpetol.* 19(2):155-170.
- Mocquard, F. 1890. Recherches sur la faune herpétologique des îles de Bornéo et de Palawan. *Nouv. Arch. Mus. Hist. Nat., Paris*, 3(2):115-168.
- Modigliani, E. 1889. Materiali per la fauna erpetologica dell'isola Nias. *Ann. Mus. civ. stor. nat. Genova* 7:113-124.
- Mohr, H. and Cabrera, J. 2013. *Cophotis ceylanica* - Biologie, Haltung und Nachzucht der Ceylonesischen Taubagame Terraria. *Elaphe* (6):58-71.
- Moody, S. M. 1980. *Phylogenetic and historical biogeographical relationships of the genera in the family Agamidae (Reptilia: Lacertilia)*. PhD thesis, Univ. Michigan, Ann Arbor:373 pp.
- Mori, A. and Hikida, T. 1993. Natural History Observations of the Flying Lizard, *Draco volans sumatranus* (Agamidae, Squamata) from Sarawak, Malaysia. *Raffles Bull Zool* 41(1):83-94.
- Müller, F. 1887. Fünfter Nachtrag zum Katalog der herpetologischen Sammlung des Basler Museums. *Verh. Naturf. Ges. Basel* 8:249-296.
- Müller, F. 1895. Reptilien und Amphibien aus Celebes. (II. Bericht). *Verh. naturf. Ges. Basel* 10:862-869.
- Müller, L. 1928. Herpetologische Mitteilungen II. Ein neuer *Calotes* von Sumatra. *Zool. Anz.* 77:67-69.
- Murthy, T. S. N. 1990. A field book of the lizards of India. *Rec. Zool. Surv. India*, 115:1-122.
- Murthy, T. S. N. 2010. *The reptile fauna of India*. B.R. Publishing, New Delhi:332 pp.
- Musters, C. J. M. 1983. Taxonomy of the genus *Draco* L. (Agamidae: Lacertilia: Reptilia). *Zoologische Verhandlungen*, 199:120 pp. and plates.
- Nabhitabhata, J. and Chan-ard, T. 2005. *Thailand Red Data: Mammals, Reptiles and Amphibians*. Office of Natural Resources and Environmental Policy and Planning. Bangkok:234 pp.
- Nabhitabhata, J., Chan-ard, T. and Chuaynkern, Y. 2000. *Checklist of Amphibians and Reptiles in Thailand*. Office of Environmental Policy and Planning, Bangkok:152pp.

- Naish, D. 2013. Taxonomic vandalism and the Raymond Hoser problem. Online blog at: <http://blogs.scientificamerican.com/tetrapod-zoology/2013/06/20/taxonomic-vandalism-and-raymond-hoser/>
- Nanhoe, L. M. R. and Ouboter, P. E. 1987. The distribution of reptiles and amphibians in the Annapurna-Dhaulagiri region (Nepal). *Zoologische Verhandelingen* (240):1-105.
- Neang T., Grismer, L. L. et al. 2010. First report of the herpetofauna of Dalai Mountain in Phnom Samkos Wildlife Sanctuary, southwestern Cardamon Mountains, Cambodia. *Cambodian J Nat. Hist.* 2010(2):127-143.
- Nevill, H. 1887. Notes on *Calotes* in Ceylon. *Taprobanian* 2:134.
- Nguyen, T. Q. and Ziegler, T. 2010. New discoveries of amphibians and reptiles from Vietnam. *Bonn Zool. Bull.* 57(2):137-147.
- Norval, G. and Mao, J. J. 2008. An instance of *Japalura swinhonis* as prey of *Lycodon ruhstrati ruhstrati* in Chiayi County, Taiwan. *Sauria* 30(3):43-45.
- Okajima, Y. and Kumazawa, Y. 2010. Mitochondrial genomes of acrodont lizards: timing of gene rearrangements and phylogenetic and biogeographic implications. *BMC Evolutionary Biology* 10:141.
- Onn, C. K., Mohd. S. A., Azlin, N. and Aun, P. K. 2009. Additions to the Herpetofauna of Pasoh Forest Reserve, Negeri Sembilan, Peninsular Malaysia. *Tropical Life Sciences Research*, 20(1):71-80.
- Orlov, N. L., Nguyen, Q. T. and Nguyen, V. S. 2006. A new *Acanthosaura* allied to *A. capra* Günther, 1861 (Agamidae, Sauria) from central Vietnam and southern Laos. *Russian Journal of Herpetology* 13 (1):61-76.
- Ota, H. 1988. Re-evaluation of the status of *Japalura mitsukurii* STEJNEGER 1898 (Agamidae: Reptilia). *Amphibia-Reptilia* 9:375-383.
- Ota, H. 1989a. The status of an agamid lizard, *Japalura swinhonis chapaensis* Bourret, 1937, from Vietnam. *Journal of Herpetology*, 23(4):447-450.
- Ota, H. 1989b. *Japalura brevipes* Gressitt (Agamidae: Reptilia), a valid species from high altitude area of Taiwan. *Herpetologica*, 45(1):55-60.
- Ota, H. 1989c. A new species of *Japalura* (Agamidae: Lacertilia: Reptilia) from Taiwan. *Copeia* 1989(3):569-576.
- Ota, H. 1991a. Taxonomic redefinition of *Japalura swinhonis* GÜNTHER (Agamidae: Squamata), with a description of a new subspecies of *J. polygonata* from Taiwan. *Herpetologica* 47:280-294.
- Ota, H. 1991b. Taxonomic Status of *Mabuya multicarinata* (Gray, 1845) (Scincidae: Squamata: Reptilia) from Taiwan, with Comments on the Herpetofauna of Lanyu Island. *Bull. Coll. Sci., Univ. Ryukyus*, 51:11-18.
- Ota, H. 2000a. On the validity of *Japalura yunnanensis popei* WETTSTEIN 1938 (Squamata: Agamidae). *Amphibia-Reptilia* 21(3):397-403.
- Ota, H. 2000b. *Japalura szechwanensis*, a junior synonym of *J. fasciata*. *Journal of Herpetology*, 34(4):611-614.
- Ota, H. 2003. A new subspecies of the Agamid Lizard, *Japalura polygonata* (Hallowell, 1861) (Reptilia: Squamata), from Yonagunijima Island of the Yaeyama Group, Ryukyu Archipelago. *Current Herpetology* 22(2):61-71.
- Ota, H. and Hikida, T. 1991. Taxonomic review of the lizards of the genus *Calotes* Cuvier 1817 (Agamidae Squamata) from Sabah, Malaysia. *Tropical Zoology* 4(2):179-192.
- Ota, H. and Hikida, T. 1996. The second specimen of *Calotes kinabaluensis* DE GRIJS (Squamata: Agamidae) from Sabah, Malaysia, with comments on the taxonomic status of the species. *Journal of Herpetology* 30(2):288-291.
- Ota, H. and Hikida, T. 2000. *Aphaniotis nasuta* (DE JONG, 1930), a junior synonym of *A. ornata* (VAN LIDTH DE JEUDE, 1893) (Squamata: Agamidae). *Current Herpetology*, 19(1):11-14.
- Ota, H. and Weidenhofer, T. 1992. The first male specimen of the poorly known agamid lizard *Japalura chapaensis* Bourret, 1937 (Reptilia: Sauria), from northern Vietnam, with notes on its taxonomic status. *Raffles Bulletin of Zoology*, 40(2):193-199.
- Ota, H., Chen, S. L. and Shang, G. 1998. *Japalura luei*: a new agamid lizard from Taiwan (Reptilia: Squamata). *Copeia*, 1998(3):649-656.
- Pachmann, A. 2008. In 2 Jahren um die Welt - Jetzt gehts richtig los. *Reptilia* (Münster) 13(71):12-13.
- Pachmann, A. 2012. Drei Jahre Fotosafari durch Asien und Ozeanien Terraria. *Elaphe* (6):40-51.
- Pal, A., Swain, M. M. and Rath, S. 2010. Observations on microhabitat use and activity patterns in *Sitana ponticeriana* (Sauria: Agamidae). *Russian Journal of Herpetology* 17(1):22-30.
- Pal, A. et al. 2011. Reproduction and sexual dichromatism in *Sitana ponticeriana* (Reptilia: Draconinae: Agamidae) *Taprobanica* 3(1):31-37.
- Pandav, B. N., Shanbhag, B. A. and Saidapu, S. K. 2010. Growth patterns and reproductive strategies in the lizard, *Calotes versicolor* raised in captivity. *Acta Herpetologica* 5(2):131-142.
- Pandav, B. N., Saidapur, S. K. and Shanbhag, B. A. 2012. Expression of phenotypic plasticity in hatchlings of the lizard *Calotes versicolor* (Squamata: Agamidae): influence of nest moisture. *Phyllomedusa* 11(1):13-20.
- Patankar, P., Desai, I., Trivedi, J. N. and Balakrishnan, S. 2013. Ethogram of courtship and mating behaviour of *Sitana cf. ponticeriana* (Reptilia: Draconinae: Agamidae) in India. *Taprobanica* 5(1):44-49.
- Pauwels, O. S. G. et al. 2000. Herpetological investigations in Phang-Nga Province, southern Peninsular Thailand, with a list of reptile species and notes on their biology. *Dumerilia* 4(2):123-154.
- Pauwels, O. S. G., David, P., Chimsunchart, C. and Thirakhuht, K. 2003. Reptiles of Phetchaburi Province, Western Thailand: a list of species, with natural history notes, and a discussion on the biogeography at the Isthmus of Kra. *Natural History Journal of Chulalongkorn University* 3(1):23-53.
- Peters, W. C. H. 1860. Über einige interessante Amphibien, welche von dem durch seine zoologischen Schriften rühmlichst bekannten österreichischen Naturforscher Professor Schmarda während seiner auf mehrere Welttheile ausgedehnten, besonders auf wirbellose Thiere gerichtet. *Monatsber. königl. Akad. Wiss. Berlin* 1860 (April):182-186.
- Peters, W. C. H. 1861a. On a new genus of lizards from Ceylon. *Ann. Mag. Nat. Hist.* 1861:419-420.
- Peters, W. C. H. 1861b. Eine neue Gattung von Eidechsen, *Cophotis ceylanica*, aus Ceylon. *Monatsberichte der königlich Akademie der Wissenschaften zu Berlin*. 1861 (December):1103-1105.
- Peters, W. 1864. Über einige neue Säugethiere (*Mormops*, *Macrotus*, *Vesperus*, *Molossus*, *Capromys*), Amphibien (*Platydictylus*, *Otocryptis*, *Euprepes*, *Ungalia*, *Dromicus*, *Tropidonotus*, *Xenodon*, *Hylodes*), und Fische (*Sillago*, *Sebastes*, *Channa*, *Myctophum*, *Carassius*, *Barbus*, Mber. k. preuss. *Akad. Wiss. Berlin* [1864]:381-399.
- Peters, W. C. H. 1867. Herpetologische Notizen. *Monatsber. königl. Akad. Wiss. Berlin*. 1867 (Januar):13-37.
- Peters, W. C. H. 1871. Über neue Reptilien aus Ostafrika und Sarawak (Borneo), vorzüglich aus der Sammlung des Hrn. Marquis J. Doria zu Genua. *Mber. k. preuss. Akad. Wiss., Berlin* [1871]:566-581.
- Peters, W. C. H. and Doria, G. 1878. Catalogo dei rettili e dei batraci. *Annali di Museo Civico di Storia Naturale di Genova* 13:323-450.

- Pethiyagoda, R. and Manamendra-Arachchi, K. 1998. A revision of the endemic Sri Lankan agamid lizard genus *Ceratophora* Gray, 1835, with description of two new species. *Journal of South Asian natural History* 3(1):1.
- Pianka, E. R. and Vitt, L. J. 2003. *Lizards: Windows to the Evolution of Diversity*. University of California Press, Berkeley:347 pp.
- Pope, C. H. 1935. *The Reptiles of China. Turtles, Crocodilians, Snakes, Lizards*. Amer. Mus. Nat. Hist., New York, Nat. Hist. Central Asia, 10:lii+1-604.
- Pawlowski, S. and Krämer, C. 2007. Habitatbeobachtungen der Grünen Gartenagame, *Calotes calotes* (LINNAEUS, 1758) auf Sri Lanka. *Sauria* 29(4):43-48.
- Pianka, E. R. and Vitt, L. J. 2003. *Lizards: Windows to the Evolution of Diversity*. University of California Press, Berkeley:347 pp.
- Pradeep, W. A. A. D. G. and Amarasinghe, A. A. T. 2009. Ovipositional Behavior of *Calotes ceylonensis* Müller, 1887 (Reptilia: Agamidae) observed at the central province of Sri Lanka. *Taprobanica* 1(1):24-27.
- Prinz, H. and Prinz, T. 1986. Beobachtungen an der Lyrakopfagame (*Lyriocephalus scutatus*), ihre Haltung und Aufzucht. *Herpetofauna* 8(43):28-34.
- Pyron, R. A., Burbrink, F. T. and Weins, J. J. 2013. A phylogeny and revised classification of Squamata, including 4161 species of lizards and snakes. Published online at: <http://www.biomedcentral.com/1471-2148/13/93>.
- Quah, E. S. H., Shahrulanuar, M. S., Grismer, L. L., Mohd Abdul Muin, M. A., Chan, K. O. and Grismer, J. 2011. Preliminary Checklist of the Herpetofauna of Jerejak Island, Penang, Malaysia. *Malayan Nature Journal* 63(3):595-600.
- Quah, E. S. H., Chien, C. T., Muin, M. A. and Sah, S. A. M. 2012. Color Changes During Courtship and Mating of the Green Crested Lizard, *Bronchocela cristatella* (Kuhl, 1820), (Squamata: Agamidae) with a Discussion of its Behavioral and Evolutionary Significance. *Russ. J. Herpetol.* 19(4):303-306.
- Radder, R. S., Shanbhag, B. A. and Saidapur, S. K. 2002. Influence of incubation temperature and substrate on eggs and embryos of the garden lizard, *Calotes versicolor* (Daud.). *Amphibia-Reptilia* 23(1):71-82.
- Rastegar-Pouyani, M., Kami, H. G., Rajabzadeh, M., Shafiei, S. and Steven Clement, A. 2008. Annotated Checklist of Amphibians and Reptiles of Iran. *Iranian Journal of Animal Biosystematics* 4(1):7-30.
- Rendahl, H. 1937. Beiträge zur Herpetologie von Birma. *Arkiv för Zoologi* 29A(10):1-29.
- Reynolds, R. G., Niemiller, M. L. and Revella, L. J. 2013a. Toward a Tree-of-Life for the boas and pythons: Multilocus species-level phylogeny with unprecedented taxon sampling. *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution*, Uncorrected proof uploaded on 6 December 2013 to <http://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1055790313004284>
- Reynolds, R. G., Niemiller, M. L. and Revella, L. J. 2013b. Toward a Tree-of-Life for the boas and pythons: Multilocus species-level phylogeny with unprecedented taxon sampling. *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution*, Uncorrected proof uploaded on 6 December 2013 to http://www.venomdoc.com/downloads/MPE_pythons.pdf
- Reynolds, R. G., Niemiller, M. L. and Revella, L. J. 2014. Toward a Tree-of-Life for the boas and pythons: Multilocus species-level phylogeny with unprecedented taxon sampling. *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution* 71:201-213.
- Ride, W. D. L. (ed.) et al. (on behalf of the International Commission on Zoological Nomenclature) 1999. *International code of Zoological Nomenclature*. The Natural History Museum, Cromwell Road - London SW7 5BD, UK (also commonly cited as "ICZN 1999").
- Rogner, M. 2006. Mauritius für Anfänger. *Reptilia* (Münster) 11(60):43-50.
- Ross, C. A. and Lazell, J. D. 1991. Amphibians and reptiles of Dinagat and Siargao Islands, Philippines. *Philippine J. of Science* 119[1990]:257-286.
- Saikia, U., Sharma, D. K. and Sharma, R. M. 2007. Checklist of the Reptilian fauna of Himachal Pradesh, India. *Reptile Rap* (8):6-9.
- Samarawickrama, V. A. M. P. K., Ranawana, K. B., Rajapaksha, D. R. N. S. and Ananjeva, N. B. 2006. A new species of the genus *Cophotis* (Squamata: Agamidae) from Sri Lanka. *Russian Journal of Herpetology* 13(3):207-214.
- Sandera, M. and Starastová, Z. 2009. A record of Asian agama of the genus *Calotes* Cuvier, 1817 (Squamata: Agamidae) in Kenya. *Bonner zoologische Beiträge* 56(4):225-228.
- Sang, N. V., Ho, T. C. and Nguyen, Q. T. 2009. *Herpetofauna of Vietnam*. Chimaira, Frankfurt:768 pp.
- Sathiamurthy, E. and Voris, H. K. 2006. Maps of Holocene sea level transgression and submerged lakes on the Sunda Shelf. *The Natural History Journal of Chulalongkorn University Supplement* 2:1-43.
- Scharf, I. and Meiri, S. 2013. Sexual dimorphism of heads and abdomens: Different approaches to 'being large' in female and male lizards. *Biological Journal of the Linnean Society*, 1-21+7 pp. Figs (online pdf).
- Schlegel, H. 1848. Descriptions de plusieurs espèces nouvelles du genre *Lophyrus*. *Bijdr. Dierk.* (Amsterdam) 1:4-6.
- Schleich, H. H. and Kästle, W. (eds.). 2002. *Amphibians and Reptiles of Nepal*. A.R.G. Gantner Verlag K.G, Ruggell, [i-ii]+ix+1201 pp.
- Schmidt, K. P. 1925. New Chinese amphibians and reptiles. *American Museum Novitates* (175):1-3.
- Schlegel, H. 1837. *Abbildungen neuer oder unvollständig bekannter Amphibien, nach der Natur oder dem Leben entworfen*. Düsseldorf (Arnz & Comp.), i-xiv+141 pp. [1837-1844].
- Schleich, H. H. and Kästle, W. 1998a. Studies on the morphology of *Sitana sivalensis spec. nov.* and *Sitana ponticeriana* Cuvier, 1829. pp: 101-120 in: Schleich, H. H. and Kästle, W. (Eds). *Contributions to the herpetology of south-Asia* (Nepal, India). Fuhlrott-Museum, Wuppertal.
- Schleich, H. H. and Kästle, W. 1998b. *Sitana fusca spec. nov.*, a further species from the *Sitana sivalensis*-complex. pp: 207-226 in: Schleich, H. H. and Kästle, W. (Eds). *Contributions to the herpetology of south-Asia* (Nepal, India). Fuhlrott-Museum, Wuppertal.
- Schleich, H. H. and Kästle, W. 2002. *Amphibians and Reptiles of Nepal*. Koeltz, Königstein:1200 pp.
- Schleich, H. H., Kästle, W. and Sha, K. B. 1998. Description of *Sitana sivalensis spec. nov.*, (Sauria: Agamidae) from south Nepal. pp: 87-100 in: Schleich, H. H. and Kästle, W. (Eds). *Contributions to the herpetology of south-Asia* (Nepal, India). Fuhlrott-Museum, Wuppertal.
- Schradin, H. 2004. Haltung und Nachzucht der chinesischen Bergagame (*Japalura splendida*). *Reptilia* (Münster) 9(49):56-66.
- Schulte, II, J. A., Robert Macey, J., Pethiyagoda, R. and Larson, A. 2002. Rostral Horn Evolution among Agamid Lizards of the Genus *Ceratophora* Endemic to Sri Lanka. *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution* 22:111-117.
- Schulte II, J. A., Vindum, J. V., Win, H., Thin, T., Lwin, K. S. and Shein, A. K. 2004. Phylogenetic relationships of the genus *Ptyctolaemus* (Squamata: Agamidae), with a description of a new species from the Chin Hills of western Myanmar. *Proceedings of the California Academy of Sciences*, 55(12):222-247.
- Setiadi, M. I. and Hamidy, A. 2006. *Jenis-Jenis Herpetofauna di*

- Pulau Halmahera*. Kerjasama antara Pusat Studi Biodiversitas dan Konservasi Universitas Indonesia dan Museum Zoologicum Bogoriense, Puslit Biologi Lembaga Ilmu Pengetahuan Indonesia.
- Shah, K. B. 1995. *Enumeration of the amphibians and reptiles of Nepal*. Biodiversity Profiles Project, publication no.2, HMG'S Department of National Parks and Wildlife Conservation, Kathmandu, Nepal.
- Shanbhag, B. A., Ammanna, V. H. F. and Saidapur, S. K. 2010. Associative learning in hatchlings of the lizard *Calotes versicolor*: taste and colour discrimination. *Amphibia-Reptilia* 31(4):475-481.
- Sharma, R. C. 2002. Fauna of India and the adjacent countries. Reptilia (Sauria). *Zoological Survey of India*, Kolkata [sic!]:430 pp.
- Sharma, S. K. 2010. Infighting injuries in male common Indian Lizard *Calotes versicolor* (Daudin) during breeding period. *Reptile Rap* (9):11-13.
- Shaw, G. and Nodder, F. P. (Eds.) 1790. *The Naturalist's Miscellany* [...], Vol. I. London, Nodder and Co., plates 1-37, 158 unnumbered pages [published in monthly issues between August 1, 1789, and July 1, 1790].
- Shine, R. 1998. Costs of reproduction and the evolution of sexual dimorphism in a flying lizard' *Draco melanopogon* (Agamidae). *Journal of Zoology* 246:203-213.
- Shreve, B. 1940. Reptiles and amphibians from Burma with descriptions of three new skinks. *Proc. New England zool. Club* 18:17-26.
- Siler, C. D. and Brown, R. M. 2010. Phylogeny-based Species Delimitation in Philippine Slender Skinks (Reptilia: Squamata: Scincidae: Brachymeles): Taxonomic Revision of Pentadactyl Species Groups and Description of Three New Species. *Herpetological Monographs* 24(1):1-54.
- Siler, C. D., Welton, L. J., Siler, J. M., Brown, J., Bucol, A., ; Diesmos, A. C. and Brown, R. 2011. Amphibians and Reptiles, Luzon Island, Aurora Province and Aurora Memorial National Park, Northern Philippines: New island distribution records. *Check List* 7(2):182-195.
- Simpson, G. G. 1961. *Principles of Animal Taxonomy*. Columbia University Press, New York, New York, USA.
- Sindaco, R. and Jeremcenko, V. K. 2008. *The reptiles of the Western Palearctic*. Edizioni Belvedere, Latina (Italy):579 pp.
- Slevin, J. R. and Leviton, A. E. 1956. Holotype specimens of reptiles and amphibians in the collection of the California Academy of Sciences. *Proc. Cal. Acad. Sci.* 28(14):529-560.
- Smedley, N. 1931a. On some reptiles and a frog from the Natuna Islands. *Bull. Raffl. Mus.* No 5: 46-48
- Smedley, N. 1931b. Amphibians and reptiles from the Cameron Highlands, Malay Peninsula. *Bull. Raffl. Mus.*, Singapore, 6:105-123.
- Smedley, N. 1932. Notes on the herpetological collections in the Selangor Museum. *Bull. Raffl. Mus.* Singapore, 7:9-17.
- Smith, B. E. 1993. Notes on a collection of squamate reptiles from eastern Mindanao, Philippine Islands part 1: Lacertilia. *Asiatic Herpetological Research* 5:85-95.
- Smith, H. M. 1946. *Handbook of Lizards. Lizards of the United States and of Canada*. Cornell University Press. Ithaca, New York, USA.
- Smith, M. A. 1924. Two new lizards and a new tree frog from the Malay Peninsula. *J. Fed. Malay. St. Mus., Singapore*, 11:183-186.
- Smith, M. A. 1925. On a collection of reptiles and amphibians from Mt. Murud, Borneo. *Sarawak Mus. J.*, Kuching, 8:5-14.
- Smith, M. A. 1927. Contributions to the herpetology of the Indo-Australian region. *Proceedings of the Zoological Society of London* 1927:199-225.
- Smith, M. A. 1928. Description of a new species of *Draco* from the Indo-Chinese Region. *Ann. Mag. nat. Hist.* (10)2:248.
- Smith, M. A. 1930. *The Reptilia and Amphibia of the Malay Peninsula from the Isthmus of Kra to Singapore, including the adjacent Islands*. A Supplement to G. A. Boulenger's Reptilia and Batrachia 1912. *Bull. Raffles Mus.* 3:1-149.
- Smith, M. A. 1931. The herpetology of Mt. Kinabalu, North Borneo, 13455 ft. *Bull. Raffles Mus.*, Singapore, 5:3-32.
- Smith, M. A. 1935. *The fauna of British India, including Ceylon and Burma. Reptiles and Amphibia, Vol. II. Sauria*. Taylor and Francis, London:440 pp.
- Smith, M.A. 1937a. Description of a new species of agamid lizard from Upper Burma. *J. Bombay Nat. Hist. Soc.* 39:755.
- Smith, M. A. 1937b. *Draco blanfordi* and its allies. *Bull. Raffles Mus.*, 13:75-76.
- Smith, M. A. 1940. The Amphibians and Reptiles obtained by Mr. Ronald Kaulback in Upper Burma. *Records of the Indian Museum* 42:465-486.
- Smith, M. A. 1943. *The Fauna of British India, Ceylon and Burma, Including the Whole of the Indo-Chinese Sub-Region*. Reptilia and Amphibia. 3 (Serpentes). Taylor and Francis, London:583 pp.
- Smith, M. A. and Kloss, C. B. 1915a. *J. Nat. Hist. Soc. Siam* 1:239.
- Smith, M. A. and Kloss, C. B. 1915b. *J. Nat. Hist. Soc. Siam* 4(2):93.
- Smith, M. A. and Procter, J. B. 1921. On a collection of reptiles and batrachians from the island of Ceram, Indo-Australian Archipelago. *Annals and Magazine of Natural History Series* 940:352-355.
- Smits, J. W. L. 2004. *Acanthosaura armata*. *Lacerta* 62(1):4-6.
- Somaweera, R. and Somaweera, N. 2009. *Lizards of Sri Lanka: a colour guide with field keys*. Chimaira, Frankfurt:304 pp.
- Song, M. 1987. Survey of the reptiles of southern Shaanxi. *Acta Herpetologica Sinica*, 6(1):59-64.
- Stejneger, L. H. 1898. On a collection of batrachians and reptiles from Formosa and Adjacent Islands. *J. Coll. Sci. Univ. Tokyo* 12:215-225.
- Stejneger, L. H. 1907. Herpetology of Japan and adjacent territory. *Bull. US Natl. Mus.* 58:xx+1-577.
- Stejneger, L. H. 1908. A new species of flying lizard from the Philippine Islands. *Proc. US Natl. Mus.* 33:677-679.
- Stejneger, L. H. 1910. The batrachians and reptiles of Formosa. *Proc. US Natl. Mus.* 38:91-114.
- Stejneger, L. H. 1924. Herpetological novelties from China. *Occasional Papers of the Boston Society of Natural History*, 5:119-121.
- Stone, F. H. S. 1913. Notes on the Flying Lizard (*Draco maculatus*). *J. Bombay Nat. Hist. Soc.* 22:640.
- Stoliczka, F. 1873a. Notes on some species of Malayan Amphibia and reptilia. *J. Asiat. Soc. Bengal* 42:111-126.
- Stoliczka, F. 1873b. Notes on some Andamese and Nicobarese Reptiles, with the descriptions of three new species of lizards. *J. Asiat. Soc. Bengal* 42:162-169.
- Stuart, B. L. and Emmett, D. A. 2006. A Collection of Amphibians and Reptiles from the Cardamom Mountains, Southwestern Cambodia. *Fieldiana Zool. N.S.* (109):1-27.
- Stuart, B., Sok, K. and Neang, T. 2006. A collection of amphibians and reptiles from hilly Eastern Cambodia. *Raffl. Bull. Zool.* 54(1):129-155.
- Stuart, B. L., Rowley, J. J. L., Neang, T., Emmett, D. A. and Sitha, S. 2010. Significant new records of amphibians and reptiles from Virachey National Park, northeastern Cambodia. *Cambodian Journal of Natural History* 2010(1):38-47.
- Subramanean, J. and Vikram Reddy, B. 2012. Diurnal Variation in Densities of Three Species of Lizards: *Sitana ponticeriana*, *Calotes versicolor*, and *Eutropis bibronii* in Relation to

- Temperature and Relative Humidity Across Different Seasons on Coramandel Coast Near Chennai (India). *Russ. J. Herpetol.* 19(4):277-283.
- Sura, P. 1989. Über eine kleine Sammlung von Fröschen und Echsen aus dem zentralen Teil Nepals. *Herpetofauna* 11(58):28-31.
- Swan, L. W. and Leviton, A. E. 1962. The herpetology of Nepal: a history, check list, and zoogeographical analysis of the herpetofauna. *Proc. Cal. Acad. Sci.* 32(6) (4.s.):103-147.
- Sworder, G. H. 1933. Notes on some reptiles from the Malay Peninsula. *Bull. Raffl. Mus.* 8:101-105.
- Tanaka, S. and Nishihira, M. 1981. Notes on an Agamid lizard, *Japalura polygonata*. *Biological Magazine Okinawa* 19:33-39.
- Taylor, E. H. 1922. *The lizards of the Philippine Islands*. Department of Agriculture and Natural Resources, Bureau of Science, Government of the Philippine Islands, Manila, Publication no. 17:269 pp.
- Taylor, E. H. 1923. Additions to the herpetological fauna of the Philippine Islands, III. *Philippine Journal of Science*, 22:515-557.
- Taylor, E. H. 1934. Zoological results of the third De Schauensee Siamese Expedition, part III. Amphibians and Reptiles. *Proc. Acad. Nat. Sci. Philad.* 86:281-310.
- Taylor, E. H. 1953. A review of the lizards of Ceylon. *Univ. Kansas Sci. Bull.* 35(12):1525-1585.
- Taylor, E. H. 1963. The lizards of Thailand. *Univ. Kansas Sci. Bull.* 44:687-1077.
- Teo, R. C. H. and Rajathurai, S. 1997. Mammals, reptiles and amphibians in the Nature Reserves of Singapore: diversity, abundance and distribution. Proc. Nature Reserves Survey Seminar. *Gardens' Bulletin Singapore* 49:353-425.
- ter Borg, J. 2005. Mariene herpetologie en enkele andere herpetologische waarnemingen op en rond Bali, Lombok en Komodo (Indonesië). *Lacerta* 63(6):242-256.
- ter Borg, J. 2007. Reptielen van Bali, een aanvulling. *Lacerta* 65(1):42.
- Theobald, W. 1876. *Descriptive catalogue of the reptiles of British India*. Thacker, Spink and Co., Calcutta:xiii+238 pp.
- Thireau, M., Sprackland, R. G. and Sprackland, T. 1998. A report on Seba's specimens in the herpetological collection of the Muséum National d'Histoire Naturelle, Paris, and their status as Linnaean types. *The Linnean* 13(4):38-45.
- Thomas, T., Granatosky, M. C., Bourque, J. R., Krysko, K. L., Moler, P. E., Gamble, T., Suarez, E., Leone, E. and Roman, J. 2014. Taxonomic assessment of Alligator Snapping Turtles (Chelydridae: *Macrochelys*), with the description of two new species from the southeastern United States. *Zootaxa* 3786(2):141-165.
- Tiedemann, F. and Häupl, M. 1980. Typenkatalog der herpetologischen Sammlung. *Kat. wiss. Samml. Naturhist. Mus. Wien* 4 (Vertebrata 2):1-80.
- Tiedemann, F., Häupl, M. and Grillitsch, H. 1994. Katalog der Typen der herpetologischen Sammlung nach dem Stand vom 1. Jänner 1994. Teil II: Reptilia. *Kat. wiss. Samml. Naturhist. Mus. Wien* 10 (Vertebrata 4):1-110.
- Tikader, B. K. and Sharma, R. C. 1992. *Handbook Indian Lizards*. Zoological Survey of India, Calcutta.
- Tiwari, K. K. and Biswas, S. 1973. Two new reptiles from the great Nicobar Islands. *J. Zool. Soc. India* 25:57-63.
- Tiwari, M. and Schiavina, A. 1990 Biology of the Indian garden lizard, *Calotes versicolor* (Daudin). Part I: Morphometrics. *Hamadryad* 15:30-33.
- Treitschke, R. (Hrsg.) 1839. *Naturhistorischer Bildersaal des Thierreiches. nach William Jardine bearbeitet, nebst einem Vorworte von Karl Vogel; 4 Bände*. Pesth and Leipzig (C. A. Hartleben), [1839, 1841, 1842, 1843 for the 4 volumes]
- Tsetan, C. and Ramanibai, R. 2011. Reptilian fauna of agricultural landscapes of Chembarambakkam Lake, Chennai, Tamil Nadu. *Reptile Rap* (13):2-8.
- Turpin, A. and Probst, J. M. 1996. Une espèce de reptile en progression constante dans les Mascareignes : l'Agame *Calotes versicolor*. *Bulletin Phaethon*. 4:81-82.
- Tweedie, M. W. F. 1954. Notes on Malayan reptiles, No.3. *Bull. Raffl. Mus.* 25:107-117.
- Upadhye, M. V., Puranik, V. V., Dabholkar, P. and Jadhav, U. 2012. Herpetofauna of the Vidyarnagari campus of the University of Mumbai, Maharashtra. *Reptile Rap* (14):15-20.
- Van Denburgh, J. 1912. Concerning certain species of reptiles and amphibians from China, Japan, the Loo Choo Islands, and Formosa. *Proc. Cal. Ac. Sci. (Series 4)* 3(10):187-258.
- van der Kooij, J. 2001. The herpetofauna of the Sultanate of Oman: Part 1: The amphibians, worm lizards, agamas and chameleons. *Podarcis* 1(3):70-82.
- van Rooijen, J. and van Rooijen, M. 2002. Einige Ergänzungen, Berichtigungen und neue Beobachtungen zur Herpetofauna von Pulau Tioman, West-Malaysia. *Sauria* 24(3):3-12.
- Venugopal, P. D. 2010. An updated and annotated list of Indian lizards (Reptilia: Sauria) based on a review of distribution records and checklists of Indian reptiles. *Journal of Threatened Taxa* 2(3):725-738.
- Vinciguerra, D. 1892. Rettili e batraci di Engano. *Ann. Mus. civ. stor. nat. Genova*, 2. Ser. 7(32):517-526.
- Vindum, J. V., Win, H., Thin, T., Lwin, K. S., Shein, A. K. and Tun, H. 2003. A new *Calotes* (Squamata: Agamidae) from the Indo-Burman range of western Myanmar (Burma). *Proc. Cal. Acad. Sci.* 54(1):1-16.
- Vitt, L. J. and Pianka, E. R. 2006. The scaly ones: Squamata - lizards and snakes - have spread to almost every landmass and branched into more than 7,200 species. *Natural History* 115(6):28-35.
- Vogt, T. 1914. Südchinesische Amphibien und Reptilien. *Sitzungsber. Ges. Naturf. Freunde Berlin* 1914:96-102.
- Voris, H. U. 1977. Comparison of Herpetofauna diversity in tree buttresses of evergreen tropical forests. *Herpetologica* 33:375-380.
- Vyas, R. 2007. Herpetofauna of Puma Wildlife Sanctuary, Gujarat, India. *Reptile Rap* (8):10-15.
- Vyas, R. 2011a. Preliminary Survey on Reptiles of Jassore Wildlife Sanctuary, Gujarat State, India. *Russian Journal of Herpetology* 18(3):210-214.
- Vyas, R. 2011b. Reptilian diversity in and around the Shoolpaneshwar Wildlife Sanctuary, Gujarat, India. *Reptile Rap* (11):5-15.
- Wagler, J. G. 1830. *Natürliches System der Amphibien, mit vorangehender Classification der Säugetiere und Vögel. Ein Beitrag zur vergleichenden Zoologie*. 1.0. Cotta, München, Stuttgart, and Tübingen:354 pp.
- Wall, F. 1908a. Remarks on the Agamoid Lizard (*Ptyctolaemus gularis*). *J. Bombay Nat. Hist. Soc.* 18:505.
- Wall, F. 1908b. Remarks on the Agamoid Lizard (*Calotes jerdoni*). *J. Bombay Nat. Hist. Soc.* 18:505-506.
- Wallach, V., Wüster, W. and Broadley, D. 2009. In praise of subgenera: taxonomic status of Cobras of the genus *Naja* Laurenti (Serpentes: Elapidae). *Zootaxa* 2236:26-36 (online paper).
- Wanger, T. C., Motzke, I., Saleh, S. and Iskandar, D. T. 2011. The amphibians and reptiles of the Lore Lindu National Park area, Central Sulawesi, Indonesia. *Salamandra* 47(1):17-29.
- Waltner, R. C. 1975. Geographical and altitudinal distribution of amphibians and reptiles in the Himalayas. *Cheetal* (Dehra Dun, India) 16(1):17-25; 16(2):28-36; 16(3):14-19; 16(4):12-17.
- Weber, M. 1890. *Reptilia from the Malay Archipelago*. Leiden Brill. 1:159-177. I. Sauria, Crocodylidae, Chelonia. Zoologische

- Ergebnisse einer Reise in Niederländisch Ost-Indien, M. Weber. Wermuth, H. 1967. Liste der rezenten Amphibien und Reptilien. Agamidae. *Das Tierreich* 86:1-127.
- Werner, F. 1896. Zweiter Beitrag zur Herpetologie der indo-orientalischen Region. *Verh. Zool. Bot. Ges. Wien* 46:6-24.
- Werner, F. 1900. *Zool. Jahrb. Syst.* 13:468.
- Werner, F. 1904. Beschreibung neuer Reptilien aus den Gattungen *Acanthosaura*, *Calotes*, *Gastropholis* und *Typhlops*. *Zool. Anz.* 27:461-464.
- Werner, F. 1910. Über neue oder seltene Reptilien des Naturhistorischen Museums in Hamburg. II. Eidechsen. *Jahrbuch der Hamburgischen Wissenschaftliche Anstalten* 27:1-46.
- Wettstein, O. 1938. Eine neue *Japalura* aus Cambodja. *Zool Anz.* 122:175-177.
- Wiegmann, A. F. A. 1831. *Isis von Oken* 24:291
- Wiegmann, A. F. A. 1834a. *Herpetologia Mexicana, seu descriptio amphibiorum Novae Hispaniae, quae itineribus Comitis de Sack, Ferdinandi Deppe et Chr. Guil.* Schiede in Museum Zoologicum Berolinense pervenerunt Berlin, Germany. C. G. Lüderitz.
- Wiegmann, A. F. A. 1834b. In: Dr. F. J. F. Meyen: Beiträge zur Zoologie gesammelt auf einer Reise um die Erde. Siebente Abhandlung. Amphibien. Nova Acta Physico-Medica Academia Caesarea Leopoldino-Carolina (Halle) 17:185-268 [1835].
- Wilms, T. 2004. Plaunung und Bau eines Reptilienzoos: Reptilium: Terrarien und Wüstenzoo in Landau. Teil 2. *Reptilia* (Münster) 9(47):78-83.
- Wogan, G. O. U., Vindum, J. V., Wilkinson, J. A., Koo, M. S., Slowinski, J. B., Win, H., Thin, T., Kyi, S. W., Oo, S. L., Lwin, K. S. and Shein, A. K. 2008. New country records and range extensions for Myanmar amphibians and reptiles. *Hamadryad*, 33:83-96.
- Wöhrle, J. 1996. Nachzucht des Nackenstachlers *Acanthosaura crucigera*. *Elaphe* 4(4):21-22.
- Wood, P. L., Youmans, T. M., Grismer, J. L., Wheatley, J., Wright, S. and Cindy, V. 2004. First report of the herpetofauna of Pulau Sibul, Johor, West Malaysia. *Hamadryad* 28(1/2):116-119.
- Wood, P. L., Grismer, J. L., Grismer, L. L., Ahmad, N., Onn, C. K. and Bauer, A. M. 2009. Two new montane species of *Acanthosaura* Gray, 1831 (Squamata: Agamidae) from Peninsular Malaysia. *Zootaxa* 2012:28-46.
- Wood, P. L., Grismer, L. L., Grismer, J. L., Neang, T., Chav, T. and Holden, J. 2010. A new cryptic species of *Acanthosaura* Gray, 1831 (Squamata: Agamidae) from Thailand and Cambodia. *Zootaxa* 2488:22-38.
- Wu, J., Gao, Z. and Qin, A. 2005. A re-description of *Japalura batangensis*. *Sichuan Journal of Zoology* 24(3):344-345.
- Wüster, W., Wallach, V. and Broadley, D. 2014a. Comments on *Spracklandus* Hoser, 2009 (Reptilia, Serpentes, ELAPIDAE): request for confirmation of the availability of the generic name and for the nomenclatural validation of the journal in which it was published (Case 3601; see BZN 70: 234-237). *Bulletin of Zoological Nomenclature* 71(1):37-38.
- Wüster, W. et al. 2014b. "Herpetological Taxonomy". Facebook page, 51 pages of hate posts spanning 26 November 2013 to 8 March 2014.
- Yang, D. T., Su, C. Y. and Li, S. M. 1979. New species and new subspecies of amphibians and reptiles from Gaoligong Shan, Yunnan. *ACTA Zootaxonomica Sinica* 4(2)1979:185-188.
- Zaher, H., Grazziotin, F. G., Cadle, J. E., Murphy, R. W., de Moura Leite, J. C. and Bonatto, S. L., 2009. Molecular phylogeny of advanced snakes (Serpentes, Caenophidia) with an emphasis on South American xenodontines: a revised classification and descriptions of new taxa. *Pap. Avulsos Zool.* 49:115-153.
- Zhao, E. and Adler, K. 1993. *Herpetology of China*. SSAR, Oxford/Ohio:1-522.
- Zhao, E. and Li, S. 1984. A new species of *Calotes* (Lacertilia: Agamidae) from Xizang (Tibet). [in Chinese]. *Acta Herpetologica Sinica* 3(4):77-78.
- Zhao, E. and Li, S. 1987. The herpetofaunal composition and characteristics of Mount Namjagbarwa, Tibet. *Acta Herpetologica Sinica* 6(2):36-42.
- Ziegler, T. 2002. *Die Amphibien und Reptilien eines Tieflandfeuchtwald-Schutzgebietes in Vietnam*. Natur und Tier Verlag (Münster):342 pp.
- Ziegler, T., Thanh, V. N., Quyet, L. K., Truong, N. G., Hallermann, J., Khoi, L. V. and Hoang, T. M. 2006. Neue Verbreitungsnachweise einiger weniger bekannter vietnamesischer Amphibien und Reptilien. *Sauria* 28(2):29-40.
- Zug, G. R., Win, H., Thin, T., Min, T. Z., Lhon, W. Z. and Kyaw, K. 1998. Herpetofauna of the Chatthin Wildlife Sanctuary, north-central Myanmar with preliminary observations of their Natural History *Hamadryad* 23(2):111-120.
- Zug, G. R., Brown, H. H. K., Schulte II, J. A. and Vindum, J. V. 2006. Systematics of the Garden Lizards, *Calotes versicolor* Group (Reptilia, Squamata, Agamidae), in Myanmar: Central Dry Zone Populations. *Proc. Cal. Acad. Sci.* 57(2):35-68.
- Zug, G. R. et al. 2009. *Calotes "versicolor"* (Burmese Garden Lizard). Gliding. *Herpetological Review* 40(3):340-341.
- Zug, G. R., Jacobs, J. F., Vindum, J. C. and Win, K. S. 2010. Non-reproductive seasonal colour change in a population of *Calotes "versicolor"* from Myanmar (Squamata: Agamidae). *Salamandra* 46(2):104-107.

Australasian Journal of Herpetology

Publishes original research in printed form in relation to reptiles, other fauna and related matters, including the subjects of classification, ecology, public interest, legal, captivity, exposure of frauds, "academic misconduct", etc. It is a peer reviewed printed journal published in hard copy for permanent public scientific record in accordance with the Zoological Code (Ride et al. 1999), with a sizeable print run and has a global audience.

Full details of acquiring copies, either printed or in identical form online, editorial policies, publication procedure, author submission guidelines, peer review guidelines, legal matters, advantages of publication in this journal and the like can be found by following links from:

<http://www.herp.net>

Published by Kotabi Pty Ltd
PO Box 599
Doncaster, Victoria, 3108.
Australia.

Copyright. All rights reserved.

Online journals (this issue) do not appear for a month after the actual and listed publication date of the printed journals. Minimum print run of first printings is always at least fifty hard copies.

ISSN 1836-5698 (Print)
ISSN 1836-5779 (Online)