

Not in *Heloderma* ... A revised taxonomy and new genus for the Gila Monster.

RAYMOND T. HOSER

488 Park Road, Park Orchards, Victoria, 3134, Australia. *Phone*: +61 3 9812 3322 *Fax*: 9812 3355 *E-mail*: snakeman@snakeman.com.au Received 20 July 2013, Accepted 20 August 2013, Published 20 October 2013.

ABSTRACT

The basis of this paper is an overdue review of the taxonomy of the icon lizard the Gila Monster. While it is clearly a member of the family Helodermatidae Gray, 1837, recently obtained molecular evidence has confirmed long-standing morphological and fossil evidence that it is clearly misplaced in the genus *Heloderma* Weigmann, 1829.

While it is astounding that no herpetologist has rectified the obvious error of placement to date, this paper does exactly that.

In accordance with the Zoological Code (Ride *et al.* 1999), the new genus *Maxhosersaurus* is created to accommodate the species originally described as *Heloderma suspectum* Cope, 1869, as well as fossil material attributed to this species taxon.

To take into account the fossil Helodermatidae material that has emerged since the original description of the family and more likely to emerge in the future, both genera *Heloderma* and *Maxhosersaurus gen. nov.* are also formally placed within a single tribe defined herein.

Keywords: Taxonomy; nomenclature; Gila Monster; Helodermatidae; *Heloderma; horridum; suspectum;* Varanidae; *Varanus*; Bryan Fry; false claims; new genus; *Maxhosersaurus*.

INTRODUCTION

The Gila Monster and Beaded Lizards (Genus Heloderma Wiegmann, 1829) do not need an introduction as such, due to the fact they are known globally as the only "venomous" lizards in the world. While it is suggested that they sit on the cusp between merely having toxic saliva and being venomous, the final decision in terms of which view to take is dependent on a classification of the delivery system, rather than the compounds within the saliva itself. However a discussion of the venom delivery system of these lizards is not the purpose of this paper. An excellent account of the Gila Monster, until now known as Heloderma suspectum Cope, 1869 can be found in the literature, perhaps the best readily available account for most people being that within Campbell and Lamar (2004). Likewise for the socalled Beaded Lizards, until recently grouped within a single species known generally as Heloderma horridum (Wiegmann, 1829), with Campbell and Lamar (2004) perhaps again being the best readily available account of the detail of the group. In 2013, Reiserer et al. published results of a molecular study that showed deep divergences between the two then recognized living species of Heloderma. Confirming the similar findings in an earlier study they wrote: "Beaded lizards and Gila monsters (H. suspectum) are hypothesized to have diverged from a mostrecent common ancestor in the late Eocene ~35 mya (Douglas et al. 2010, p. 163)."

On the molecular evidence alone, there would be absolutely no question that species with such deep divergence should be

placed in separate genera. The fossil record as documented by Bogert and del

Campo in 1956 and Beck in Pianka *et al.* (2004) (p. 518) also broadly corroborates this situation.

Campbell and Lamar (2004) provide a detailed morphological account of both *Heloderma horridum* and *H. suspectum* which highlight numerous morphological differences between the two species as recognized by them in their detailed book.

On the basis of the preceding, it is clear that there is no question that *Heloderma horridum* and *H. suspectum* should not be placed in the same genus. Because *Heloderma horridum* is the type species, it remains within *Heloderma*. The species *suspectum* Cope, 1869 is the one that needs to be placed in another genus. As no name is available under the Zoological Code (Ride *et al.* 1999), one is formally defined herein for the first time.

The only vaguely logical or potentially current argument against the placement of the species *suspectum* Cope, 1869 into a new genus is the commonly raised argument against the creation of numerous monotypic genera within a given family. While I would as a matter of course reject such an argument for such deeply divergent species, the argument is now redundant after the publication of Reiserer *et al.* (2013).

These authors elevated four previously described subspecies of *Heloderma horridum* to full species status on the basis of deep

Available online at www.herp.net Copyright- Kotabi Publishing - All rights reserved divergences spanning millions of years, between each allopatric population.

This time frame for the various species divisions was within the time range of between 9.7 and 5 million years before present for the relevant species.

With the argument relating to the potential creation of two monotypic genera within a family in effect being removed, there would in the normal course of events be no obvious dissent to my creation of a new genus within the global herpetological community.

However it is appropriate that I herein raise the inevitable response this paper will generate from a group known widely as the "truth haters" or "Wüster gang", the name coming from the ringleader of the group, Wolfgang Wüster, who is a pseudoacademic from Wales in the UK. These men will use all sorts of illegal, unethical and improper means to try to stop the use of the new nomenclature formally proposed within this paper. Their mode of operation is in fact outlined by the gang in Kaiser *et al.* (2012a, 2012b) (the second of these documents not having been written by Kaiser, even though it is referred to as being his), in turn properly dealt with by Hoser (2012b), and again by the Wüster gang in Kaiser, even though it is referred to and widely cited as being his), in turn properly dealt with by Hoser (2013).

The papers Hoser (2012a, Hoser 2012b and Hoser 2013) all deal with a vast number of cases of taxonomic and nomenclatural misconduct, vandalism, scientific fraud, criminal fraud, lies, dishonest and unethical practices and other serious matters committed by the Wüster gang in the period spanning 1998 to 2013.

In summary, all claims made by the Wüster gang in the followup to publication of this paper, should be ignored. This includes their comments in "social media" posts in places like "Twitter", "Facebook", internet chat forums, predatory "journals" and/or PRINO Journals ("peer reviewed in name only" Journals) they or their close friends exercise despotic editorial control over, tabloid news media and elsewhere.

Due to the vast body of literature published on the living members of the Helodermatidae and the fact that much of it is widely available, including on the world-wide web, including for example the highly relevant paper of Reiserer *et al.* (2013), I do not sek to rehash this readily available material herein.

Instead, the main purpose of this paper is to define the new genus according to the Rules of Zoological Nomenclature (Ride *et al.* 1999) to accommodate the species originally described by Cope as *Heloderma suspectum* Cope, 1869 to enable it to be properly named and classified by others according to its obvious phylogenetic origins.

The body of literature on lizards of the Helodermatidae is vast and key references over the last couple of centuries include the following: Aminetzach et al. (2009), Angeli (2005), Anzueto and Campbell (2010), Ariano-Sánchez (2006), Ariano-Sánchez and Salazar (2007, 2012, 2013), Beaman et al. (2006), Beck (2005), Beck and Jennings (2003), Beck and Lowe (1991), Bernstein (1999), Bogert and Del Campo (1956), Bonetti (2002), Boulenger (1885, 1981), Boundy et al. (2012), Campbell and Lamar (2004), Campbell and Vannini (1988), Canseco and Muñoz (2007), Cobarrubias et al. (2012), Cooper jr. and Arnett (2003), Cope (1869), Davis and DeNardo (2010), Degenhardt et al. (1996), Domíguez-Vega et al. (2012), Douglas et al. (2010), Duméril and Bibron (1836), Fischer (1882), Funk (1966), Furrer (2004), Garman (1890), Gienger and Beck (2007), Günther (1885), Hanley and Hanley (2003), Hartdegen and Chiszar (2001), Köhler (2000), Kunz (2004, 2007), Kwiatkowski et al. (2008), Lemos-Espinal et al. (2003), Liner (2007), Lock (2009), Lovich and Beaman (2007), McDiarmid (1963), Meléndez (2006), Monroy-Vilchis et al. (2005), Pregill et al. (1986), Pyron et al. (2013), Reiserer et al. (2013), Reisinger (2006a, 2006b),

Sánchez-De La Vega *et al.* (2012), Schmidt and Shannon (1947), Seward (2006), Smith (1935), Smith *et al.* (2010), Stebbins (1985), Stejneger (1893), Sumichrast (1864), Sullivan *et al.* (2004), Taylor (1938, 1969), Trutnau (1984), Wiegmann (1829, 1834), Weins (2008), Wilms (2006a, 2006b) and sources cited therein.

GENUS HELODERMA WEIGMANN, 1829.

Type species: Trachyderma horridum Wiegmann, 1829.

Diagnosis: Ear exposed. A gular fold. Digits with a series of transverse lamellte inferiorly. Upper surfaces uniformly tubercular; abdominal scales flat, juxtaposed. Labial shields present. Colouration is blackish brown above, spotted with yellow, the latter colour sometimes forming regular rings round the tail, (adopted from Boulenger, 1885).

Diagnostics for the separation of *Heloderma* from *Maxhosersaurus gen. nov.* is given in the description of *Maxhosersaurus gen. nov.* given below.

Distribution: Mexico, being found in the coastal areas from Oaxaca to Sonora; Sinaloa, Jalisco, Morelos, Guerrero, Chiapas, Nayarit, Michoacan, as well as Guatemala.

Content: *Heloderma horridum* (Wiegmann, 1829) (type species); *H. alvarezi* Bogert and Del Campo, 1956; *H. charlesbogerti* Campbell and Vannini, 1988; *H. exasperatum* Bogert and Del Campo, 1956.

GENUS MAXHOSERSAURUS GEN. NOV.

Type species: Heloderma suspectum Cope, 1869.

Diagnosis: Until now, the genus *Maxhosersaurus gen. nov.* would have been diagnosed as being within *Heloderma* on the basis of the characters outlined below for the new tribe (which was formerly the diagnosis for a genus including all living Helodermatidae as given by Boulenger in 1885).

However, the genus *Maxhosersaurus gen. nov.* is separated from *Heloderma* by the following suite of characters: The tubercles on the back are separated by wide granular interspaces as opposed to being close in *Heloderma*.

For *Maxhosersaurus gen. nov.* the colouration is yellowish or orange, with blackish network on the back and cross bands on the tail. By contrast the colouration of *Heloderma* is blackish brown above, spotted with yellow, the latter colour sometimes forming regular rings round the tail.

Maxhosersaurus gen. nov. are also separated from *Heloderma* by the absence of enlarged post nasal scales, versus usually two in *Heloderma*.

In *Maxhosersaurus gen. nov.* there are only one pair of infralabials in contact with the chin shields, versus two pairs in *Heloderma*.

Differences in habitat preferences also separate the two general by microhabitat where they occur sympatrically. *Heloderma* are longer, more lanky and arboreal inclined species than *Maxhosersaurus gen. nov.*.

Maxhosersaurus gen. nov. are readily separated from *Heloderma* by their proportionately shorter tail being no more than 55 per cent of the snout-vent length, versus at least 65 per cent of the snout-vent length in all four *Heloderma* species.

The tongue in *Maxhosersaurus gen. nov.* is usually black, versus pink as it is in most *Heloderma*.

Reiserer *et al.* (2013), rejected the hypothesis that there were three subspecies of *Maxhosersaurus suspectum* on the basis of molecular evidence.

In common with *Heloderma, Maxhosersaurus gen. nov* are further diagnosed with the following traits: Ear exposed. A gular fold. Digits with a series of transverse lamellae inferiorly. Upper surfaces uniformly tubercular; abdominal scales flat, juxtaposed. Labial shields present.

Distribution: United States of America in the areas of southeast California, Southern Nevada, South-west Utah, Arizona, New Mexico and immediately adjacent Mexico.

Australasian Journal of Herpetology

Etymology: Named in honour of my cousin, Max Hoser of Campbelltown, NSW, Australia for services to herpetology. **Content:** *Maxhosersaurus suspectum* (Cope, 1869) (monotypic).

TRIBE HELODERMINI TRIBE NOV.

Terminal taxon: Trachyderma horridum Wiegmann, 1829.

Diagnosis: Ear exposed. A gular fold. Digits with a series of transverse lamellte inferiorly. Upper surfaces uniformly tubercular; abdominal scales flat, juxtaposed. Labial shields present (adopted from Boulenger, 1885).

Distribution: United States of America in the areas of southeast California, Southern Nevada, South-west Utah, Arizona, New Mexico, south through Mexico, including being found in the coastal areas from Oaxaca to Sonora; Sinaloa, Jalisco, Morelos, Guerrero, Chiapas, Nayarit, Michoacan and into Guatemala. **Content:** *Heloderma* Wiegmann, 1829; *Maxhosersaurus gen. nov.*

REFERENCES CITED

Aminetzach, Y. T. *et al.* 2009. Convergent Evolution of Novel Protein Function in Shrew and Lizard Venom. *Current Biology* 19(22):1925-1931.

Angeli, S. L. 2005. Beaded Dragon. *Reptile Care* (9):36-39. Anzueto, V. R. and Campbell, J. A. 2010. Guatemalan beaded lizard (*Heloderma horridum charlesbogerti*) on the Pacific versant of Guatemala. *The Southwestern Naturalist* 55: 453-454. Ariano-Sánchez, D. 2006. The Guatemalan beaded lizard: endangered inhabitant of a unique ecosystem. *Iguana* 13:179-183.

Ariano-Sánchez, D. and Salazar, G. 2007. Notes on the distribution of the endangered lizard, *Heloderma horridum charlesbogerti*, in the dry forests of eastern Guatemala: an application of multi-criteria evaluation to conservation. *Iguana* 14:152-158.

Ariano-Sánchez, D. and Salazar, G. 2012. Natural History Notes. *Heloderma horridum charlesbogerti* (Guatemalan beaded lizard). Shelter use. *Herpetological Review* 43:645-646.

Ariano-Sánchez, D. and Salazar, G. 2013. Natural History

Notes. *Heloderma horridum charlesbogerti* (Guatemalan Beaded Lizard). Wild reproductive ecology. *Herpetological Review* 44:324.

Beaman, K. R., Beck, D. D. and McGurty, B. M. 2006. The

beaded lizard (Heloderma horridum) and Gila monster

(Heloderma suspectum): a bibliography of the family

Helodermatidae. *Smithsonian Herpetological Information Service* 136:1-66.

 Beck, D. D. 2005. Biology of Gila Monsters and Beaded Lizards. University of California Press:xii + 211 pp.

Beck, D. D. and Jennings, R. D. 2003. Habitat use by Gila

monsters: the importance of shelters. Herpetological

Monographs 17:111-129.

Beck, D. D. and Lowe, C. H. 1991. Ecology of the beaded lizard, *Heloderma horridum*, in a tropical dry forest in Jalisco, México. *Journal of Herpetology* 25:395-406.

Bernstein, P. 1999. Morphology of the nasal capsule of *Heloderma suspectum* with comments on the systematic position of helodermatids (Squamata: Helodermatidae). *Acta Zoologica* 80:219-230.

Bogert, C. M. and Del Campo, M. 1956. The gila monster and its allies. *Bull. Amer. Mus. Nat. Hist.* 109:1-238.

Bonetti, M. 2002. 100 Sauri. Mondadori (Milano):192 pp.

Boulenger, G. A. 1885. *Catalogue of the lizards in the British Museum (Natural History). Vol. 2*, Second edition. London, xiii+497 pp.

Boulenger, G. A. 1891. Notes on the osteology of *Heloderma horridum* and *H. suspectum*, with remarks on the systematic position of the Helodermatidae and on the vertebrae of the

Lacertilia. *Proc. Zool. Soc. London* 1891:109-118 Boundy, J., Burbrink, F. T., Campbell, J. A., Crother, B. I., de Queiroz, K. and Frost, D. R. 2012. *Standard Common and Current Scientific Names for North American Amphibians, Turtles, Reptiles, and Crocodilians, Seventh Edition.* SSAR:101 pp.

Campbell, J. A. and Vannini, J. P. 1988. A new subspecies of beaded lizard, *Heloderma horridum*, from the Motagua Valley of Guatemala. *Journal of Herpetology* 22(4):457-468.

Campbell, J. A. and Lamar, W. W. 1994. *The Venomous Reptiles of the Western Hemisphere* (2 volumes). Comstock Publishing Associates, Cornell University Press, Ithaca, New York, USA.

Canseco, M. L. and Muñoz, A. 2007. *Heloderma horridum*. In IUCN 2012. IUCN Red List of Threatened

Species, version 2012.2.

Cobarrubias, E. F., Cruz-Saìenz, D. and Lazcano, D. 2012. Notes on the Herpetofauna of Western Mexico 6: Amphibians and Reptiles of Hostotipaquillo, Jalisco, Mexico. *Bull. Chicago Herp. Soc.* 47(2):21-26.

Cooper, Jr., W. E. and Arnett, J. 2003. Correspondence between diet and chemosensory responsiveness by helodermatid lizards. *Amphibia-Reptilia* 24(1):86-91.

Cope, E. D. 1869. [Protocol of the March 9, 1869 meeting]. Proc. Acad. Nat. Sci. Philadelphia 21:5.

Davis, J. R. and DeNardo, D. 2010. Seasonal Patterns of Body Condition, Hydration State, and Activity of Gila Monsters (*Heloderma suspectum*) at a Sonoran Desert Site. *Journal of Herpetology* 44(1):83-93.

Degenhardt, W. G., Painter, C. W. and Price, A. H. 1996. *Amphibians and reptiles of New Mexico*. Univ. New Mexico Press:431 pp.

Domíguez-Vega, H., Monroy-Vilchis, O., Valdivia, B., Gienger, C. M. and Ariano-Sánchez, D. 2012. Predicting the potential distribution of the beaded lizard and identification of priority areas for conservation. *Journal for Nature Conservation* 20:247-253.

Douglas, M. E., Douglas, M. R., Schuett, G. W., Beck, D. D. and Sullivan, B. K. 2010. Conservation phylogenetics of helodermatid lizards using multiple molecular markers and a supertree approach. *Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution* 55(1):153-167.

Duméril, A. M. C. and Bibron, G. 1836. *Erpetologie Générale ou Histoire Naturelle Complete des Reptiles*. Vol.3. Libr. Encyclopédique Roret, Paris:528 pp.

Fischer, J. G. 1882. Anatomische Notizen über *Heloderma horridum* Wiegm. *Verh. Ver. naturwiss. Unterhaltung Hamburg* 5:2-16.

Funk, R. S. 1966. Notes about *Heloderma suspectum*, along the western extremity of its range *Herpetologica* 22(4):254-258.

Furrer, S. 2004. Die erfolgreiche Nachzucht der

Skorpionskrustenechse (*Heloderma horridum exasperatum*) im Zoo Zürich, Schweiz. *Reptilia* (Münster) 9(49):76-78.

Garman, H. 1890. On the "Gila Monster". *Bull. Essex Institute* 22:60-69.

Gienger, C. M. and Beck, D. D. 2007. Heads or tails? Sexual dimorphism in helodermatid lizards. *Can. J. Zool.* 85:92-98.

Günther, A. C. L. G. 1885. *Reptilia and Batrachia. Biologia Centrali-Américana*. Taylor and Francis, London:326 pp.

Hanley, K. and Hanley, S. 2003. *Heloderma suspectum* COPE 1869, Gila Monster. *Reptilia* (GB) (28):39-42.

Hartdegen, R. W. and Chiszar, D. 2001. Discrimination of preyderived chemical cues by the Gila Monster (*Heloderma suspectum*) and lack of effect of a putative masking odor. *Amphibia-Reptilia* 22(2):249-252.

Hoser, R. T. 2012a. Exposing a Fraud! Afronaja Wallach, Wüster

and Broadley 2009, is a junior synonym of *Spracklandus* Hoser 2009! *Australasian Journal of Herpetology* 9:1-64.

Hoser, R. T. 2012b. Robust taxonomy and nomenclature based on good science escapes harsh fact-based criticism, but remains unable to escape an attack of lies and deception. *Australasian Journal of Herpetology* 14:37-64.

Hoser, R. T. 2013. The science of herpetology is built on evidence, ethics, quality publications and strict compliance with the rules of nomenclature. *Australasian Journal of Herpetology* 18:2-79.

Kaiser, H. 2012a. SPAM email sent out to numerous recipients on 5 June 2012.

Kaiser, H. 2012b. Point of view. Hate article sent as attachment with SPAM email sent out on 5 June 2012.

Kaiser, H., Crother, B. L., Kelly, C. M. R., Luiselli, L., O'Shea, M., Ota, H., Passos, P., Schleip, W. D. and Wüster, W. 2013. Best practices: In the 21st Century, Taxonomic Decisions in

Herpetology are Acceptable Only When supported by a body of Evidence and Published via Peer-Review. *Herpetological Review* 44(1):8-23.

Köhler, G. 2000. *Reptilien und Amphibien Mittelamerikas, Bd 1: Krokodile, Schildkröten, Echsen.* Herpeton Verlag, Offenbach:158 pp.

Kunz, K. 2004. Schätze hinter den Kulissen: Vivarium und Sammlung des "Museo Nacional de Historia Natural" in Guatemala. *Reptilia* (Münster) 9(45):4-5.

Kunz, K. 2007. Neuer Schutzstatus für Mohrenkaiman und Guatemala-Skorpionskrustenechse. *Reptilia* (Münster) 12(66):5.

Kwiatkowski, M. A., Schuett, G. W., Repp, R. A., Nowak, E. N. and Sullivan, B. K. 2008. Does urbanization influence the spatial ecology of Gila monsters in the Sonoran Desert? *Journal of Zoology* 276:350-357.

Lemos-Espinal, J. A., Chiszar, D. and Smith, H. M. 2003. Presence of the Río Fuerte beaded lizard (*Heloderma horridum exasperatum*) in western Chihuahua. *Bulletin of the Maryland Herpetological Society* 39:47-51.

Liner, E. A. 2007. A Checklist of the Amphibians and Reptiles of Mexico. *Louisiana State University Occasional Papers of the Museum of Natural Science* 80:1-60.

Lock, B. 2009. Project *Heloderma*: A Conservation Program for the Guatemalan Beaded Lizard. *CONNECT*: (International Reptile Conservation Foundation, San Jose, California, USA), May:22-24.

Lovich, K. and Beaman, K. R 2007. A History of Gila Monster (*Heloderma suspectum cinctum*): Records from California with Comments on Factors Affecting their Distribution. *Bull. Southern California Acad. Sci.* 106 (2):39-58.

McDiarmid, R. W. 1963. A collection of reptiles and amphibians from the highland faunal assemblage of western Mexico. *Contributions in Science* (68):1-15.

Meléndez, G. L. S. 2006. Die Guatemala-

[doi:10.1186/1471-2148-13-93].

Skorpionskrustenechse, *Heloderma horridum charlesbogerti* Campbell and Vannini, 1998. *Reptilia* (Münster) 11(58):36-38. Monroy-Vilchis, O., Hernández-Gallegos, O. and Rodríguez-Romero, F. 2005. *Heloderma horridum horridum* (Mexican beaded lizard). Unusual habitat. *Herpetological Review* 36:450.

Pianka, E. R., King, D. R. and King, R. A. 2004. Varanoid

Lizards of the World. Indiana University Press 588 pp. Pregill, G. K., Gauthier, J. A. and Greene, H. W. 1986. The evolution of helodermatid squamates, with description of a new taxon and an overview of Varanoidea. *Transactions of the San*

Diego Society of Natural History 21:167-202. Pyron, R. A., Burbrink, F. T. and Wiens, J. J. 2013. A phylogeny and revised classification of Squamata, including 4151 species of lizards and snakes. *BMC Evolutionary Biology* 13:93. Ride, W. D. L. (ed.) *et al.* (on behalf of the International Commission on Zoological Nomenclature) 1999. *International code of Zoological Nomenclature*. The Natural History Museum -Cromwell Road, London SW7 5BD, UK (also commonly cited as "ICZN 1999").

Reiserer, R. S., Schuett, G. W. and Beck, D. D. 2013. Taxonomic reassessment and conservation status of the beaded lizard, *Heloderma horridum* (Squamata: Helodermatidae). *Amphibian and Reptile Conservation* 7(1):74-96.

Reisinger, M. 2006a. Die erfolgreiche und kontinuierliche Nachzucht der Skorpions-Krustenechse *Heloderma horridum exasperatum. Reptilia* (Münster) 11(58):26-35.

Reisinger, M. 2006b. The Rio Fuerte Beaded Lizard *Heloderma horridum exasperatum. Reptilia* (GB) (47):25-33.

Sánchez-De La Vega, G., Buenrostro-Silva, A., García-Grajales, J. and Mata-Silva, V. 2012. Geographic distribution. *Heloderma horridum* (Mexican beaded lizard). Mexico: Oaxaca. *Herpetological Review* 43:102.

Schmidt, K. P. and Shannon, F. A. 1947. Notes on amphibians and reptiles of Michoacan, Mexico. *Zoological Series of Field Museum of Natural History* 31(9):63-85.

Seward, M. 2006. *Heloderma suspectum*:Gila Monster reproduction. *Reptilia* (GB) (47):19-24.

Smith, H. M. 1935. Miscellaneous notes on Mexican lizards. Univ. Kansas Sci. Bull. 22:119-156.

Smith, J. J., Amarello, M. and Goode, M. 2010. Seasonal Growth of Free-Ranging Gila Monsters (*Heloderma suspectum*) in a Southern Arizona Population. *Journal of Herpetology* 44(3):484-488.

Stebbins, R. C. 1985. A Field Guide to Western Reptiles and Amphibians, 2nd ed. Houghton Mifflin, Boston.

Stejneger, L. H. 1893. Annotated list of the reptiles and batrachians collected by the Death Valley Expedition in 1891, with descriptions of new species. *North American Fauna*, No. 7:159-228 (+ 14 plates + 4 maps).

Sumichrast, F. 1864. Note on the habits of some Mexican reptiles. *Ann. Mag. Nat. Hist.* (3)13:497-507.

Sullivan, B. K., Kwiatkowski, M. A., Schuett, G. W. 2004. Translocation of urban Gila monsters: a problematic conservation tool. *Biological Conservation* 117:235-242.

Taylor, E. H. 1938. Notes on the herpetological fauna of the Mexican state of Sonora. *Univ. Kansas Sci. Bull.* 24(19):475-503.

Taylor, E. H. 1969. *Wiegmann and the herpetology of Mexico*. SSAR, Facsimile Reprint in Herpetology 12:iii-vi.

Trutnau, L. 1984. Das Gilatier. Herpetofauna 6(32):13.

Wiens, J. J. 2008. Systematics and herpetology in the Age of Genomics. *BioScience* 58:297-307.

Wiegmann, A. F. A. 1829. Über die Gesetzlichkeit in der geographischen Verbreitung der Saurier. *Isis von Oken* 22(3-4):418-428.

Wiegmann, A. F. A. 1834. Herpetologia Mexicana, seu descriptio amphibiorum novae hispaniae, quae itineribus comitis de Sack, Ferdinandi Deppe et Chr. Guil. Schiede im Museum Zoologicum Berolinense Pervenerunt. *Pars prima, saurorum species*. Berlin, Lüderitz, iv + 54 pp.

Wilms, T. 2006a. Krustenechsen - die Gattung Heloderma. Reptilia (Münster) 11(58):18-25.

Wilms, T. 2006b. Beaded Lizards and Gila Monsters: The genus *Heloderma. Reptilia* (GB) (47):12-18.

Woodbury, A. M. 1928. The reptiles of Zion National Park. *Copeia* 1928 (166):14-21.

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The author has no conflicts of interest in terms of this paper or conclusions within.

Available online at www.herp.net Copyright- Kotabi Publishing - All rights reserved