Australasian Journal of Herpetology 14:6-8. Published 30 June 2012.



A Division of the Patch-nosed Snakes, genus Salvadora Baird and Girard, 1853 (Serpentes: Colubridae: Colubrinae).

Raymond T. Hoser

488 Park Road, Park Orchards, Victoria, 3134, Australia. *Phone*: +61 3 9812 3322 *Fax*: 9812 3355 *E-mail*: viper007@live.com.au Received 15 March 2012, Accepted 4 May 2012, Published 30 June 2012.

ABSTRACT

The Patch-nosed Snakes placed within the genus *Salvadora* Baird and Girard, 1853 have had a stable taxonomic history at the genus level since the genus was first named in 1853. However the division of the genus into two distinctive lineages has been well known for many years (Smith, 1938).

A review of these snakes yields a need to divide the genus.

Salvadora Baird and Girard, 1853 retains, Salvadora bairdi Jan, 1860, Salvadora grahamiae Baird and Girard, 1853, Salvadora hexalepis (Cope, 1867), Salvadora deserticola Schmidt, 1940 and Salvadora intermedia Hartweg, 1940.

A new genus *Aiselfakharius* gen. nov. is erected to contain the species *Salvadora lemniscata* (Cope, 1895) and *Salvadora mexicana* (Duméril, Bibron and Duméril, 1854) according to the Zoological Code.

The latter genus is most easily separated from the former by its higher subcaudal count (121-139 versus 82-103), one preocular (versus two or more) and an unenlarged rostral (versus one that usually is).

Keywords: Patch-nosed Snake; Taxonomy; *Aiselfakharius*; *Salvadora*; new genus.

INTRODUCTION

The Patch-nosed Snakes are sometimes recognized by their greatly enlarged or over-sized rostral scale that protrudes somewhat and is commonly indented in the middle like a digging shovel. This assists the snakes when digging in sand in search for reptile eggs and other food items.

The seven currently recognized species within the genus as currently understood and placed within the genus *Salvadora* Baird and Girard, 1853 have had a stable taxonomic history at the genus level since the genus was first named in 1853. In 1960 Cope proposed the name *Phimothyra*, but used the species *grahamiae* as the type for his genus. This is the same type species as used for the genus *Salvadora* Baird and Girard, 1853, meaning the Cope name disappears into synonymy with *Salvadora*

As inferred already, not all snakes in the genus have the greatly enlarged rostral scale that gives the genus its name.

Two species in particular, namely Salvadora lemniscata (Cope,

1895) and Salvadora mexicana (Duméril, Bibron and Duméril, 1854) do not have this modified rostral and are thought to be primitive to the other species. The more elongate tail also confirms the casual observations by snake catchers that these species are not as fossorial as the others within the genus as currently understood.

As mentioned in the abstract, these differences and/or others are regarded as being sufficient to warrant division of the genus as currently understood into two, which is done herein according to the Zoological Code (Ride et. al. 1999).

I am not averse to dividing genera into subgenera, but in this case the division is so large and consistent that I cannot sustain an argument in favour of a more conservative position.

Being native to north and central America, these snake are well-known, even if rarely kept in captivity as compared to other locally occurring species.

Australasian Journal of Herpetology

Important papers in terms of Salvadora as recognized to date include Baird and Girard (1853), Bogert (1939, 1945), Bogert and Degenhardt (1961), Boulenger (1893), Cameron and Hansen (1994), Christman et. al. (1998), Conant (1942), Conant and Collins (1991), Cope (1867, 1879, 1895), Davis and Dixon (1957), Degenhardt et. al. (1956), Dixon (2000), Dixon and Lemos-Espinal (2010), Duméril et. al. (1854), Flesch et. al. (2010), Gelbach and Collette (1957), Hall (1951), Hartweg (1940), Husak and Wright (1998), Jadin and García-Vázquez (2008), Jan (1860), Leviton and Banta (1964), Liner (2007), Martin (1958), McCranie and Wilson (2001), Schmidt (1940), Schmidt and Shannon (1947), Smith (1938, 1941), Smith and Smith (1976), Smith and Taylor (1945), Stebbins (1985), Taggart et. al. (1994), Tanner (1954), Taylor (1938), Van Denburgh (1895), Vázquez Díaz and Quintero Díaz (2005) and Wright and Wright (1957).

GENUS AISELFAKHARIUS GEN. NOV.

Type species: Zamenis mexicanus Duméril, Bibron and Duméril, 1854

Diagnosis: Wright and Wright (1957) give an excellent diagnosis of the genus *Salvadora* as recognized to date. This is provided herein, slightly altered as part of the diagnosis for the new genus *Aiselfakharius* gen. nov..

The snakes of the genus Salvadora as recognized to date are medium in size, up to 120 cm long, with a long slender body that tapers and with a tail that is 18 to 34 percent of the total length (see below), the head is elongate and distinct. Cephalic plates are normal except for the rostral which is usually thickened, widened, triangular and curved back over the snout in all forms except for those now placed in the genus Aiselfakharius gen. nov.. Loreal is single or divided, one pre-ocular in Aiselfakharius gen. nov. versus 2 or more in Salvadora. Postoculars 2-3, suboculars sometimes present, supralabials usually 8-10, infralabials usually 8-12, with anywhere from none to 3 contacting the eye (see below). The second pair of chin shields are in contact or separated by as many as 3 small scales, eye is large with a round pupil above labials 4-7, scales are smooth with indistinct apical pits in 17-19 dorsal mid-body rows, 9-17 +3 maxillary teeth, the hemipenis is non-capitate, without bifurcation, with apical calyces, single sulcus and long basal spines.

The genus Aiselfakharius gen. nov. is easily separated from Salvadora by the following characters: one preocular (instead of two or more), the tail is 30 per cent of the total length or more (versus less than 30 per cent in Salvadora), 121-139 subcaudals (versus 82-103 in Salvadora), 3 supralabials enter the eye (versus no more than two entering the eye in Salvadora), the rostral is not greatly enlarged (as is the case in Salvadora).

The dorsal body pattern in *Aiselfakharius* gen. nov. may be either striped or speckled (usually striped) and if there is speckling it is typically on the anterior third of the body.

Distribution: Mexico and the region south including Guatemala.

Etymology: Aiselfakharius gen. nov. is named in recognition of the Akram Elfahkri of Northcote, Melbourne, Victoria, Australia, known to his friends and family as "Ace". The scientific name has been deliberately spelt in a manner in order to sound the same as he is known, but spelt so as to be naturally said as spelt and without difficulty by those unaware of the intention of the name.

Ace is herein recognized for numerous services to the Victorian Taxi Industry and for extremely brave efforts in fighting corruption within the Victorian Taxi Directorate (VTD) and predecessor Vicroads in the 1980's and 1990's including against corrupt VTD lawyers Terry O'Keefe, David Robby and John Connell, and their army of corrupt and dishonest "enforcement officers", better described as violent thugs, who broke every conceivable rule, including George Olsen, Roger Bowman, John Brentnall, John Perry, Len Hodgens, Gordon Alliston, Geoffrey Goodson, Derry Ashton, Andrew Pingo and Arnold Howard (see Hoser 1995 and Hoser 1999 for details).

Content of Aiselfakharius gen. nov.

Aiselfakharius mexicana (Duméril, Bibron and Duméril, 1854)(Type species).

Aiselfakharius lemniscata (Cope, 1895).

Content of Salvadora Baird and Girard, 1853

Salvadora grahamiae Baird and Girard, 1853 (Type species). Salvadora bairdi Jan, 1860.

Salvadora hexalepis (Cope, 1867).

Salvadora deserticola Schmidt, 1940.

Salvadora intermedia Hartweg, 1940.

REFERENCES CITED

Baird, S. F. and Girard, C. 1853. *Catalogue of North American Reptiles in the Museum of the Smithsonian Institution. Part 1.-Serpents.* Smithsonian Inst., Washington, xvi + 172 pp.

Bogert, C. M. 1939. Notes on snakes of the genus *Salvadora* with a redescription of a neglected Mexican species. *Copeia* 1939(3):140-147.

Bogert, C. M. 1945. Two additional races of the patch-nosed snake, *Salvadora hexalepis*. *American Museum Novitates* (1285):1-14.

Bogert, C. M. and Degenhardt, W. G. 1961. An addition to the fauna of the United States, the Chihuahua Ridge-nosed rattlesnake in New Mexico. *American Museum Novitates* (2064):1-15.

Boulenger, G. A. 1893. *Catalogue of the snakes in the British Museum* (Nat. Hist.) I. London (Taylor and Francis):448 pp.

Cameron, S. and Hansen, R. W. 1994. *Salvadora hexalepis* (Western Patchnose Snake). *USA: California Herpetological Review* 25(1):34-35.

Christman, B. L., Kilpatrick, S. L., Painter, C. W. and Stuart, J. N. 1998. Geographic Distribution. *Salvadora grahamiae*. *Herpetological Review* 29(1):54-55.

Conant, R. 1942. Notes on the young of three recently described snakes, with comments upon their relationships. *Bulletin of the Chicago Academy of Sciences* 6(10):193-200.

Conant, R. and Collins, J. T. 1991. *A Field Guide to Reptiles and Amphibians of Eastern/Central North America*, 3rd ed. Houghton Mifflin (Boston/New York), xx + 450 p.

Cope, E. D. 1867. On the Reptilia and Batracia of the Sonoran Province of the Nearctic Region. *Proc. Acad. Nat. Sci. Philadelphia* 18[1866]:300-314.

Cope, E. D. 1879. Eleventh contribution to the herpetology of tropical America. *Proc. Amer. Philos. Soc.* 18:261-277.

Cope, E. D. 1895. The classification of the ophidia. *Transactions of the American Philosophical Society* (1894)18:186-219.

Davis, W. B. and Dixon, J. R. 1957. Notes on Mexican Snakes (Ophidia). *Southwestern Naturalist* 2:19-27.

Degenhardt, W. G., Painter, C. W. and Price, A. H. 1996. *Amphibians and reptiles of New Mexico*. Univ. New Mexico Press:431 pp.

Dixon, J. R. 2000. *Amphibians and reptiles of Texas*, second edition. Texas A and M University Press:421 pp.

Dixon, J. R. and Lemos-Espinal, J. A. 2010. *Amphibians and Reptiles of the State of Queretaro, Mexico*. Tlalnepantla Unam:428 pp.

Duméril, A. M. C., Bibron, A. H. A. and Duméril, A.H.A. 1854. *Erpétologie générale ou Histoire Naturelle complète des Reptiles. Vol. 7 (partie 1).* Paris, xvi + 780 S.

Flesch, A. D., Swann, D. E., Turner, D. S. and Powell, B. F. 2010. Herpetofauna of the Rincon Mountains, Arizona. *Southwestern Naturalist* 55(2):240-253.

Gelbach, F. R. and Collette, B. B. 1957. A contribution to the herpetofauna of the highlands of Oaxaca, Mexico. *Herpetologica* 13:227-232.

Hall, C. W. 1951. Notes on a small herpetological collection from Guerreo. *Univ. Kansas Sci. Bull.* 34(4):201-212.

Bulletin (104):39-40.

Hoser, R. T. 1995. *The Hoser Files: The Fight against Entrenched Official Corruption*. Kotabi Publishing, Doncaster, Victoria, Australia:322 pp.

Hoser, R. T. 1999. *Victoria Police Corruption* (2 Vols), Kotabi P/L, Doncaster, Victoria, Australia:1536 pp.

Husak, J. F. and Wright, J. 1998. Geographic Distribution. Salvadora grahamiae lineate. Herpetological Review 29(2):116. Jadin, R. and García-Vázquez, U. O. 2008. Salvadora mexicana (Mexican Patchnose Snake): Combat Behavior. Herpetological

Jan, G. 1860. Iconographie générale des ophidiens. 1. Livraison. J.B. Bailière et Fils, Paris.

Leviton, A. E. and Banta, B. H. 1964. Midwinter reconnaissance of the herpetofauna of the Cape Region of Baja California, Mexico. *Proc. Cal. Acad. Sci.* 30(7):127-156.

Liner, E. A. 2007. A Checklist of the Amphibians and Reptiles of Mexico. Louisiana State University Occasional Papers of the Museum of Natural Science 80:1-60.

Martin, P. S. 1958. A biogeography of reptiles and amphibians in the Gomez Farias Region, Tamaulipas, *Mexico Miscellaneous publications*, Museum of Zoology, University of Michigan (101):1-102 + 7 plates.

McCranie, J. R. and Wilson, L. D. 2001. The herpetofauna of the Mexican State of Aguascalientes. *Courier Forschungsinstitut Senckenberg* 230:1-57.

Ride, W. D. L. (ed.) et. al. (on behalf of the International Commission on Zoological Nomenclature) 1999. *International code of Zoological Nomenclature*. The Natural History Museum, Cromwell Road, London SW7 5BD, UK (also commonly cited as "ICZN 1999").

Schmidt, K. P. 1940. Notes on Texan snakes of the genus *Salvadora. Field Mus. Nat. Hist. Zool. Series 24* (12):143-150. Schmidt, K. P. and Shannon, F. A. 1947. Notes on amphibians and reptiles of Michoacan, Mexico. *Zoological Series of Field Museum of Natural History* 31(9):63-85.

Smith, H. M. 1938. Notes on the snakes of the genus *Salvadora*. *Univ. Kansas Sci. Bull.* 25(12):229-237.

Smith, H. M. 1941. Further notes on Mexican snakes of the genus *Salvadora. Smithsonian Misc. Coll.* 99(20):1-12.

Smith, H. M. and Smith, R. B. 1976. Synopsis of the Herpetofauna of Mexico. Vol. III. *Source analysis and index for Mexican reptiles*. John Johnson, North Bennington, Vermont. Smith, H. M. and Taylor, E. H. 1945. An annotated checklist and key to the snakes of Mexico. *Bull. US Natl. Mus.* (187):iv + 1-230

Stebbins, R. C. 1985. A Field Guide to Western Reptiles and Amphibians, 2nd ed. Houghton Mifflin, Boston.

Taggart, T. W., Irwin, K. J. and Sweetman, A.1994. *Salvadora grahamiae* (Mountain Patchnose Snake). *USA:Texas Herpetological Review* 25(2):77.

Tanner, W. W. 1954. Herpetological notes concerning some reptiles of Utah and Arizona. *Herpetologica* 10:92-96.

Taylor, E. H. 1938. Notes on the herpetological fauna of the Mexican state of Sonora. *Univ. Kansas Sci. Bull.* 24(19):475-503

Van Denburgh, J. 1895. A review of the herpetology of Lower California. Part I:Reptiles. *Proc. Cal. Acad. Sci.* (2)5:71-163. Vázquez Díaz, J. and Quintero Díaz, G. E. 2005. *Anfibios y Reptiles de Aguascalientes* [2nd ed.]. Conabio, Ciema:318 pp. Wright, A. H. and Wright, A. A. 1957. *Handbook of snakes of the United States and Canada*, Comstock Publishers, Ithaca/London

(2 Vols).

