*Australasian Journal of Herpetology* 62:23-35. Published 25 May 2023.



### New taxa within the African snake genus Dipsadoboa Günther, 1858.

LSIDURN:LSID:ZOOBANK.ORG:PUB:3EBC575C-59D8-459F-9A22-195250E891BD

RAYMOND T. HOSER

LSIDurn:Isid:zoobank.org:author:F9D74EB5-CFB5-49A0-8C7C-9F993B8504AE

488 Park Road, Park Orchards, Victoria, 3134, Australia. *Phone*: +61 3 9812 3322 *Fax*: 9812 3355 *E-mail*: snakeman (at) snakeman.com.au Received 11 January 2023, Accepted 28 April 2023, Published 25 May 2023.

### ABSTRACT

Following extensive fieldwork by Raymond Hoser in Africa in 2009, the snakes of the pan-African genus *Dipsadoboa* Günther, 1858 was subjected to an intensive audit, including inspection of specimens of all previously named species in the genus, as well as a review of literature, published photos and the like. This examination included snakes from locations across the known sub-Saharan distribution of the genus as currently recognized.

That there were unnamed species within this genus, at least within the East African arc of so-called "sky islands" or constrained by them in intervening zones, was also common knowledge within herpetology (Branch *et al.* 2019).

The urgency of the need to identify and formally describe these forms to enable proper management and conservation plans has been underlined by the rampant ongoing human population growth in the region. The relevant countries of East Africa (Ethiopia, south to Mozambique) have grown more than 5 fold in human populations, individually and as a group since 1950 (over the past 70 years), creating massive environmental change and destruction (ongoing) and declines in most types of non-domesticated vertebrates.

The audit revealed a number of potentially unnamed forms, although this paper names just four.

These are all taxa within the so-called "*Crotaphopeltis shrevei* Loveridge, 1932" species complex, being the divergent population from the Rondo Plateau in southern Tanzania, known to be divergent for many years, but as yet unnamed; another population from south-west Tanzania and nearby Malawi and Zambia; the population from around Goma and west of there in the North Kivu Province, of the Democratic Republic of Congo, as well as division of the recently described form *D. montisilva* Branch, Conradie and Tolley, 2019 from north-east Mozambique, this one being formally named as a subspecies rather than full species.

Taxonomic vandalism by way of pretending these forms are not unique, or by assigning them non-ICZN compliant duplicate names in breach of Copyright laws could hamper conservation to the degree that one or more way well become extinct as has already happened for other similarly affected taxa, including as detailed in Hoser (2019a, 2019b).

**Keywords:** Africa; Snake; Reptilia; Squamata; Serpentes; Colubridae; *Crotaphopeltis*; *Dipsadoboa*; Congo; Cameroon; Tanzania; Mozambique; Malawi; Rondo plateau; new species; swileorum; euanedwardsi; paulwoolfi; new subspecies; nampulaensis.

### INTRODUCTION

In mid 2009, I, Raymond Hoser travelled from Australia to Africa to conduct fieldwork on the reptiles and frogs there.

While collecting large numbers of Herald Snakes of the genus *Crotaphopeltis* Fitzinger, 1843 at various locations and when also viewing live specimens in collections, it became clear that the species diversity in the genus *Crotaphopeltis* and the associated genus *Dipsadoboa* Günther 1858

as presently conceived by most publishing herpetologists was being underestimated.

Snakes of the genus C. hotamboeia (Laurenti, 1768) are dealt with

in a separate paper, published in 2023 at the same time as this paper.

In terms of the genus *Dipsadoboa*, I flagged at end 2009 an intention to name three forms from southern Tanzania and nearby countries to the west.

In terms of both genera, *Crotaphopeltis* and *Dipsadoboa* in particular, Bill Branch of Port Elizabeth, South Africa and close friend Don Broadley of Zimbabwe, made threats to anyone seeking to work on, or publish about either genus, claiming a monopoly on them and an intent to name the two forms I had recently flagged as new species in various online posts.

In the decade from 2009 to 2019, they both repeatedly stated an intent to be the persons naming any new taxa (including the two I had identified as unnamed), or at least be listed as co-authors in any planned papers naming any new species in the genera.

These outrageous demands in the first instance followed a review of the African Cobras published by myself (Raymond Hoser), domiciled in Melbourne, Victoria, Australia, early in 2019, and they viewed me as impinging on their hegemony of African herpetology and the "discovery" of new species there.

These demands also coincided with a series of police raids on people Branch and Broadley saw as being likely to publish descriptions of African reptile species over which they sought a hegemony over, which did a remarkable job in scaring off others in Africa and elsewhere with any inclination to name reptile species on that continent.

The result was that in terms of scientific descriptions of new reptile species and the like, Africa has probably fallen well behind every other continent on the planet and now probably has a greater percentage of unnamed reptile taxa than any other continent. Combined with the fastest growing human population of all the continents (now in excess of 1.4 billion), Africa is on the cusp of a major man-made ecological Armageddon for many species of vertebrate.

Broadley and Branch, along with associates in crime Wolfgang Wüster and Mark O'Shea made numerous false complaints to the Australian wildlife authorites and police against me, resulting in several violent armed raids on our wildlife breeding facility and lab, most notably including "the big one" on 17 August 2011, effectively stalling or stopping the publication of dozens of important scientific papers, the screening of a series of 13 important 1 hour wildlife documentaries and loss of many years worth of scientific and collection records.

I note that it is also a matter of public record that both Broadley and his good friend Branch, were (separate of their activities in herpetology) found to have procured young black boys for anal sex over a period spanning many years, but over decades they evaded prosecution because of their high positions within governmentowned Museums and close connections in law enforcement.

Don Broadley died on 10 March 2016, and this was followed by Bill Branch dying on 14 October 2018. I note that at the time, police had earlier that year in 2018, said that they were preparing to charge Branch with historical child sex offences, following ongoing protests from victims that did not let up.

However Broadley, the main perpetrator was never charged. In any event, this meant that the various unnamed species I was aware of within the two genera *Crotaphopeltis* and *Dipsadoboa* were unnamed in 2022 and likely to remain unnamed indefinitely. Significantly, a year after Branch's death, he was listed as lead author in a paper (Branch *et al.* 2019) naming a new species of *Dipsadoboa* published online in the PRINO (peer reviewed in name only) journal *Zootaxa* (commonly called "Zootoxic", for obvious reasons) (see also Hoser 2009, 2012a, 2012b, 2013, 2015a-f).

While Jesus Christ was reported to have returned from the dead a few days after his death in a book known simply as "*The Bible*", Bill Branch seems to have exceeded that feat by a significant margin.

Engelbrecht *et al.* (2021) also listed Branch as a co-author on a paper dealing with *Crotaphopeltis* confirming Branch's apparent resurrection from the dead some years after he "died", but that paper failed to name any new species or subspecies, even though it published a detailed phylogeny flagging potentially unnamed forms I had identified and made known to others online in the decade preceding that publication.

Not to be outdone by Jesus Christ or Bill Branch, Don Broadley decided to continue publishing numerous papers for some years after his death, being listed as a co-author on numerous papers in the following years, including for example Trape *et al.* (2019), some three years after his death.

By the way, I must stress that there is no evidence that a religion is about to be set up celebrating the revival from the dead of Broadley, that will sacrifice young black boys for sex. Nor to my knowledge has there yet been any petition to the Vatican for either of the two sex offenders to be Canonized as a result of the men apparently coming back from their graves long after they were declared dead. This is even though it is common knowledge that the senior clergy of the Catholic Church are quite partial to the idea of Canonizing strange people and having sex with little boys (BBC World 2021, Bowcott and Sherwood 2020, Lauer and Hoyer 2019).

I do note that cohort member, the rat-like Mark O'Shea has had his friends "shopping" him for fake honorary University degrees and Royal honours in the UK for some decades. He has repeatedly made it clear he will not give up until he succeeds, so a Canonization for Broadley or Branch arising from the apparent "miracle" of them coming back to life and engaging in herpetology for many years after their deaths is not out of the question.

In any event, in the two years since those above-mentioned papers were last published, it seems that Broadley and Branch have in fact finally died for the last time; no one else in the cohort are publicly known to be intending naming any new taxa in either genus and no new new taxa in either genus have been formally named since 2019. Because as of end 2022 no one has publicly flagged any intention of doing so, it is unlikely anyone will be "offended" if I do what should have been done decades ago and that is to name the relevant unnamed forms.

I have made the assumption that after 2 years of apparent nonpublication of further papers, that neither Branch or Broadley are going to emerge from their graves to have sex with the young boys they had sex with, as they are probably all grown up by now and Branch and Broadley are probably still worried about being charged for their historical sex offences.

In terms of the snakes of the genera *Crotaphopeltis* and *Dipsadoboa* it is self-evident that if I do not name the most obvious unnamed taxa now, their risk of extinction increases.

Therefore the main purpose of this paper is to formally identify and name four obviously unnamed forms within *Dipsadoboa* as is generally recognized.

#### MATERIALS AND METHODS

The audit in terms of the four putative species or subspecies named within this paper included of a review of the previously published literature relevant to the genus, with a particular emphasis on type descriptions and publications of a taxonomic nature and the three identified taxa within the *Dipsadoboa shrevei* group.

While in the field, no brutal snake tongs were used to handle any snakes (see Hoser, 2007b).

The putative taxon, originally named as "Crotaphopeltis shrevei Loveridge, 1932" was audited across its known distribution, including closely associated species.

After stripping those publications from consideration that were derivative, rather than original in nature, it soon became clear that very little had been published on the genus and the *Dipsadoboa shrevei* group in particular.

Live and dead specimens were examined as were photos of specimens with good locality data.

Known distributions of relevant forms were mapped, with barriers between populations investigated to determine if they were of recent and potentially "man made" form, or if they had been around for longer and if so, how long?

In terms of the relevant candidate species, all were apparently constrained by elevation, with lowland areas or montane regions forming barriers between populations.

Where specimens appeared to be divergent, gaps in distribution were identified and a timeline put on this either by way of reference to previous molecular studies, biogeographical evidence, or both and if morphological divergence matched a dated divergence then it was determined to recognize the relevant taxa.

As already inferred, the relevant previously published literature was checked to see if there were any available synonyms for these apparently unnamed forms and if there were, these would obviously be used in preference to any new name to be proposed.

For the record there were no available synonyms for the four forms formally named in this paper.

Literature relevant to the taxonomic and nomenclatural conclusions with respect of the populations of the abovementioned species or species groups within Dipsadoboa Günther 1858 and putative "Crotaphopeltis shrevei Loveridge, 1932" in particular included: Auerbach (1987), Baptista et al. (2019), Barbour (1914), Barbour and Amaral (1927a, 1927b), Barbour and Loveridge (1928), Barnett (2001), Barnett and Emms (2005), Bates et al. (2014), Bayliss et al. (2014), Behangana et al. (2020), Beolens et al. (2011), Berry (1970), Bittencourt-Silva (2019), Böhme et al. (2011), Boulenger (1896, 1897a, 1897b, 1901, 1906, 1907), Boycott (1992), Branch (1993), Branch et al. (2005, 2019), Broadley (1958, 1959, 1962, 1968, 1991), Broadley and Blaylock (2013), Broadley and Cotterill (2004), Broadley and Howell (1991), Broadley and Stevens (1971), Broadley et al. (2003), Burger et al. (2004), Carlino and Pauwels (2015), Chabanaud (1916, 1917), Chifundera (1990), Chippaux and Jackson (2019), Chirio (2009), Chirio and Lebreton (2007), Chirio and Ineich (2006), Conradie and Branch (2016), Conradie et al. (2016a, 2016b, 2021), Duméril et al. (1854), Engelbrecht (2017), Engelbrecht et al. (2020, 2021), Eniang et al. (2013), Ernst et al. (2020), Finke and Liepack (2021), Fitzinger (1843), Gans et al. (1965), Gemel et al. (2019), Gray (1858), Günther (1858, 1864, 1888, 1895), Haagner and Branch (1995), Haagner et al. (2000), Hallermann (1998, 2007), Harrington et al. (2018), Herrmann and Branch (2013), Honess and Bearder (1991), Hughes (2013, 2018), Jackson et al. (2007), Jacobsen (2009), Jacobsen et al. (2010), Joger (1982), Keogh et al. (2000), Köhler and Güsten (2007), Lanza (1983, 1990), Largen and Rasmussen (1993), Largen and Spawls (2010), Laurent (1956), Laurenti (1768), Lebreton (1999), Leaché et al. (2006), Lillywhite (2014), Lindholm (1905), Loveridge (1929, 1932, 1936, 1938a, 1938b, 1941, 1956, 1957), Lyakurwa (2017), Lyakurwa et al. (2019), Malonza et al. (2006, 2017), Marques et al. (2018), Masters et al. (2017), Matschie (1893), Mehrtens (1967), Menegon et al. (2008, 2014), Menzies (1966), Monard (1931, 1940), Monasterio (2016), Muchai and Malonza (2011), Müller (1910), Pauwels and Colyn (2023), Pauwels and David (2008), Pauwels and Vande Weghe (2008), Pauwels et al. (2002, 2004, 2006, 2016, 2019, 2022), Peters (1863, 1869), Pietersen et al. (2021), Pitman (1974), Pyron et al. (2013), Rasmussen (1981, 1985, 1986, 1989a, 1989b, 1993a, 1993b, 1997), Rasmussen and Huges (1996), Rasmussen et al. (2000), Razzetti and Msuya (2002), Reinhardt (1843), Ride et al. (1999), Robertson et al. (2003), Roman (1974), Rovero et al. (2014), Sanchez-Vialas et al. (2022), Schmidt (1923), Segniagbeto et al. (2011, 2022), Schlüter and Hallermann (1997), Scortecci (1929, 1931), Seba (1734), Senter and Chippaux (2022), Smith (1849), Spawls et al. (2011, 2018), Sternfeld (1908, 1917), Tarn et al. (2018), Timberlake et al. (2012), Tolley et al. (2023), Trape and Balde (2014), Trape and Collet (2021), Trape and Mané (2000, 2004, 2006, 2015, 2017), Trape and Roux-Esteve (1995), Trape et al. (2019, 2020), Ullenbruch et al. (2010), Uthmöller (1939), Wallach et al. (2014), Werner (1897, 1899, 1908, 1909, 1913), Witte (1933), Zassi-Boulou et al. (2020) and sources cited therein.

#### RESULTS

The four candidate species were all shown to be either morphologically divergent (as detailed in the descriptions below), divergent by way of molecular evidence that had been previously published (as cited above), or both.

The three most obviously divergent forms are herein named as species in accordance with the rules of the *International Code of Zoological Nomenclature* (Ride *et al.* 1999).

A less divergent form is formally named as a subspecies. These newly named forms are *Dipsadoboa swileorum sp. nov.* from Rondo Plateau, south-east Tanzania; *Dipsadoboa euanedwardsi sp. nov.* from the hilly areas of south-west Tanzania and nearby Malawi and Zambia, mainly south of Lake Rukwa; *Dipsadoboa paulwoolfi sp. nov.* from around Goma and west of there in the North Kivu Province, of the Democratic Republic of Congo; as well as division of the recently described form *D. montisilva* Branch, Conradie and Tolley, 2019 from north-east Mozambique, the population from M'pàluwé Ridge Forest, Ribáuè Massif, Nampula Province, Mozambique, north-east of the main population is herein formally named as a subspecies *D. montisilva nampulaensis subsp. nov.* rather than as a full species.

# INFORMATION RELEVANT TO THE FORMAL DESCRIPTIONS THAT FOLLOW

There is no conflict of interest in terms of this paper or the conclusions arrived at herein.

Several people including anonymous peer reviewers who revised the manuscript prior to publication are also thanked as are relevant staff at museums who made specimens and records available in line with international obligations.

In terms of the following formal descriptions, spelling of names should not be altered in any way for any purpose unless expressly and exclusively called for by the rules governing Zoological Nomenclature as administered by the International Commission of Zoological Nomenclature.

Material downloaded from the internet and cited anywhere in this paper was downloaded and checked most recently as of 21 April 2023, unless otherwise stated and were accurate in terms of the context cited herein as of that date.

Unless otherwise stated explicitly, colour descriptions apply to living adult male specimens of generally good health and not under any form of stress by means such as excessive cool, heat, dehydration or abnormal skin reaction to chemical or other input. While numerous texts and references were consulted prior to publication of this paper, the criteria used to separate the relevant species has already been spelt out and/or is done so within each formal description and does not rely on material within publications not explicitly cited herein.

In the unlikely event that one or more of the following taxa are synonymised by a later author/s, then the correct nomen to be used is that which occurs first by way of page priority, as listed in the abstract keywords.

Delays in recognition of these species and subspecies could jeopardise the long-term survival of the taxa as outlined by Hoser (2019a, 2019b) and sources cited therein.

Therefore attempts by taxonomic vandals like the Wolfgang Wüster gang via Kaiser (2012a, 2012b, 2013, 2014a, 2014b) and Kaiser *et al.* (2013) (as frequently amended and embellished, e.g Rhodin *et al.* 2015, Thiele *et al.* 2020, Hammer and Thiele 2021) to unlawfully suppress the recognition of these taxa on the basis they have a personal dislike for the person who formally named it, including by way of breaching international copyright laws, should be resisted (e.g. Cogger 2014, Wellington 2015, Dubois *et al.* 2019, Mosyakin 2022 and Ceriaco *et al.* 2023).

Claims by the Wüster gang against this paper and the descriptions herein will no doubt be no different to those the gang have made previously, all of which were discredited long ago as outlined by Ceriaco *et al.* (2023), Cogger (2014), Cotton (2014), Dubois *et al.* (2019), Hawkeswood (2021), Hoser, (2007, 2009a, 2012a, 2012b, 2013, 2015a-f, 2019a, 2019b), ICZN (1991b, 2001, 2012, 2021), Mosyakin (2022), Wellington (2015) and sources cited therein.

Some material within descriptions is repeated to ensure each fully complies with the *International Code of Zoological Nomenclature* (Ride *et al.* 1999).

# CONSERVATION THREATS TO THE NEWLY NAMED TAXA AND RELATIVES

There are no known significant immediate conservation threats to these newly named snake species and the subspecies, although the best part of the potential habitat for these taxa have been effectively erased by the creation of endless expanses human agriculture of ever increasing intensity throughout the region, brought about by the skyrocketing population of the region (East Africa) where women still as of 2022 have an average of more than 4 children per life time.

Unforseen threats may include direct human activities (e.g. yet more land clearing for homes or farming activities), as well as potential threats caused by changed vegetation regimes. The region is awash with introduced species from the northern hemisphere and Australia, introduced animal pests and potential

pathogens, including those introduced via the legal importation of foreign reptiles and amphibians by government-owned zoos and other government backed commercial enterprises.

Denial of the existence of the relevant taxa *sensu* Wüster *et al.* as outlined by Hoser (2019a, 2019b), could ultimately cause extinction of this taxon in the same way it caused one or more earlier extinctions as documented by Hoser (2019a, 2019b), (and see Mitchell (1948) and Peters (1863)).

#### DIPSADOBOA SWILEORUM SP. NOV.

#### LSIDurn:Isid:zoobank.org:act:F1FAD065-F194-45D1-B615-1C0F9333151B

**Holotype:** A preserved specimen in the Museum of Natural History, London, UK, specimen number: BMNH 1958.1.6.40 collected from Mtene, Rondo Plateau, Tanzania, Africa, Latitude 10.1500 S., Longitude -39.3333 E. This facility allows access to its holdings.

**Paratype:** A preserved specimen in the Museum of Comparative Zoology, Harvard University, Cambridge, Massachusetts, USA, specimen number MCZ 57434 collected from Lindi, Mtene, Rondo Plateau, Tanzania, Latitude 10.1500 S., Longitude -39.3333 E.

**Diagnosis:** Until now, putative *Dipsadoboa shrevei* (Loveridge, 1932), type locality Missas de Dondi, Bella Vista, Lubito, Angola (near the Atlantic Ocean) originally placed in the genus *Crotaphopeltis* Fitzinger, 1843, has been treated as a wide-ranging sub-Saharan species with a range extending from the type locality, west Angola, east through the Southern Democratic Republic of Congo (Kinshasa), North Zambia, Mozambique and Tanzania. Morphological studies (e.g. Rasmussen 1986), and molecular studies (e.g. Branch *et al.* 2019) have confirmed the obvious, in that there are several species within the group.

Rasmussen (1986) had already formally separated the related taxon *Dipsadoboa werneri* (Boulenger, 1897), a species endemic to the Usambara Mountains, in Tanzania, as well as *Dipsadoboa kageleri* (Uthmöller, 1939), apparently endemic to the Kilimanjaro area of Tanzania, with diagnostic differences.

Branch *et al.* (2019) formally named *Dipsadoboa montisilva* Branch, Conradie and Tolley, 2019, with a type locality of Mount Mabu in north Mozambique, providing both a morphological and molecular basis for doing so.

The other obviously unnamed species in this complex are formally named herein as follows:

1/ *Dipsadoboa swileorum sp. nov.* is the divergent form long known to inhabit the Rondo Plateau, south-east Tanzania;

2/ *Dipsadoboa euanedwardsi sp. nov.* occurs in the hilly areas of south-west Tanzania and nearby Malawi and Zambia, mainly south of Lake Rukwa;

3/ *Dipsadoboa paulwoolfi sp. nov.* is the taxon found from around Goma and west of there in the North Kivu Province, of the Democratic Republic of Congo.

4/ Furthermore an isolated population of *D. montisilva* from the M'pàluwé Ridge Forest, Ribáuè Massif, Nampula Province, Mozambique is formally named as a subspecies, *D. montisilva nampulaensis subsp. nov.*.

The eight preceding forms are separated from one another as follows:

1/ *Dipsadoboa shrevei* (Loveridge, 1932), *sensu stricto* has the following unique suite of characters:

dorsals in 19-19-15 (rarely 14) rows; ventrals 203-214 in males and 199-213 in females, anal entire (or rarely divided); subcaudals 74-83 in males and 75-85 in females, 11-16+II maxillary teeth; no vestigal tooth behind the fang; juveniles pale without any distinct markings, becoming darker (brownish, grey, or blue-black, including anteriorly and on the upper labials) with increasing size and age, but invariably with a substantial amount of green or greenish pigment in adults.

2/ *D. werneri* (Boulenger, 1897) is separated from the preceding species and the other six, by having dorsals in 19-19-13 (rarely 15) rows; 221 to 229 ventrals in males and 212-221 in females; 102-111 subcaudals in males and 98-106 in females; 15-16+II+1 maxilary teeth; juveniles with a characteristic pattern of cross-

bands, which disappear in the adults which have a more or less uniform ground color (yellow-brown to pale grey) with dark-edged scales.

3/ *D. kageleri* (Uthmöller, 1939), has the following unique suite of characters: dorsals in 17-17-13 rows; 191 ventrals in males and 195 in females (n=1 of each), anal divided; 72 subcaudals in each sex (n=1 for each) 14+II+1 maxillary teeth, color similar to the that of adult color of *D. shrevei*.

4/ *D. montisilva* Branch, Conradie and Tolley, 2019 has the following unique suite of characters: 19-19-15 scale rows; 194-210 ventrals in males, 194-201 in females; 95-100 subcaudals in males, 98-90 in females; usually only two supralabials (4-5) entering the eye (three supralabials (3-5) in the other species), 1+1 or 1+2 temporals (1+1 in the others, except *D. werneri* with 1+2), and an entire anal.

5/ *D. swileorum sp. nov.* is similar in most respects to *D. shrevei* as described above, but with 209 ventrals and 96 subcaudals (females), versus 199-213 ventrals and 75-85 subcaudals for females in *D. shrevei*, and the presence of a vestigal tooth behind the fang.

Adult *D. swileorum sp. nov.* do not darken substantially as they age, as is seen in *D. shrevei*, being generally olive-brownish in colour.

6/ *D. euanedwardsi sp. nov.* is similar in most respects to both *D. swileorum sp. nov.* and *D. shrevei* as described above, but is separated from *D. shrevei* by possession of a vestigal tooth behind the fang (absent in *D. shrevei*) as well as a female ventral count of 199-204 and female subcaudal count of 75-81 (versus 209 and 75-85 in *D. swileorum sp. nov.*). Adults are a mainly gun-metal grey with darker head and barely any hint of green at all.

#### See examples online at:

https://www.inaturalist.org/observations/1170259 and

https://www.inaturalist.org/observations/22938012 and

https://www.flickr.com/photos/nvoaden/15218910294/

7/ D. paulwoolfi sp. nov. is similar in most respects to each of D. swileorum sp. nov., D. euanedwardsi sp. nov. (in terms of adult colouration) and D. shrevei as described above, but separated from each of the others by having a male ventral and subcaudal count of 215-219 and 86-91, versus 213 or less ventrals in each of D. euanedwardsi sp. nov. and D. shrevei and is separated from each of D. euanedwardsi sp. nov. and D. shrevei and is separated from each of a vestigal tooth behind the fang.

Other differences between *D. paulwoolfi sp. nov.* and the other taxa are spelt out in the table on top of page 66 of Rasmussen (1986).

8/ *D. montisilva nampulaensis subsp. nov.* is separated from nominate *D. montisilva* by the following character suite: 194 ventrals (N=1), versus 195-210 ventrals in *D. montisilva* (N=6); supralabials 3-5 entering the orbit, versus supralabials 4-5 entering the orbit in *D. montisilva*; a dorsum and venter that is a washed out light brown colour, dorsally and ventrally (venter light beige to cream in colour), versus dark olive-brown dorsally with an orange belly in *D. montisilva*, although it should be noted that colour intesity does increase slightly with age in specimens of this genus. The preceding species dubbed the *D. werneri* group by Branch *et al.* (2019) are separated from all other members of the genus *Dipsadoboa* Fitzinger, 1843 by having divided subcaudals (single in the so-called *D. unicolor* group), an entire anal (divided in the so-called *D. aulica* group), and 19 midbody scale rows (17 in the *D. duchesnii* group, ... see below).

Snakes in the genus *Dipsadoboa* Fitzinger, 1843 are separated from all other African Snakes by the following unique suite of characters: Body not worm-like, no large pits on the labials or head shields; body not muscular; no paddle-like tail, one or more pairs of grooved venom fangs at the rear of the upper jaw; pupil vertically elliptic; head is much broader than the neck; loreal excluded from the orbit by the preocular; dorsum is not marbled red-brown or yellow; 194-270 ventrals; 100 or less subcaudals; usually a single anal; anterior temporal shield is single (modified

#### from Spawls et al. 2011).

Putative "*Leptodira duchesnii* Boulenger, 1901", treated by most recent authors, including Branch *et al.* (2019) as being within *Dipsadoboa* Fitzinger, 1843 is nothing like the other members, being both morphologically divergent (wholly arboreal), much larger eyes, more bulbous head, etc and should be placed in a separate genus. The name *Dipsoglyphophis* Barbour and Amaral, 1927 is available as noted by Loveridge, 1941.

Loveridge, 1941 also regarded "*Leptodira duchesnii* Boulenger, 1901" as being a species complex warranting further investigations, which nearly a century later has yet to be done.

**Distribution:** *Dipsadoboa swileorum sp. nov.* is only known from the environs of the Rondo Plateau, south-east Tanzania.

**Etymology:** *Dipsadoboa swileorum sp. nov.* is named in honour of the South African Swile family, from Cape Town, South Africa, including Benjamin Swile, Ernest Swile, Verona Swile, Marle Swile in recognition of logistics and assistance in herpetological fieldwork projects across southern Africa.

#### DIPSADOBOA EUANEDWARDSI SP. NOV.

#### LSIDurn:Isid:zoobank.org:act:E1A5861F-E922-441F-9C01-B3CA053DE88D

**Holotype:** A preserved specimen in the Institut royal des Sciences naturelles de Belgique, Brussels, Belgium, specimen number IRScNB 8748 collected from Mbala (formerly Abercorn), Zambia, Africa, Latitude 8.8536 S., Longitude 31.3656 E.

This facility allows access to its holdings.

**Paratypes:** 1/ A preserved specimen at the Museum of Comparative Zoology, Harvard, Cambridge, Massachusetts, USA, specimen number MCZ 554871 collected from Mbala (formerly Abercorn), Zambia, Latitude 8.8536 S., Longitude 31.3656 E.

2/ A preserved specimen at the Museum of Natural History, London, UK, specimen number BMNH 1907.10.14.2 collected from the Tanganyika Plateau (now in Zambia), Africa.

**Diagnosis:** Until now, putative *Dipsadoboa shrevei* (Loveridge, 1932), type locality Missas de Dondi, Bella Vista, Lubito, Angola (near the Atlantic Ocean) originally placed in the genus *Crotaphopeltis* Fitzinger, 1843, has been treated as a wide-ranging sub-Saharan species with a range extending from the type locality, west Angola, east through the Southern Democratic Republic of Congo (Kinshasa), North Zambia, Mozambique and Tanzania. Morphological studies (e.g. Rasmussen 1986), and molecular studies (e.g. Branch *et al.* 2019) have confirmed the obvious. in

studies (e.g. Branch *et al.* 2019) have confirmed the obvious, in that there are several species within the group.

Rasmussen (1986) had already formally separated the related taxon *Dipsadoboa werneri* (Boulenger, 1897), a species endemic to the Usambara Mountains, in Tanzania, as well as *Dipsadoboa kageleri* (Uthmöller, 1939), apparently endemic to the Kilimanjaro area of Tanzania, with diagnostic differences.

Branch *et al.* (2019) formally named *Dipsadoboa montisilva* Branch, Conradie and Tolley, 2019, with a type locality of Mount Mabu in north Mozambique, providing both a morphological and molecular basis for doing so.

The other obviously unnamed species in this complex are formally named herein as follows:

1/ *Dipsadoboa swileorum sp. nov.* is the divergent form long known to inhabit the Rondo Plateau, south-east Tanzania;

2/ *Dipsadoboa euanedwardsi sp. nov.* occurs in the hilly areas of south-west Tanzania and nearby Malawi and Zambia, mainly south of Lake Rukwa;

3/ *Dipsadoboa paulwoolfi sp. nov.* is the taxon found from around Goma and west of there in the North Kivu Province, of the Democratic Republic of Congo.

4/ Furthermore an isolated population of *D. montisilva* from the M'pàluwé Ridge Forest, Ribáuè Massif, Nampula Province, Mozambique is formally named as a subspecies, *D. montisilva nampulaensis subsp. nov.*.

The eight preceding forms are separated from one another as follows:

1/ Dipsadoboa shrevei (Loveridge, 1932), sensu stricto has the

following unique suite of characters:

dorsals in 19-19-15 (rarely 14) rows; ventrals 203-214 in males and 199-213 in females, anal entire (or rarely divided); subcaudals 74-83 in males and 75-85 in females, 11-16+II maxillary teeth; no vestigal tooth behind the fang; juveniles pale without any distinct markings, becoming darker (brownish, grey, or blue-black, including anteriorly and on the upper labials) with increasing size and age, but invariably with a substantial amount of green or greenish pigment in adults.

2/ *D. werneri* (Boulenger, 1897) is separated from the preceding species and the other six, by having dorsals in 19-19-13 (rarely 15) rows; 221 to 229 ventrals in males and 212-221 in females; 102-111 subcaudals in males and 98-106 in females; 15-16+II+1 maxilary teeth; juveniles with a characteristic pattern of cross-bands, which disappear in the adults which have a more or less uniform ground color (yellow-brown to pale grey) with dark-edged scales.

3/ *D. kageleri* (Uthmöller, 1939), has the following unique suite of characters: dorsals in 17-17-13 rows; 191 ventrals in males and 195 in females (n=1 of each), anal divided; 72 subcaudals in each sex (n=1 for each) 14+II+1 maxillary teeth, color similar to the that of adult color of *D. shrevei*.

4/ *D. montisilva* Branch, Conradie and Tolley, 2019 has the following unique suite of characters: 19-19-15 scale rows; 194-210 ventrals in males, 194-201 in females; 95-100 subcaudals in males, 98-90 in females; usually only two supralabials (4-5) entering the eye (three supralabials (3-5) in the other species), 1+1 or 1+2 temporals (1+1 in the others, except *D. werneri* with 1+2), and an entire anal.

5/ *D. swileorum sp. nov.* is similar in most respects to *D. shrevei* as described above, but with 209 ventrals and 96 subcaudals (females), versus 199-213 ventrals and 75-85 subcaudals for females in *D. shrevei*, and the presence of a vestigal tooth behind the fang.

Adult *D. swileorum sp. nov.* do not darken substantially as they age, as is seen in *D. shrevei*, being generally olive-brownish in colour.

6/ *D. euanedwardsi sp. nov.* is similar in most respects to both *D. swileorum sp. nov.* and *D. shrevei* as described above, but is separated from *D. shrevei* by possession of a vestigal tooth behind the fang (absent in *D. shrevei*) as well as a female ventral count of 199-204 and female subcaudal count of 75-81 (versus 209 and 75-85 in *D. swileorum sp. nov.*). Adults are a mainly gun-metal grey with darker head and barely any hint of green at all. See examples online at:

https://www.inaturalist.org/observations/1170259

and

https://www.inaturalist.org/observations/22938012 and

https://www.flickr.com/photos/nvoaden/15218910294/ 7/ *D. paulwoolfi sp. nov.* is similar in most respects to each of *D. swileorum sp. nov.*, *D. euanedwardsi sp. nov.* (in terms of adult colouration) and *D. shrevei* as described above, but separated from each of the others by having a male ventral and subcaudal count of 215-219 and 86-91, versus 213 or less ventrals in each of *D. euanedwardsi sp. nov.* and *D. shrevei* and is separated from each of *D. euanedwardsi sp. nov.* and *D. shrevei* and is separated from each of *D. euanedwardsi sp. nov.* and *D. shrevei* and is separated from each of *D. euanedwardsi sp. nov.* and *D. swileorum sp. nov.* by the absence of a vestigal tooth behind the fang.

Other differences between *D. paulwoolfi sp. nov.* and the other taxa are spelt out in the table on top of page 66 of Rasmussen (1986).

8/ *D. montisilva nampulaensis subsp. nov.* is separated from nominate *D. montisilva* by the following character suite: 194 ventrals (N=1), versus 195-210 ventrals in *D. montisilva* (N=6); supralabials 3-5 entering the orbit, versus supralabials 4-5 entering the orbit in *D. montisilva*; a dorsum and venter that is a washed out light brown colour, dorsally and ventrally (venter light beige to cream in colour), versus dark olive-brown dorsally with an orange belly in *D. montisilva*, although it should be noted that colour intesity does increase slightly with age in specimens of this genus. The preceding species dubbed the *D. werneri* group by Branch

*et al.* (2019) are separated from all other members of the genus *Dipsadoboa* Fitzinger, 1843 by having divided subcaudals (single in the so-called *D. unicolor* group), an entire anal (divided in the so-called *D. aulica* group), and 19 midbody scale rows (17 in the *D. duchesnii* group, ... see below).

Snakes in the genus *Dipsadoboa* Fitzinger, 1843 are separated from all other African Snakes by the following unique suite of characters: Body not worm-like, no large pits on the labials or head shields; body not muscular; no paddle-like tail, one or more pairs of grooved venom fangs at the rear of the upper jaw; pupil vertically elliptic; head is much broader than the neck; loreal excluded from the orbit by the preocular; dorsum is not marbled red-brown or yellow; 194-270 ventrals; 100 or less subcaudals; usually a single anal; anterior temporal shield is single (modified from Spawls *et al.* 2011).

Putative "*Leptodira duchesnii* Boulenger, 1901", treated by most recent authors, including Branch *et al.* (2019) as being within *Dipsadoboa* Fitzinger, 1843 is nothing like the other members, being both morphologically divergent (wholly arboreal), much larger eyes, bulbous head, substantially different scalation, etc and should be placed in a separate genus. The name *Dipsoglyphophis* Barbour and Amaral, 1927 is available as noted by Loveridge (1941).

Loveridge, 1941 also regarded "*Leptodira duchesnii* Boulenger, 1901" as recognized at the time (and still now), as in fact being a species complex and warranting further investigations, which nearly a century later has yet to be done.

**Distribution:** *Dipsadoboa euanedwardsi sp. nov.* is only known from the environs of the type locality and the adjacent parts of the Luangwa River Valley, including Zambia, nearby parts of Malawi and presumably adjacent Tanzania.

**Etymology:** *Dipsadoboa euanedwardsi sp. nov.* is named in honour of the now Australian-based herpetologist Euan Edwards of the Gold Coast, Queensland, in recognition of a life-time's work in herpetology, including considerable fieldwork over many years in most parts of Africa, including the region this species occurs. *DIPSADOBOA PAULWOOLFI SP. NOV.* 

# LSIDurn:lsid:zoobank.org:act:301433B3-18E7-41FF-9434-71C850CC5187

**Holotype:** A preserved specimen in the Royal Museum for Central Africa (Musée royal d'Afrique centrale), Tervuren, Belgium, specimen number RGMC 18516, collected from Uvira, Kivu, Democratic Republic of Congo (DRC) (Kinshasa), Latitude -32.2212 S., Longitude 29.0824 E.

This facility allows access to its holdings.

**Paratype:** A preserved specimen in the Royal Museum for Central Africa (Musée royal d'Afrique centrale), Tervuren, Belgium, specimen number RGMC 18517 collected from Makungu, 950 m, Terr. de Fizi, Kivu, Democratic Republic of Congo (DRC) (Kinshasa).

**Diagnosis:** Until now, putative *Dipsadoboa shrevei* (Loveridge, 1932), type locality Missas de Dondi, Bella Vista, Lubito, Angola (near the Atlantic Ocean) originally placed in the genus *Crotaphopeltis* Fitzinger, 1843, has been treated as a wide-ranging sub-Saharan species with a range extending from the type locality, west Angola, east through the Southern Democratic Republic of Congo (Kinshasa), North Zambia, Mozambique and Tanzania.

Morphological studies (e.g. Rasmussen 1986), and molecular studies (e.g. Branch *et al.* 2019) have confirmed the obvious, in that there are several species within the group.

Rasmussen (1986) had already formally separated the related taxon *Dipsadoboa werneri* (Boulenger, 1897), a species endemic to the Usambara Mountains, in Tanzania, as well as *Dipsadoboa kageleri* (Uthmöller, 1939), apparently endemic to the Kilimanjaro area of Tanzania, with diagnostic differences.

Branch *et al.* (2019) formally named *Dipsadoboa montisilva* Branch, Conradie and Tolley, 2019, with a type locality of Mount Mabu in north Mozambique, providing both a morphological and molecular basis for doing so.

The other obviously unnamed species in this complex are formally

named herein as follows:

1/ *Dipsadoboa swileorum sp. nov.* is the divergent form long known to inhabit the Rondo Plateau, south-east Tanzania;

2/ *Dipsadoboa euanedwardsi sp. nov.* occurs in the hilly areas of south-west Tanzania and nearby Malawi and Zambia, mainly south of Lake Rukwa;

3/ *Dipsadoboa paulwoolfi sp. nov.* is the taxon found from around Goma and west of there in the North Kivu Province, of the Democratic Republic of Congo.

4/ Furthermore an isolated population of *D. montisilva* from the M'pàluwé Ridge Forest, Ribáuè Massif, Nampula Province, Mozambique is formally named as a subspecies, *D. montisilva nampulaensis subsp. nov.* 

The eight preceding forms are separated from one another as follows:

1/ *Dipsadoboa shrevei* (Loveridge, 1932), *sensu stricto* has the following unique suite of characters:

dorsals in 19-19-15 (rarely 14) rows; ventrals 203-214 in males and 199-213 in females, anal entire (or rarely divided); subcaudals 74-83 in males and 75-85 in females, 11-16+II maxillary teeth; no vestigal tooth behind the fang; juveniles pale without any distinct markings, becoming darker (brownish, grey, or blue-black, including anteriorly and on the upper labials) with increasing size and age, but invariably with a substantial amount of green or greenish pigment in adults.

2/ D. werneri (Boulenger, 1897) is separated from the preceding species and the other six, by having dorsals in 19-19-13 (rarely 15) rows; 221 to 229 ventrals in males and 212-221 in females; 102-111 subcaudals in males and 98-106 in females; 15-16+II+1 maxilary teeth; juveniles with a characteristic pattern of cross-bands, which disappear in the adults which have a more or less uniform ground color (yellow-brown to pale grey) with dark-edged scales.

3/ *D. kageleri* (Uthmöller, 1939), has the following unique suite of characters: dorsals in 17-17-13 rows; 191 ventrals in males and 195 in females (n=1 of each), anal divided; 72 subcaudals in each sex (n=1 for each) 14+II+1 maxillary teeth, color similar to the that of adult color of *D. shrevei*.

4/ *D. montisilva* Branch, Conradie and Tolley, 2019 has the following unique suite of characters: 19-19-15 scale rows; 194-210 ventrals in males, 194-201 in females; 95-100 subcaudals in males, 98-90 in females; usually only two supralabials (4-5) entering the eye (three supralabials (3-5) in the other species), 1+1 or 1+2 temporals (1+1 in the others, except *D. werneri* with 1+2), and an entire anal.

5/ *D. swileorum sp. nov.* is similar in most respects to *D. shrevei* as described above, but with 209 ventrals and 96 subcaudals (females), versus 199-213 ventrals and 75-85 subcaudals for females in *D. shrevei*, and the presence of a vestigal tooth behind the fang.

Adult *D. swileorum sp. nov.* do not darken substantially as they age, as is seen in *D. shrevei*, being generally olive-brownish in colour.

6/ *D. euanedwardsi sp. nov.* is similar in most respects to both *D. swileorum sp. nov.* and *D. shrevei* as described above, but is separated from *D. shrevei* by possession of a vestigal tooth behind the fang (absent in *D. shrevei*) as well as a female ventral count of 199-204 and female subcaudal count of 75-81 (versus 209 and 75-85 in *D. swileorum sp. nov.*). Adults are a mainly gun-metal grey with darker head and barely any hint of green at all.

See examples online at:

https://www.inaturalist.org/observations/1170259 and

https://www.inaturalist.org/observations/22938012 and

https://www.flickr.com/photos/nvoaden/15218910294/ 7/ *D. paulwoolfi sp. nov.* is similar in most respects to each of *D. swileorum sp. nov.*, *D. euanedwardsi sp. nov.* (in terms of adult colouration) and *D. shrevei* as described above, but separated from each of the others by having a male ventral and subcaudal

count of 215-219 and 86-91, versus 213 or less ventrals in each of *D. euanedwardsi sp. nov.* and *D. shrevei* and is separated from each of *D. euanedwardsi sp. nov.* and *D. swileorum sp. nov.* by the absence of a vestigal tooth behind the fang.

Other differences between *D. paulwoolfi sp. nov.* and the other taxa are spelt out in the table on top of page 66 of Rasmussen (1986).

8/ *D. montisilva nampulaensis subsp. nov.* is separated from nominate *D. montisilva* by the following character suite: 194 ventrals (N=1), versus 195-210 ventrals in *D. montisilva* (N=6); supralabials 3-5 entering the orbit, versus supralabials 4-5 entering the orbit in *D. montisilva*; a dorsum and venter that is a washed out light brown colour, dorsally and ventrally (venter light beige to cream in colour), versus dark olive-brown dorsally with an orange belly in *D. montisilva*, although it should be noted that colour intesity does increase slightly with age in specimens of this genus. The preceding species dubbed the *D. werneri* group by Branch *et al.* (2019) are separated from all other members of the genus *Dipsadoboa* Fitzinger, 1843 by having divided subcaudals (single in the so-called *D. unicolor* group), an entire anal (divided in the so-called *D. aulica* group), and 19 midbody scale rows (17 in the *D. duchesnii* group, ... see below).

Snakes in the genus *Dipsadoboa* Fitzinger, 1843 are separated from all other African Snakes by the following unique suite of characters: Body not worm-like, no large pits on the labials or head shields; body not muscular; no paddle-like tail, one or more pairs of grooved venom fangs at the rear of the upper jaw; pupil vertically elliptic; head is much broader than the neck; loreal excluded from the orbit by the preocular; dorsum is not marbled red-brown or yellow; 194-270 ventrals; 100 or less subcaudals; usually a single anal; anterior temporal shield is single (modified from Spawls *et al.* 2011).

Putative "*Leptodira duchesnii* Boulenger, 1901", treated by most recent authors, including Branch *et al.* (2019) as being within *Dipsadoboa* Fitzinger, 1843 is nothing like the other members, being both morphologically divergent (wholly arboreal), much larger eyes, bulbous head, substantially different scalation, etc and should be placed in a separate genus. The name *Dipsoglyphophis* Barbour and Amaral, 1927 is available as noted by Loveridge (1941).

Loveridge, 1941 also regarded "*Leptodira duchesnii* Boulenger, 1901" as recognized at the time (and still now), as in fact being a species complex and warranting further investigations, which nearly a century later has yet to be done.

**Distribution:** *Dipsadoboa paulwoolfi sp. nov.* is only known from the environs of the type locality Kivu, Democratic Republic of Congo, with the extent of distribution beyond here yet to be determined.

**Etymology:** *Dipsadoboa paulwoolfi sp. nov.* is named in honour of the Australian-based herpetologist Paul Woolf of the Walloon, Queensland, in recognition of a life-time's work in herpetology, including as foundation president of the Herpetological Society of Queensland Incorporated, including for his leading role in combating taxonomic vandalism by Hinrich (Code-wrecker) Kaiser, Wolfgang Wüster, Don Broadley and their cohort and encouraging other herpetologists to publicly condemn their copyright infringing, ICZN Code wrecking, illegal and dishonest practices (see Hoser 2015a-f).

#### DIPSADOBOA MONTISILVA NAMPULAENSIS SUBSP. NOV. LSIDurn:lsid:zoobank.org:act:F3649C7A-4F1D-41B0-BD11-282B74D97A43

**Holotype:** A preserved young female specimen in the Port Elizabeth Museum, South Africa, now known as Bayworld, Gqeberha, South Africa, specimen number PEM R21195, collected from M'pàluwé Ridge Forest, Ribáuè Massif, Nampula Province, Mozambique, Africa.

This facility allows access to its holdings.

Diagnosis: Until now, putative Dipsadoboa shrevei (Loveridge,

1932), type locality Missas de Dondi, Bella Vista, Lubito,

Angola (near the Atlantic Ocean) originally placed in the genus *Crotaphopeltis* Fitzinger, 1843, has been treated as a wide-ranging sub-Saharan species with a range extending from the type locality, west Angola, east through the Southern Democratic Republic of Congo (Kinshasa), North Zambia, Mozambique and Tanzania. Morphological studies (e.g. Rasmussen 1986), and molecular studies (e.g. Branch *et al.* 2019) have confirmed the obvious, in that there are several species within the group.

Rasmussen (1986) had already formally separated the related taxon *Dipsadoboa werneri* (Boulenger, 1897), a species endemic to the Usambara Mountains, in Tanzania, as well as *Dipsadoboa kageleri* (Uthmöller, 1939), apparently endemic to the Kilimanjaro area of Tanzania, with diagnostic differences.

Branch *et al.* (2019) formally named *Dipsadoboa montisilva* Branch, Conradie and Tolley, 2019, with a type locality of Mount Mabu in north Mozambique, providing both a morphological and molecular basis for doing so.

The other obviously unnamed species in this complex are formally named herein as follows:

1/ *Dipsadoboa swileorum sp. nov.* is the divergent form long known to inhabit the Rondo Plateau, south-east Tanzania;

2/ *Dipsadoboa euanedwardsi sp. nov.* occurs in the hilly areas of south-west Tanzania and nearby Malawi and Zambia, mainly south of Lake Rukwa;

3/ *Dipsadoboa paulwoolfi sp. nov.* is the taxon found from around Goma and west of there in the North Kivu Province, of the Democratic Republic of Congo.

4/ Furthermore an isolated population of *D. montisilva* from the M'pàluwé Ridge Forest, Ribáuè Massif, Nampula Province, Mozambique is formally named as a subspecies, *D. montisilva nampulaensis subsp. nov.*.

The eight preceding forms are separated from one another as follows:

1/ *Dipsadoboa shrevei* (Loveridge, 1932), *sensu stricto* has the following unique suite of characters:

dorsals in 19-19-15 (rarely 14) rows; ventrals 203-214 in males and 199-213 in females, anal entire (or rarely divided); subcaudals 74-83 in males and 75-85 in females, 11-16+II maxillary teeth; no vestigal tooth behind the fang; juveniles pale without any distinct markings, becoming darker (brownish, grey, or blue-black, including anteriorly and on the upper labials) with increasing size and age, but invariably with a substantial amount of green or greenish pigment in adults.

2/ *D. werneri* (Boulenger, 1897) is separated from the preceding species and the other six, by having dorsals in 19-19-13 (rarely 15) rows; 221 to 229 ventrals in males and 212-221 in females; 102-111 subcaudals in males and 98-106 in females; 15-16+II+1 maxilary teeth; juveniles with a characteristic pattern of cross-bands, which disappear in the adults which have a more or less uniform ground color (yellow-brown to pale grey) with dark-edged scales.

3/ *D. kageleri* (Uthmöller, 1939), has the following unique suite of characters: dorsals in 17-17-13 rows; 191 ventrals in males and 195 in females (n=1 of each), anal divided; 72 subcaudals in each sex (n=1 for each) 14+II+1 maxillary teeth, color similar to the that of adult color of *D. shrevei*.

4/ *D. montisilva* Branch, Conradie and Tolley, 2019 has the following unique suite of characters: 19-19-15 scale rows; 194-210 ventrals in males, 194-201 in females; 95-100 subcaudals in males, 98-90 in females; usually only two supralabials (4-5) entering the eye (three supralabials (3-5) in the other species), 1+1 or 1+2 temporals (1+1 in the others, except *D. werneri* with 1+2), and an entire anal.

5/ *D. swileorum sp. nov.* is similar in most respects to *D. shrevei* as described above, but with 209 ventrals and 96 subcaudals (females), versus 199-213 ventrals and 75-85 subcaudals for females in *D. shrevei*, and the presence of a vestigal tooth behind the fang.

Adult *D. swileorum sp. nov.* do not darken substantially as they age, as is seen in *D. shrevei*, being generally olive-brownish in colour.

6/ D. euanedwardsi sp. nov. is similar in most respects to both

*D. swileorum sp. nov.* and *D. shrevei* as described above, but is separated from *D. shrevei* by possession of a vestigal tooth behind the fang (absent in *D. shrevei*) as well as a female ventral count of 199-204 and female subcaudal count of 75-81 (versus 209 and 75-85 in *D. swileorum sp. nov.*). Adults are a mainly gun-metal grey with darker head and barely any hint of green at all.

See examples online at:

https://www.inaturalist.org/observations/1170259 and

https://www.inaturalist.org/observations/22938012 and

https://www.flickr.com/photos/nvoaden/15218910294/ 7/ *D. paulwoolfi sp. nov.* is similar in most respects to each of *D. swileorum sp. nov.*, *D. euanedwardsi sp. nov.* (in terms of adult colouration) and *D. shrevei* as described above, but separated from each of the others by having a male ventral and subcaudal count of 215-219 and 86-91, versus 213 or less ventrals in each of *D. euanedwardsi sp. nov.* and *D. shrevei* and is separated from each of *D. euanedwardsi sp. nov.* and *D. shrevei* and is separated from each of *D. euanedwardsi sp. nov.* and *D. shrevei* and is separated from each of *D. euanedwardsi sp. nov.* and *D. swileorum sp. nov.* by the absence of a vestigal tooth behind the fang.

Other differences between *D. paulwoolfi sp. nov.* and the other taxa are spelt out in the table on top of page 66 of Rasmussen (1986).

8/ *D. montisilva nampulaensis subsp. nov.* is separated from nominate *D. montisilva* by the following character suite: 194 ventrals (N=1), versus 195-210 ventrals in *D. montisilva* (N=6); supralabials 3-5 entering the orbit, versus supralabials 4-5 entering the orbit in *D. montisilva*; a dorsum and venter that is a washed out light brown colour, dorsally and ventrally (venter light beige to cream in colour), versus dark olive-brown dorsally with an orange belly in *D. montisilva*, although it should be noted that colour intesity does increase slightly with age in specimens of this genus.

The preceding species dubbed the *D. werneri* group by Branch *et al.* (2019) are separated from all other members of the genus *Dipsadoboa* Fitzinger, 1843 by having divided subcaudals (single in the so-called *D. unicolor* group), an entire anal (divided in the so-called *D. aulica* group), and 19 midbody scale rows (17 in the *D. duchesnii* group, ... see below).

Snakes in the genus Dipsadoboa Fitzinger, 1843 are separated from all other African Snakes by the following unique suite of characters: Body not worm-like, no large pits on the labials or head shields; body not muscular; no paddle-like tail, one or more pairs of grooved venom fangs at the rear of the upper jaw; pupil vertically elliptic: head is much broader than the neck: loreal excluded from the orbit by the preocular; dorsum is not marbled red-brown or yellow; 194-270 ventrals; 100 or less subcaudals; usually a single anal; anterior temporal shield is single (modified from Spawls et al. 2011). Putative "Leptodira duchesnii Boulenger, 1901", treated by most recent authors, including Branch et al. (2019) as being within Dipsadoboa Fitzinger, 1843 is nothing like the other members, being both morphologically divergent (wholly arboreal), much larger eyes, bulbous head, substantially different scalation, etc and should be placed in a separate genus. The name Dipsoglyphophis Barbour and Amaral, 1927 is available as noted by Loveridge (1941).

Loveridge, 1941 also regarded "*Leptodira duchesnii* Boulenger, 1901" as recognized at the time (and still now), as in fact being a species complex and warranting further investigations, which nearly a century later has yet to be done.

**Distribution:** *Dipsadoboa montisilva nampulaensis subsp. nov.* is known only from the type locality, the M'pàluwé Ridge Forest, Ribáuè Massif, Nampula Province, Mozambique. It is quite likely to be a range-restricted endemic, confined to the environs of the Ribáuè Massif, necessitating the urgent protection of the remaining undisturbed parts of this area.

**Etymology:** *D. montisilva nampulaensis subsp. nov.* is named in reflection of where it is known to occur.

# CONSERVATION THREATS TO THE NEWLY NAMED TAXA AND RELATIVES

There are no known significant immediate conservation threats to these newly named snake species and subspecies, although the best part of the potential habitat for these taxa have been effectively erased by the creation of endless expanses human agriculture of ever increasing intensity throughout the region, brought about by the skyrocketing population of the region (East Africa) where women still as of 2022 have an average of more than 4 children per life time.

Unforseen threats may include direct human activities (e.g. yet more land clearing for homes or farming activities), as well as potential threats caused by changed vegetation regime. The region is awash with introduced species from the northern hemisphere and Australia, introduced animal pests and potential pathogens, including those introduced via the legal importation of foreign reptiles and amphibians by government-owned zoos and other government backed commercial enterprises.

Denial of the existence of the relevant taxa *sensu* Wüster *et al.* as outlined by Hoser (2019a, 2019b), could ultimately cause extinction of these taxa in the same way it caused one or more earlier extinctions as documented by Hoser (2019a, 2019b), (and see also Mitchell (1948) and Peters (1863)).

#### REFERENCES CITED

Auerbach, R. D. 1987. The Amphibians and Reptiles of Botswana. Mokwepa Consultants, Botswana: 295 pp.

Baptista, N. L., António, T. and Branch, W. R. 2019. The herpetofauna of Bicuar National Park and surroundings, southwestern Angola: a preliminary checklist. *Amphibian and Reptile Conservation* 13(2):96-130.

Barbour, T. 1914. Some new reptiles. *Proceedings of the New England Zoological Club* 4:95-98.

Barbour, T. and Amaral, A. D. 1927a. Studies on African ophidia. Bulletin of the Antiven Institute of America 1(1):1-3.

Barbour, T. and Amaral, A. D. 1927b. Studies on African Ophidia. *Bulletin of the Antiven Institute of America* 1(1):25-27.

Barbour, T. and Loveridge, A. 1928. A comparative study of the herpetological fauna of the Uluguru and Usambara mountains, Tanzania Territory with descriptions of new species. *Mem. Museum of Comparative Zoology Cambridge* (Massachusetts), 50(2):85-265. Barnett, L. K. 2001. The herpetofauna of Abuko Nature Reserve, the Gambia. *Herpetological Bulletin* (77):5-1.

Barnett, L. K. and Emms, C. 2005. Common reptiles of The Gambia. Rare Repro, Hailsham, East Sussex, UK:24 pp.

Bates, M. F., Branch, W. R., Bauer, A. M., Burger, M., Marais, J., Alexander, G. J. and de Villliers, M. S. (eds.) 2014. *Atlas and Red List of the Reptiles of South Africa, Lesotho, and Swaziland. Suricata 1.* South African National Biodiversity Institute, Pretoria, South Africa:512 pp.

Bayliss, J., Timberlake, J., Branch, W. R., Bruessow, C., Collins, S., Congdon, C., Curran, M., De Sousa, C., Dowsett, R., Dowsett-Lemaire, F., Fishpool, L., Harris, T., Herrmann, E., Georgiadis, S., Kopp, M., Liggitt, B., Monadjem, A. and Patel, H., R 2014. The discovery, biodiversity and conservation of Mabu forest: The largest medium-altitude rainforest in southern Africa. *Oryx* 48:177-185.

Behangana, M., Magala, R., Katumba, R., Ochanda, D., Kigoolo, S., Mutebi, S., Dendi, D., Luiselli, L. and Hughes, D. F. 2020. Herpetofaunal diversity and community structure in the Murchison Falls-Albert Delta Ramsar site, Uganda: Herpetofaunal diversity. *European Journal of Ecology*, 6(2):1-17.

BBC World 2021. Catholic Church child sexual abuse scandal. *BBC World Report* 5 Oct 2021, online at: https://www.bbc.com/news/ world-44209971

Beolens, B., Watkins, M. and Grayson, M. 2011. *The Eponym Dictionary of Reptiles*. Johns Hopkins University Press, Baltimore, USA:xvi+296 pp.

Berry, P. S. M. 1970. A Note on Shreve's Tree Snake *Dipsadoboa* shrevei in Zambia. *Journal of the Herpetological Association of Africa* (6):20-21.

Bittencourt-Silva, G. B. 2019. Herpetological survey of western Zambia. *Amphibian and Reptile Conservation* 13(2):1-28.

Böhme, W., Rödel, M., Brede, C. and Wagner, P. 2011. The reptiles (Testudines, Squamata, Crocodylia) of the forested southeast of the Republic Guinea (Guinée forestière), with a country-wide checklist. *Bonn zoological Bulletin* 60(1):35-61.

Boulenger, G. A. 1896. *Catalogue of the snakes in the British Museum, Vol. 3.* Taylor and Francis, London, UK:xiv+727 pp. Boulenger, G. A. 1897a. A list of reptiles and batrachians from the Congo Free State, with the description of two new snakes. *Annals And Magazine of Natural History* (6)19:276-281.

Boulenger, G. A. 1897b. Description of a new snake from Usambara, German East Africa. *Annals And Magazine of Natural History* (6)19:281.

Boulenger, G. A. 1901. Materiaux pour la faune du Congo. Batraciens et reptiles nouveaux. *Ann. Mus. Congo Belge, Zool.* (sect. C. ser. 1) 2:7-14.

Boulenger, G. A. 1906. Additions to the herpetology of British East Africa. *Proceedings of the Zoological Society of London* 2:570-573. Boulenger, G. A. 1907. Descriptions of three new snakes discovered by Mr. G. L. Bates in south Cameroon. *Annals And Magazine of* 

Natural History (7)19:324. Bowcott, O. and Sherwood, H. 2020. Child sexual abuse in Catholic church 'swept under the carpet', inquiry finds. *The Guardian* 11 November online at:

https://www.theguardian.com/world/2020/nov/10/child-sexual-abuse-in-catholic-church-swept-under-the-carpet-inquiry-finds

Boycott, R. C. 1992. An Annotated Checklist of the Amphibians and Reptiles of Swaziland. The Conservation Trust of Swaziland (online at: http://eswatininaturereserves.com/checklst/sdreptam.html). Branch, W. R. 1993. A Photographic Guide to Snakes and Other Reptiles of Southern Africa. Struik Publishers, Cape Town, South Africa:144 S.

Branch, W. R., Bayliss, J., Bitterncourt-Silva, G. B., Conradie, W., Engelbrecht, H. M., Loader, S. P., Menegon, M., Nanvonamuquitxo, C. and Tolley, K. A. 2019. A new species of tree snake (Dipsadoboa, Serpentes: Colubridae) from 'sky island' forests in northern Mozambique, with notes on other members of the *Dipsadoboa werneri* group. *Zootaxa* (PRINO) (Online) 4646(3):541-563. Branch, W. R., Rödel, M. -O. and Marais, J. 2005. Herpetological survey of the Niassa Game Reserve, northern Mozambique - Part I: Reptiles. *Salamandra* 41(4):195-214.

Branch, W. R., Pinto, P. V., Baptista, N. and Conradie, W. 2019. The Reptiles of Angola: History, Diversity, Endemism and Hotspots. Chapter 13, being pp. 283-334 in: B. J. Huntley *et al.* (eds.),

*Biodiversity of Angola.* Springer Verlag, Germany:549 pp. Broadley, D. G. 1958. Occurence of *Dipsadoboa shrevei* in Northern Rhodesia. *Journal of the Herpetological Association of Rhodesia* 2:5.

Broadley, D. G. 1959. The herpetology of Southern Rhodesia. Part I:the snakes. *Bulletin of the Museum of Comparative Zoology* Harvard 120(1):1-100.

Broadley, D. G. 1962. On some reptile collections from the North-Western and North-Eastern Districts of Southern Rhodesia 1958-1961, with descriptions of four new lizards. *Occ. Pap. Nat. Mus. South. Rhodesia* 26(B):787-843.

Broadley, D. G. 1968. A new species of *Crotaphopeltis* (Serpentes: Colubridae) from Barotseland, Zambia. *Fieldiana Zoology* 51(10):135-139.

Broadley, D. G. 1991. The Herpetofauna of Northern Mwinilunga District, Northwest Zambia. *Arnoldia* Zimbabwe 9(37):519-538.

Broadley, D. and Blaylock, R. 2013. *The Snakes of Zimbabwe and Botswana*. Chimaira, Frankfurt, Germany:387 pp.

Broadley, D. G. and Cotterill, F. P. D. 2004. The reptiles of southeast Katanga, an overlooked 'hot spot'. *African Journal of Herpetology* 53(1):35-61.

Broadley, D. G. and Howell, K. M. 1991. A check list of the reptiles of Tanzania, with synoptic keys. *Syntarsus* 1:1-70.

Broadley, D. G. and Stevens, R. A. 1971. A review of *Chamaetortus aulicus* Günther, with the description of a new subspecies from Malawi (Serpentes: Colubridae). *Arnoldia* 11(5):1-11.

Broadley, D. G., Doria, C. T. and Wigge, J. 2003. *Snakes of Zambia. An Atlas and Field Guide*. Edition Chimaira, Frankfurt, Germany:280 pp.

Burger, M., Branch, W. R. and Channing, A. 2004. Amphibians and Reptiles of Monts Doudou, Gabon: Species Turnover Along an Elevational Gradient. *California Academy of Sciences Memoir* 28:145-186. Carlino, P. and Pauwels, O. S. G. 2015. An Updated Reptile List of Ivindo National Park, the Herpetofaunal Hotspot of Gabon. *Bulletin of the Chicago Herpetological Society* 50(3):25-39.

Ceraico, L. M. P., Aescht, E., Ahyong, S. T., Ballerio, A., Bouchard, P., Bourgoin, T., Dmitriev, D., Evenhius, N., Grygier, M. J., Harvey, M. S., Kottelat, M., Kluge, N., Krell, F. T., Kojima, J., Kullander, S. O., Lucinda, P., Lyal, C. H. C., Pyle, R. L., Rheindt, F. E., Scioscia, C. L., Welter-Schultes, F., Whitmore, D., Yanega, D., Zhang, Z. Q., Zhou, H. Z., Pape, T. (being a unanimous voice of the ICZN) 2023. Renaming taxa on ethical grounds threatens nomenclatural stability and scientific communication. *Zoological Journal of the Linnean Society*, 197, 283-286.

Chabanaud, P. 1916. Enumération des Ophidiens non encore étudiés de l'Afrique occidentale, appartenant aux Collections du Muséum avec le description des espèces et des variétés nouvelles. *Bulletin du Muséum national d'histoire naturelle Paris* 22:362-382. Chabanaud, P. 1917. Descriptions de trois espéces nouvelles

de Reptilies de l'Afrique. Bulletin du Muséum national d'histoire naturelle Paris 23:219-225.

Chifundera, K. 1990. Snakes of Zaire and their bites. *African Studies Monographs* (Kyoto:Japan) 10(3):137-157.

Chippaux, J. and Jackson, K. 2019. *Snakes of Central and Western Africa*. Johns Hopkins University Press, USA:448 pp.

Chirio, L. 2009. Inventaire des reptiles de la région de la Réserve de Biosphère Transfrontalière du W (Niger/Bénin/Burkina Faso: Afrique de l'Ouest). *Bulletin Société herpétologique de France* (132):13-41. Chirio, L. and Lebreton, M. 2007. *Atlas des reptiles du Cameroun*. MNHN, IRD, Paris, France:688 pp.

Chirio, L. and Ineich, I. 2006. Biogeography of the reptiles of the Central African Republic. *African Journ. of Herp.* 55(1):23-59. Cogger, H. G. 2014. *Reptiles and Amphibians of Australia* (Seventh edition), CSIRO. Sydney,

Australia:1064 pp.

Conradie, W. and Branch, W. R. 2016. The herpetofauna of the Cubango, Cuito, and lower Cuando river catchments of southeastern Angola. *Amphibian and Reptile Conservation* 10(2):6-36. Conradie, W., Reeves, B., Brown, N. and Venter, J. A. 2016a. Herpetofauna of the Oviston, Commando Drift and Tsolwana nature reserves in the arid interior of the Eastern Cape Province, South Africa. *Indago* 32:81-98.

Conradie, W., Bittencourt-Silva, G. B., Engelbrecht, H. M., Loader, S. P., Menegon, M., Nanvonamuquitxo, C., Scott, M. and Tolley, K. A. 2016b. Exploration into the hidden world of Mozambique's sky island forests: new discoveries of reptiles and amphibians. *Zoosystematics and Evolution* 92(2):163-180,

Conradie, W., Baptista, N. D., Verburgt, L., Keates, C., Harvey, J., Julio, T. and Neef, G. 2021. Contributions to the herpetofauna of the Angolan Okavango-Cuando-Zambezi river drainages. Part 1: Serpentes (snakes). *Amphibian and Reptile Conservation* 15(2):244-278.

Cotton, T. 2014. Comments on *Spracklandus* Hoser, 2009 (Reptilia, Serpentes, Elapidae): request for confirmation of the availability of the generic name and for the nomenclatural validation of the journal in which it was published (Case 3601; see BZN 70: 234-237, 71: 30-38; 133-135). *Bulletin of Zoological Nomenclature* 71(3):181-182. de Witte (see for Witte)

Dubois, A., Bauer, A. M., Ceriaco, L. M. P., Dusouler, F., Fretey, T., Lobl, I., Lorvelec, O., Ohler, A., Stopiglia, R. and Aescht, E. 2019. The Linz Zoocode project: a set of new proposals regarding the terminology, the Principles and Rules of zoological nomenclature. First report of activities (2014-2019). *Bionomina*, 17:1-111.

Duméril, A. M. C., Bibron, G. and Duméril, A. H. A., 1854. Erpétologie générale ou histoire naturelle complète des reptiles. Tome septième. Deuxième partie, comprenant l'histoire des serpents venimeux. Librairie Encyclopédique de Roret, Paris, France:ixii+781-1536.

Eniang, E. A., Akani, G. C., Rugiero, L., Vignoli, L. and Luiselli, L. 2013. Ecological data of Nigerian *Crotaphopeltis hotamboeia* (Colubridae) populations. *The Herpetological Journal* 23(1):5-9. Engelbrecht, H. M. 2017. The radiation and biogeography of snakes across south-eastern Africa with respect to the evolution of the

savanna biome. PhD thesis, Stellenbosch University, South Africa. Engelbrecht, H. M., Branch, W. R., Greenbaum, E., Burger, M., Conradie, W. and Tolley, K. A. 2020. African Herald snakes, *Crotaphopeltis*, show population structure for a widespread generalist but deep genetic divergence for forest specialists. *Journal* of *Zoological Systematics and Evolutionary Research* 2020:00:1-14 (Online).

Engelbrecht, H. M., Branch, W. R. and Tolley, K. A. 2021. Snakes on an African plain: the radiation of *Crotaphopeltis* and *Philothamnus* into open habitat (Serpentes: Colubridae). *PeerJ* 9:e11728 (PRINO) (Online):18 pp.

Ernst, R., Lautenschläger, T., Branquima, M. F. and Hölting, M. 2020. At the edge of extinction: a first herpetological assessment of the proposed Serra do Pingano Rainforest National Park in Uíge Province, northern Angola. *Zoosystematics and Evolution* 96(1):237-262.

Finke, F. and Liepack, D. 2021. Erstnachweis der Torniers Katzennatter *Crotaphopeltis tornieri* (Werner, 1908) in Kenia. *Sauria* 43(2):67-70.

Fitzinger, L. 1843. *Systema Reptilium. Fasciculus primus: Amblyglossae.* Vindobonae: Braumüller und Seidel, Germany:106 pp.

Gans, C., Laurent, R. F. and Pandit, H. 1965. Notes on a herpetological collection from the Somali Republic. *Ann. Mus. Roy. Afr. Centr., Sér. 8vo, Tervuren,* (80) Zool. (134):1-93.

Gemel, R., Gassner, G. and Schweiger, S. 2019. Katalog der Typen der Herpetologischen Sammlung des Naturhistorischen Museums Wien - 2018. *Annalen des Naturhistorischen Museums in Wien* B 121:33-248.

Gray, J. E. 1858. Description of a new genus of Boidae from Old Calabar and a list of West African Reptiles. *Proceedings of the Zoological Society of London* 1858:154-167.

Günther, A. 1858. Catalogue of Colubrine snakes of the British Museum. London, UK:I-XVI,1-281.

Günther, A. 1864. Report on a collection of reptiles and fishes made by Dr. Kirk in the Zambesi and Nyassa Regions. *Proceedings of the Zoological Society of London* 1864:303-314.

Günther, A. 1888. Contribution to the knowledge of snakes of tropical Africa. *Annals And Magazine of Natural History* (6)1:322-335.

Günther, A. 1895. Notice of Reptiles and Batrachians collected in the eastern half of tropical Africa. *Annals And Magazine of Natural History* (6)15:523-529.

Haagner, G. V. and Branch, W. R. 1995. Life History Notes -Crotaphopeltis hotamboeia. African Herp News (23):44-44.

Haagner, G. V., Branch, W. R. and Haagner, A. J. F. 2000. Notes on a collection of reptiles from Zambia and adjacent areas of the Democratic Republic of the Congo. *Annals of the Eastern Cape Museum* 1:1-25.

Hallermann, J. 1998. Annotated catalogue of the type specimens of the herpetological collection in the Zoological Museum of the University of Hamburg. *Mitt. hamb. zool. Mus. Inst* 95:197-223.

Hallermann, J. 2007. Zur Geschichte der herpetologischen Sammlung des Zoologischen Museums Hamburgs, mit besonderer Berücksichtigung von Dr. Johann Gustav Fischer (1819 - 1889). Sekretär 7(1):20-32.

Hammer, T. A. and Thiele, K. R. 2021. Proposals to amend Articles 51 and 56 and Division III, to allow the rejection of culturally offensive and inappropriate names. *Taxon* 70(6):1392-1394.

Harrington, S. M., de Haan, S. M., Shapiro, L. and Ruane, S. 2018. Habits and characteristics of arboreal snakes worldwide: arboreality constrains body size but does not affect lineage diversification. *Biological Journal of the Linnean Society* 125(1):61-71.

Hawkeswood, T. J. 2021. Time to end taxonomic vandalism by Wolfgang Wuster *et al.*: The Snakeman, Raymond Hoser's publications are validly published and his names available according to the ICZN: Objective investigation finds Hoser's taxonomic works as scientific best practice and in every relevant case identifies valid entities. *Calodema* 860:1-59.

Herrmann, H. -W. and Branch, W. R. 2013. Fifty years of herpetological research in the Namib Desert and Namibia with

an updated and annotated species checklist. *Journal of Arid Environments* 93:94-115.

Honess, P. E. and Bearder, S. K. 1997. Descriptions of the dwarf galago species of Tanzania. *African Primates* 2:;75-79.

Hoser, R. T. 2007a. Wells and Wellington - It's time to bury the hatchet. *Calodema Supplementary Paper* 1:1-9.

Hoser, R. T. 2007b. Call to outlaw the use of Tongs for catching and handling deadly snakes. *Bulletin of the Chicago Herpetological Society* 42(6):92-95.

Hoser, R. T. 2009. Creationism and contrived science: A review of recent python systematics papers and the resolution of issues of taxonomy and nomenclature. *Australasian Journal of Herpetology* 2:1-34. (3 February).

Hoser, R. T. 2012a. Exposing a fraud! *Afronaja* Wallach, Wüster and Broadley 2009, is a junior synonym of *Spracklandus* Hoser 2009! *Australasian Journal of Herpetology* 9 (3 April 2012):1-64.

Hoser, R. T. 2012b. Robust taxonomy and nomenclature based on good science escapes harsh fact-based criticism, but remains unable to escape an attack of lies and deception. *Australasian Journal of Herpetology* 14:37-64.

Hoser, R. T. 2013. The science of herpetology is built on evidence, ethics, quality publications and strict compliance with the rules of nomenclature. *Australasian Journal of Herpetology* 18:2-79.

Hoser, R. T. 2015a. Dealing with the "truth haters" ... a summary! Introduction to Issues 25 and 26 of *Australasian Journal of Herpetology*. Including "A timeline of relevant key publishing and other events relevant to Wolfgang Wüster and his gang of thieves." and a "Synonyms list". *Australasian Journal of Herpetology* 25:3-13. Hoser, R. T. 2015b. The Wüster gang and their proposed "Taxon Filter": How they are knowingly publishing false information, recklessly engaging in taxonomic vandalism and directly attacking the rules and stability of zoological nomenclature. *Australasian Journal of Herpetology* 25:14-38.

Hoser, R. T. 2015c. Best Practices in herpetology: Hinrich Kaiser's claims are unsubstantiated. *Australasian Journal of Herpetology* 25:39-64.

Hoser, R. T. 2015d. PRINO (Peer reviewed in name only) journals: When quality control in scientific publications fails. *Australasian Journal of Herpetology* 26:3-64.

Hoser, R. T. 2015e. Rhodin *et al.* 2015, Yet more lies, misrepresentations and falsehoods by a band of thieves intent on stealing credit for the scientific works of others. *Australasian Journal of Herpetology* 27:3-36.

Hoser, R. T, 2015f. Comments on *Spracklandus* Hoser, 2009 (Reptilia, Serpentes, Elapidae): request for confirmation of the availability of the generic name and for the nomenclatural validation of the journal in which it was published (Case 3601; see *BZN* 70: 234-237; comments *BZN* 71:30-38, 133-135). *Australasian Journal of Herpetology* 27:37-54.

Hoser, R. T. 2019a. 11 new species, 4 new subspecies and a subgenus of Australian Dragon Lizard in the genus *Tympanocryptis* Peters, 1863, with a warning on the conservation status and long-term survival prospects of some newly named taxa. *Australasian Journal of Herpetology* 39:23-52.

Hoser, R. T. 2019b. Richard Shine *et al.* (1987), Hinrich Kaiser *et al.* (2013), Jane Melville *et al.* (2018 and 2019): Australian Agamids and how rule breakers, liars, thieves, taxonomic vandals and law breaking copyright infringers are causing reptile species to become extinct. *Australasian Journal of Herpetology* 39:53-63.

Hughes, B. 2013. Snakes of Bénin, West Africa. Bull. Société herpétologique de France 144:101-159.

Hughes, B. 2018. The Snakes of Dodoma. *African Herp News* (67):8.

International Commission of Zoological Nomenclature (ICZN) 1991. Decision of the commission. Three works by Richard W. Wells and C. Ross Wellington: proposed suppression for nomenclatural purposes. *Bulletin of Zoological Nomenclature* 48(4):337-338. International Commission of Zoological Nomenclature (ICZN) 2001.

Opinion 1970. *Bulletin of Zoological Nomenclature* 58(1):74, (30 March 2001).

International Commission of Zoological Nomenclature (ICZN) 2012. Amendment of Articles 8, 9, 10, 21 and 78 of the *International* 

Code of Zoological Nomenclature to expand and refine methods of publication. Zootaxa (PRINO) (Online) 3450:1-7.

International Commission of Zoological Nomenclature (ICZN) 2021. Opinion 2468 (Case 3601) - *Spracklandus* Hoser, 2009 (Reptilia, Serpentes, Elapidae) and *Australasian Journal of Herpetology* issues 1-24: confirmation of availability declined; Appendix A (Code of Ethics): not adopted as a

formal criterion for ruling on Cases. *Bulletin of Zoological Nomenclature* 78 (30 April 2021):42-45.

Jackson, K., Zassi-Boulou, A., Mavoungou, L. and Pangou, S. 2007. Amphibians and Reptiles of the LacTélé Community Reserve, Likouala Region, Republic of Congo (Brazzaville). *Herpetological Conservation and Biology* (2):75-86.

Jacobsen, N. H. G. 2009. A contribution to the herpetofauna of the Passendro Area, Central African Republic. *African Herp News* (47):2-20.

Jacobsen, N. H. G., Pietersen, E. W. and Pietersen, D. W. 2010. A preliminary herpetological survey of the Vilanculos Coastal Wildlife Sanctuary on the San Sebastian Peninsula, Vilankulo, Mozambique. *Herpetology Notes* 3:181-193.

Joger, U. 1982. Zur Herpetofaunistik Kameruns (II). Bonner Zoologische Beiträge 33(2-4):313-319.

Kaiser, H. 2012a. SPAM email sent out to numerous recipients on 5 June 2012.

Kaiser, H. 2012b. Point of view. Hate article sent as attachment with SPAM email sent out on 5 June 2012.

Kaiser, H. 2013. The Taxon Filter, a novel mechanism designed to facilitate the relationship between taxonomy and nomenclature, visà-vis the utility of the Code's Article 81 (the Commission's plenary power). *Bulletin of Zoological Nomenclature* 70(4) December 2013:293-302.

Kaiser, H. 2014a. Comments on *Spracklandus* Hoser, 2009 (Reptilia, Serpentes, ELAPIDAE): request for confirmation of the availability of the generic name and for the nomenclatural validation of the journal in which it was published. *Bulletin of Zoological Nomenclature*, 71(1):30-35.

Kaiser, H. 2014b. Best Practices in Herpetological Taxonomy: Errata and Addenda. *Herpetological Review*, 45(2):257-268.

Kaiser, H., Crother, B. L., Kelly, C. M. R., Luiselli, L., O'Shea, M., Ota, H., Passos, P., Schleip, W. D. and Wüster, W. 2013. Best practices: In the 21st Century, Taxonomic Decisions in Herpetology are Acceptable Only When supported by a body of Evidence and Published via Peer-Review. *Herpetological Review* 44(1):8-23.

Keogh, J. S., Branch, W. R. and Shine, R. 2000. Feeding ecology, reproduction and sexual dimorphism in the colubrid snake *Crotaphopeltis hotamboeia* in southern Africa. *African Journal of Herpetology* 49:129-137.

Köhler, J. and Güsten, R. 2007. Herpetological type specimens in the natural history collections of the museums in Darmstadt and Wiesbaden, Germany. *Spixiana* 30(2):275-288.

Lanza, B. 1983. A list of the Somali amphibians and reptiles.

Monitore Zoologico Italiano, new Ser., Suppl. 18(8):193-247.

Lanza, B. 1990. Amphibians and reptiles of the Somali Democratic Republic: check list and biogeography. *Biogeographia* 14:407-465 [1988].

Largen, M. J. and Rasmussen, J. B. 1993. Catalogue of the snakes of Ethiopia (Reptilia Serpentes), including identification keys. *Tropical Zoology* 6:313-434.

Largen, M. J. and Spawls, S. 2010. *Amphibians and Reptiles of Ethiopia and Eritrea*. Edition Chimaira, Frankfurt, Germany:694 pp. Lauer, C. and Hoyer, M. 2019. Almost 1,700 priests and clergy accused of sex abuse are unsupervised. *NBC News* 5 October, online at: https://www.nbcnews.com/news/religion/nearly-1-700-priests-clergy-accused-sex-abuse-are-unsupervised-n1062396 Laurent, R. F. 1956. Contribution à l'herpetologie de la région des Grandes Lacs de l'Afrique centrale. *Ann. Mus. Roy. Conco. Belae* 

Grandes Lacs de l'Afrique centrale. Ann. Mus. Roy. Congo Belge (Sci. Zool.), 48:1-390.

Laurenti, J. N. 1768. Specimen medicum, exhibens synopsin reptilium emendatam cum experimentis circa venena et antidota reptilium austracorum, quod authoritate et consensu. Vienna, Joan. Thomae:217 pp. Leaché, A. D., Rödel, M., Linkem, C. W., Diaz, R. E., Hillers, A. and Fujita, M. K. 2006. Biodiversity in a forest island: reptiles and amphibians of the Togo Hills, Kyabobo National Park, Ghana. *Amphibian and Reptile Conservation* 4(1):22-45.

Lebreton, M. 1999. A working checklist of the herpetofauna of Cameroon. Netherlands Committee for IUCN:160 pp.

Lillywhite, H. B. 2014. *How Snakes Work: Structure, Function and Behavior of the World's Snakes*. Oxford University Press, New York, USA:256 pp.

Lindholm, W. A. 1905. Beschreibung einer neuen Schlangenart (*Dipsadophidium weiler* g. et sp. n.). Jahrbücher des Nassauischen Vereins für Naturkunde Ver. LVIII:183-187.

Loveridge, A. 1929. East African reptiles and amphibians in the United States National Museum. *Bulletin of the United States National Museum* (151):1-135.

Loveridge, A. 1932. New opisthoglyphous snakes of the genera *Crotaphopeltis* and *Trimerorhinus* from Angola and Kenya Colony. *Proceedings of the Biological Society of Washington* 45:83-86.

Loveridge, A. 1936. African reptiles and amphibians in the Field Museum of Natural History. *Zool. Ser. Field Mus. Nat. Hist.*, Chicago, 22(1):1-122.

Loveridge, A. 1938a. On a collection of reptiles and amphibians from Liberia. *Proc. New England Zool. Club* 17:49-74.

Loveridge, A. 1938b. Zoological results of the George Vanderbildt African expedition of 1934. VII. Reptiles and amphibians. *Proceedings of the Academy of Natural Sciences of Philadelphia* 89 [1937]:265-296.

Loveridge, A. 1941. Report on the Smithsonian-Firestone Expedition's collection of reptiles and amphibians from Liberia. *Proceedings of the United States National Museum* 91(3128):113-140.

Loveridge, A. 1956. On snakes collected in the Anglo-Egyptian Sudan by J.S. Owen, Esq. *Sudan Notes Rec.* 36 [1955]:37-56. Loveridge, A. 1957. On a third collection of reptiles taken in Tanganyika by C. J. P. Ionides, Esq. *Tanganyika Notes and Records* 43:1-19.

Lyakurwa, J. V. 2017. The Reptiles of the Uzungwa Scarp Forest Reserve (USFR): An Updated Checklist with Notes on Dagger-Tooth Vine Snake *Xyelodontophis uluguruensis*. *Journal of East African Natural History* 106(2):57-65.

Lyakurwa, J. V., Howell, K. M., Munishi, L. K. and Treydte, A. C. 2019. Uzungwa Scarp Nature Forest Reserve; a unique hotspot for reptiles in Tanzania. *Acta Herpetologica* 14(1):3-14.

Malonza, P. K., Wasonga, V. D., Muchai, V., Rotich, D., Bwong, B. A. and Bauer, A. M. 2006. Diversity and biogeography of herpetofauna of the Tana River Primate National Reserve, Kenya. *Journal of East African Natural History* 95(2):95-109.

Malonza, P. K., Mulwa, D. M., Nyamache, J. O. and Jones, G. 2017. Biogeography of the Shimba Hills ecosystem herpetofauna in Kenya. *Zoological Research* 38(5):1-11.

Marques, M. P., Ceríaco, L. M. P., Blackburn, D. C. and Bauer, A. M. 2018. Diversity and Distribution of the Amphibians and Terrestrial Reptiles of Angola - Atlas of Historical and Bibliographic Records (1840-2017). *Proceedings of the California Academy of Sciences* (Ser. 4) 65:1-501 (Supplement II).

Masters, J. C., Fabien G. S., Couette, C. P., Groves, S. D., Nash, M. D, and Pozzi, L. 2017. A new genus for the eastern dwarf galagos (Primates: Galagidae). *Zoological Journal of the Linnean Society*, 181:229-241.

Matschie, P. 1893. Einige anscheinend neue Reptilien und Amphibien aus West-Afrika. *Sitzungsber. Gesell. naturf. Freunde* Berlin 6:170-175.

Mehrtens, J. M. 1987. *Living snakes of the world in color.* Sterling Publishing Co., New York, NY, USA:480 pp.

Menegon, M., Doggart, N. and Owen, N. 2008. The Nguru mountains of Tanzania, an outstanding hotspot of herpetofaunal diversity. *Acta Herpetologica* 3(2):107-127.

Menegon, M., Loader, S. P., Marsden, S. J., Branch, W. R., Davenport, T. R. B. and Ursenbacher, S. 2014. The genus *Atheris* (Serpentes: Viperidae) in East Africa: Phylogeny and the role of rifting and climate in shaping the current pattern of species diversity.

Molecular Phylogenetics and Evolution 79:12-22. Menzies, J. I. 1966. The snakes of Sierra Leone. *Copeia* 1966(2):169-179.

Mitchell, F. J. 1948. A revision of the lacertilian genus *Tympanocryptis. Records of the South Australian Museum* 9:57-86. Monard, A. 1931. Mission scientifique Suisse dans l'Angola. Résultats scientifiques. Reptiles. *Bull. Soc. Neuchâtel Sci. Nat.*, 33:89-111.

Monard, A. 1940. Résultats de la mission du Dr. Monard en Guinée Portugaise 1937-1938. *Arq. Mus. Bocage*, Lisbon 11:147-182.

Monasterio, C. 2016. The herpetofauna of the Dindefelo Natural Community Reserve, Senegal. *Herpetology Notes* 9:1-6. Mosyakin, S. L. 2022. If "Rhodes-" must fall, who shall fall next?

*Taxon* 71:49-255. Muchai, V. and Malonza, P. 2011. The reptiles and amphibians of Kibwezi Forest, Ngulia and Chyulu Hills. *Kenya Past and Present* (39):45-49.

Müller, L. 1910. Beiträge zur Herpetologie Kameruns. Abh. bayer. K. Akad. Wiss. 2, 24(3):545-626.

Pauwels, O. S. G. and Colyn, M. 2023. On a Diverse Collection of Snakes from Amadjabe, Left Bank of the Congo River, Northeastern Democratic Republic of the Congo. *Bulletin of the Chicago Herpetological Society* 58(3):34-47.

Pauwels, O. S. G. and David, P. 2008. Miscellanea Herpetologica Gabonica II. *Hamadryad* 32(1):19-24.

Pauwels, O. S. G. and Vande Weghe, J. P. 2008. *Les reptiles du Gabon*. Smithsonian Institution, Washington:272 pp.

Pauwels, O. S. G., Kamdem Toham, A. and Chimsunchart, C. 2002. Recherches sur l'herpétofaune du Massif du Chaillu, Gabon. *Bull. Inst. Roy. Sci. Nat. Belgique* (Biologie) 72:47-57.

Pauwels, O. S. G., Branch, W. R. and Burger, M. 2004. Reptiles of Loango National Park, Ogooue-Maritime Province, South-Western Gabon. *Hamadryad* 29(1):115-127.

Pauwels, O. S. G., Christy, P. and Honorez, A. 2006. Reptiles and National Parks in Gabon, Western Central Africa. *Hamadryad* 30(1-2):181-196.

Pauwels, O. S. G., Le Garff, G. B., Ineich, I., Carlino, P., Melcore, I., Boundenga, L., Vigna, C., Stévart, T., Jeffery, K., Orbell, C., Squarcini, J. -B., Vande weghe, J. P. and White, L. J. T. 2016. Miscellanea Herpetologica Gabonica V and VI. *Bulletin of the Chicago Herpetological Society* 51:177.

Pauwels, O. S. G., Morelle, S., Albert, J. –L., Carlino, P., Rahola, N. and Trape, J. -F. 2019. New reptile records from Lékédi Park and Haut-Ogooué Province, southeastern Gabon. *Amphibian and Reptile Conservation* 13(1):143-161.

Pauwels, O. S. G., Chirio, L. and Dekoninick, W. 2022. Diet records for snakes from Guinea, West Africa. *Bulletin of the Chicago Herpetological Society* 57(6): 117-123.

Peters, W. C. H. 1863. Eine Übersicht der von Hrn. Richard Schomburgk an das zoologische Museum eingesandten Amphibien, aus Buchsfelde bei Adelaide in Südaustralien. *Monatsber. königl. Akad. Wiss. Berlin.* 1863 (April):228-236.

Peters, W. C. H. 1869. Über neue Gattungen und neue oder weniger bekannte Arten von Amphibien (*Eremias, Dicrodon, Euprepes, Lygosoma, Typhlops, Eryx, Rhynchonyx, Elapomorphus, Achalinus, Coronella, Dromicus, Xenopholis, Anoplodipsas, Spilotes, Tropidonotus*). *Monatsber. k. preuss. Akad. Wiss.* Berlin, 1869:432-447.

Pietersen, D., Verburgt, L. and Davies, J. 2021. *Snakes and other reptiles of Zambia and Malawi*. Struik Nature / Penguin / Random House, South Africa:376 pp.

Pitman, C. R. S. 1974. A guide to the snakes of Uganda. Codicote, Wheldon and Wesley, L., UK:290 pp.

Pyron, R. A., Burbrink, F. T. and Weins, J. J. 2013. A phylogeny and revised classification of Squamata, including 4161 species of lizards and snakes. *BMC Evol. Biol.* 13, 93. Published online at: http://www.biomedcentral.com/1471-2148/13/93.

Rasmussen, J. B. 1981. The snakes from the rainforest of the Usambara Mountains, Tanzania: a checklist and key. *Salamandra* 17(3-4):173-188.

Rasmussen, J. B. 1985. A new species of Crotaphopeltis from east

Africa, with remarks on the identity of *Dipsas hippocrepis* Reinhardt, 1843 (Serpentes: Boiginae). *Steenstrupia* 11(4):113-129. Rasmussen, J. B. 1986. On the taxonomic status of *Dipsadoboa werneri* (Boulenger), *D. shrevei* (Loveridge), and *Crotaphopeltis hotamboiea kageleri* Uthmöller (Boiginae, Serpentes). *Amphibia-Reptilia* 7:51-73.

Rasmussen, J. B. 1989a. A taxonomic review of the *Dipsadoboa duchesnei* complex. *Bonner Zoologische Beiträge* 40(3/4):249-264. Rasmussen, J. B. 1989b. On the taxonomic status of *Dipsadoboa aulica aulica* Günther and *D. aulica flavida* Broadley and Stevens, with the description of a new subspecies of *D. flavida* Broadley and Stevens (Boiginae, Serpentes). *Amphibia-Reptilia* 10:35-62.

Rasmussen, J. B. 1993a. The current taxonomic status of Tornier's cat-snake (*Crotaphopeltis tornieri*). *Amphibia-Reptilia* 14:395-409. Rasmussen, J. B. 1993b. A taxonomic review of the *Dipsadoboa unicolor* complex, including a phylogenetic analysis of the genus

(Serpentes, Dipsadidae, Boiginae). Steenstrupia 19(4):129-196. Rasmussen, J. B. 1997. On two little-known African water snakes (Crotaphopeltis degeni and Crotaphopeltis barotseensis). Amphibia-

*Reptilia* 18:191-206. Rasmussen, J. B. and Hughes, B. 1996. Description of some new snake species. I. (English translation of the original Danish text of T. Reinhardt 1843). *Steenstrupia* 22:13-39.

Rasmussen, J. B., Chirio, L. and Ineich, I. 2000. The Herald Snakes (*Crotaphopeltis*) of the Central African Republic, Including a Systematic Review of *C. hippocrepis. Zoosystema* 22(3):585-600. Razzetti, E. and Msuya, C. A. 2002. *Field guide to the amphibians and reptiles of Arusha National Park (Tanzania).* Publ. Ed. Negri Istituto, Oikos, Varese:84 pp.

Reinhardt, J. T. 1843. Beskrivelse af nogle nye Slangearter. *Danske Vidensk. Selsk. Afhandl.* 10:233-279.

Rhodin, A. *et al.* (70 listed authors, with some later publishing that they had never read the document they allegedly co-authored) 2015. Comment on *Spracklandus* Hoser, 2009 (Reptilia, Serpentes, Elapidae): request for confirmation of the availability of the generic name and for the nomenclatural validation of the journal in which it was published (Case 3601; see *BZN* 70: 234-237; 71: 30-38, 133-135, 181-182, 252-253). *Bulletin of Zoological Nomenclature* 72(1)65-78.

Ride, W. D. L. (*ed.*) *et al.* (on behalf of the International Commission on Zoological Nomenclature) 1999. *International code of Zoological Nomenclature*. The Natural History Museum - Cromwell Road, London SW7 5BD, UK (also commonly cited as "The Rules", "Zoological Rules" or "ICZN 1999").

Robertson, I. A. D., Chapman, B. M. and Chapman, N. F. 1963. Notes on some reptiles collected in the Rukwa Valley, S. W. Tanganyika. *Annals And Magazine of Natural History* Ser. 13, 5(55):421-432.

Roman, B. 1974. Deux espèces du genre *Crotaphopeltis* (Colubridés Ophistoglyphes) dans le territoire de Haute-Volta: *Crotaphopeltis hotamboeia* (Laurent) et *Crotaphopeltis acarina n. sp Notes et documents Voltaïques* 8(1):13 pp.

Rovero, F., Menegon, M., Fjeldså, J., Collett, L., Doggart, N., Leonard, C., Norton, G., Owen, N., Perkin, A., Spitale, D., Ahrends, A. and Burgess, N. D. 2014. Targeted vertebrate surveys enhance the faunal importance and improve explanatory models within the Eastern Arc Mountains of Kenya and Tanzania. *Diversity and Distributions* 20(12):1438-1449.

Sanchez-Vialas, I., Calvo-Revuelta, M. and De La Riva, I. 2022. Synopsis of the terrestrial Reptiles of Equatorial Guinea. *Zootaxa* (PRINO) (Online) 5202(1):1-197.

Schlüter, A. and Hallermann, J. 1997. The Type Specimens in the Herpetological Collection of the Staatliches Museum für Naturkunde in Stuttgart. *Stuttgarter Beitr. Naturk.* Ser. A (553):1-15.

Schmidt, K. P. 1923. Contributions to the herpetology of the Belgian Congo based on the collection of the American Museum Congo Expedition, 1909-1915. Part II. Snakes, with field notes by Herbert Lang and James P. Chapin. *Bulletin of the American Museum of Natural History* 49(1):1-146.

Scortecci, G. 1929. Primo contributo alla conoscenza dei Rettili e degli Anfibi della Somalia italiana. Atti Soc. ital. Sci. nat. 68:245-279

Scortecci, G. 1931. Contributo alla conoscenza dei rettili e degli anfibi della Somalia, dell'Eritrea e dell'Abissinia. *Boll. Mus. Zool. Univ. Torino*, (ser. 3) 41(10) [1930]:1-26.

Seba, A. 1734. Locupletissimi Rerum naturalium Thesauri accurata Descriptio, et Iconibus artificiosissimus Expressio, per universam Physices Historiam. Opus, cui in hoc Rerum Genere, nullum par exstitit. Vol. 1. Janssonio-Waesbergios, Amsterdam, The Netherlands:178 pp.

Segniagbeto, G., Lazcano. H., Trape, J. F., David, P., Ohler, A., Dubois, A. and Glitho I. A. 2011. The snake fauna of Togo: systematics, distribution and biogeography, with remarks on selected taxonomic problems. *Zoosystema* 33(3):325-360.

Segniagbeto, G. H., Dekawole, J. K., Ketoh, G. K., Dendi, D. and Luiselli, L. 2022. Herpetofaunal Diversity in a Dahomey Gap Savannah of Togo (West Africa): Effects of Seasons on the Populations of Amphibians and Reptiles. *Diversity* 14:964:15 pp. Senter, P. J. and Chippaux, J. P. 2022. Biogeography of snakes in

Liberia: Review and synthesis of current knowledge. *Ghana Journal of Science* 63(1):29-62.

Smith, A. 1849. *Illustrations of the Zoology of South Africa.* 3 (*Reptiles*). Smith, Elder, and Co., London, UK.

Spawls, S., Howell, K., Hinkel, H. and Menegon, M. 2011. *Field Guide to East African Reptiles*. Bloomsbury, UK:543 pp.

Spawls, S., Howell, K., Hinkel, H. and Menegon, M. 2018. *Field Guide to East African Reptiles*. Bloomsbury, UK:624 pp.

Sternfeld, R. 1908. Die Schlangenfauna von Kamerun. *Mitt. zool. Mus. Berlin* 3(4):397-432.

Sternfeld, R. 1917. Reptilia und Amphibia. in: Schubotz, H. (Hrsg.): Wissenschaftliche Ergebnisse der Zweiten Deutschen Zentral-Afrika-Expedition, 1910-1911 unter Führung Adolph Friedrichs, Herzog zu Mecklenburg. Leipzig: Klinkhardt und Biermann, [Band] 1, Zoologie, Lieferung 11:407-510.

Tarn, N., Tobi, E., Dixon-MacCallum, G. P. and Bamba-Kaya, A. 2018. Geographic Distribution: *Dipsadoboa viridis*. *Herpetological Review* 49(1):76.

Thiele, K. R., Oliver, P. M., Bauer, A. M., Doughty, P., Kraus, F., Rix, M. G., Kaiser, H. 2020. Case 3824 - A special proposal to suppress certain names under the plenary powers of the Commission. *Bulletin of Zoological Nomenclature* 77:78 (title only). The full submission to the ICZN was sent out as a SPAM email to thousands of recipients, is a rambling 71 page pdf and is widely available online.

Timberlake, J. R., Bayliss, J., Dowsett-Lemaire, F., Conghan, C., Branch, W. R., Collins, S., Curran, M., Dowsett, R. J., Fishpool, L., Francisco, J., Harris, T., Kopp M. and De Sousa, C. 2012. *Mt Mabu, Mozambique: Biodiversity and Conservation*. Report produced under the Darwin Initiative Award 15/036. Royal Botanic Gardens, Kew, London, UK:94 pp

Tolley, K. A., Telford, N. S., Makhubo, B. G., Power, R. J. and Alexander, G. J. 2023. Filling the gap: Noteworthy herpetological discoveries in North West Province, South Africa. *Zoosystematics and Evolution* 99(1):101-116.

Trape, J. -F. and Balde, C. 2014. A checklist of the snake fauna of Guinea, with taxonomic changes in the genera *Philothamnus* and *Dipsadoboa* (Colubridae) and a comparison with the snake fauna of some other West African countries. *Zootaxa* (PRINO) (Online) 3900(3):301-338.

Trape, J. -F. and Collet, M. 2021. Nouvelles données sur les serpents du sud-est du Katanga. *Bull. Société herpétologique de France* 179:11-26.

Trape, J. -F. and Mané, Y. 2000. Les serpents des environs de Dielmo (Sine-Saloum, Sénégal). *Bull. Société herpétologique de France* 95:19-35.

Trape, J. -F. and Mané, Y. 2004. Les serpents des environs de Bandafassi (Sénégal oriental). *Bull. Société herpétologique de France* 109:5-34.

Trape, J. -F. and Mané, Y. 2006. *Guide des serpents d'Afrique occidentale. Savane et désert.* [Senegal, Gambia, Mauritania, Mali, Burkina Faso, Niger]. IRD Editions, Paris, France:226 pp.

Trape, J. -F. and Mané, Y. 2015. The snakes of Niger. *Amphibian and Reptile Conservation* 9(2):39-55 (e110) (online).

Trape, J. -F. and Mané, Y. 2017. The snakes of Mali. Bonn

Zoological Bulletin 66(2):107-133.

Trape, J. -F. and Roux-Esteve, R. 1995. Les serpents du Congo: liste commentée et clé de détermination. *Journal of African Zoology* 109(1):31-50.

Trape, J. -F., Crochet, P. –A., Broadley, D. G., Sourouille, P., Mané, Y., Böhme, W., Saleh, M., Karan, A., Lanza, B. and Mediannikov, O. 2019. On the *Psammophis sibilans* group (Serpentes, Lamprophiidae, Psammophiinae) north of 12°S, with the description of a new species from West Africa. *Bonn zoological Bulletin* 68(1):61-91.

Trape, J. -F., Kodindo, I. D., Djiddi, A. S., Mad-Toïngué, J. and Kerah, C. H. 2020. The snakes of Chad: results of a field survey and annotated country-wide checklist. *Bonn Zoological Bulletin* 69(2):367-393.

Ullenbruch, K., Grell, O. and Böhme, W. 2010. Reptiles from southern Benin, West Africa, with the description of a new *Hemidactylus* (Gekkonidae), and a country-wide checklist. *Bonn Zoological Bulletin* 57(1):31-54.

Uthmöller, W. 1939. Über eine neue Rasse von Crotaphopeltis hotamboiea. Zoologischer Anzeiger 125:108-112.

Wallach, V., Williams, K. L. and Boundy, J. 2014. *Snakes of the World: A Catalogue of Living and Extinct Species*. Taylor and Francis, CRC Press, USA/UK:1237 pp.

Wellington, R. W. 2015. Comment on the proposed confirmation of the availability of the generic name *Spracklandus* Hoser, 2009 (Reptilia, Serpentes, Elapidae) and for the nomenclatural validation of the journal in which it was published. *Bulletin of Zoological Nomenclature* 72(3):222-226.

Werner, F. 1897. Über Reptilien und Batrachier aus Togoland, Kamerun und Tunis aus dem Kgl. Museum für Naturkunde in Berlin. *Verh. Zool.-Bot. Ges.*, Wien 47:395-407.

Werner, F. 1899. Ueber Reptilien und Batrachier aus Togoland, Kamerun und Deutsch-Neu-Guinea grösstentheils aus dem k. Museum für Naturkunde in Berlin. *Verhandlungen der Kaiserlich-Königlichen Zoologisch-Botanischen Gesellschaft in Wien* 49:132-157.

Werner, F. 1908. Ergebnisse der mit Subvention aus der Erbschaft Treitl unternommenen zoologischen Forschungsreise Dr. Franz Werner's nach nach dem Ägyptischen Sudan und Norduganda. XII. die Reptilien und Amphibien. *Sitzungsber. Akad. Wiss. Wien* 116 [1907]:1823-1926.

Werner, F. 1909. Beschreibung neuer Reptilien aus dem Kgl. Naturalienkabinett in Stuttgart. *Jahreshefte Ver. vaterl. Naturk. Württ.* 65:55-63.

Werner, F. 1913. Neue oder seltene Reptilien und Frösche des Naturhistorischen Museums in Hamburg. Reptilien der Ostafrika-Expedition der Hamburger Geographischen Gesellschaft 1911/12. Leiter: Dr. E. Obst. Reptilien und Amphibien von Formosa. *Jb. Hamb. wiss. Anst.*, 30 [1912], 2. Beiheft: 1-39, 40-45, 45-51. Witte, G. F. de 1933. Reptiles récoltés au Conge Belge par le Dr. H.

Schouteden et par M. G.-F. Witte. *Ann. Mus. Conge belge Zool.* Ser. 1 Tome III:53-100.

Zassi-Boulou, A. G., Tchimbakala, J. G., Mavoungou, L. B. and Jackson, K. 2020. A Survey of Snakes in the Patte d'Oie Forest Reserve (Brazzaville, Republic of Congo): an Urban Snake Community in Central Africa. *Herpetological Conservation and Biology*, 15(1):139-149.

CONFLICTS OF INTEREST None.

### Cite this paper as:

Hoser, R. T. 2023. New taxa within the African Snake genus *Dipsadoboa* Günther 1858. *Australasian Journal of Herpetology* 62:23-35.